

**Parks and Recreation Open Space Advisory Committee Meeting
March 5, 2019**

Chair: Good evening and welcome to the March Park, Recreation, and Open Space Advisory Committee. Thank you for braving the weather. Clearly other members are in [unintelligible] as it staff so we're just going to start this meeting with the hope it's a short agenda that we'll get out of here reasonably early tonight. I'll start with roll call to my left.

Elisa Laird-Metke: District 9.

Anthony Cuadro: District 7.

Jane Weil: District 6.

Mark Scheuer: District 8.

Karen Rhodes: District 9.

Steve Currier: District 11.

Julia Pfeifferberger: District 4.

Robert Brust: District 8.

Wendy Aragon: District 1.

Ancel Martinez: At large

Nick Belloni: District 2.

Jordyn Aquino: District 4.

Chair: Steffen Franz, District 2. I think I'm going to just yield a minute here to Karen who is a new member. In fact, I'll just say to all of you that we have a resurgence of membership which is great. We're finding that Supervisors when somebody is terming out they're immediately reappointed which is great. So that brings Karen to us. So I just ask for maybe two minutes just so the committee knows who you are.

Karen Rhodes: I'm delighted to be here and meeting you all. I'm really looking forward to working with you all. I retired about a year and a half ago from UC Berkeley after a long career in communications and fundraising and the first thing I did upon retirement was start walking around San Francisco. I love to walk. And before I knew it I had adopted a rain garden. I clear trash from a Public Utilities Commission rain garden. I ran into some neighbors who [unintelligible] about the Alemany Farmer's Market--I'm in Bernal Heights—who were transforming a derelict public stairway into a street park and before I knew it I was writing

\$150,000 worth of grants for them and secured funding to commence construction on the project last summer and we'll be finished up before the fiscal year ends. So this just introduced me to a whole spectrum of activity around parks and open space stewardship which has led me to PROSAC and I'm delighted to be here.

Chair: It's great to have you. I'm going to basically—so let's review the minutes. Anybody have any conversation about last month's minutes. Take a minute to review them if you would.

Steven Currier: They were very expensive since we were here until midnight.

Chair: There was a lot of talking.

Steven Currier: I move to approve the minutes.

Jordyn Aquino: Second.

Chair: All in favor of adopting the minutes as is say aye.

All: Aye.

Chair: Anybody opposed? Hearing none, we have adopted the minutes for the February meeting. I'm going to tell you that I added after Tiffany and I realized that we had a decent meeting, we didn't really have a full-packed meeting, and we had remembered back to a time when [unintelligible] would do a District report and I think those members who have been on this committee for a long time remember the District reports as a way for other members to kind of get to know your District and as a way for a Chair to help members have a better experience with what we're doing here by bringing each District to the table.

So I agreed to do the first one of 2019, the District 2 report so we'll see that and that's why we put it on the agenda. I'll make that one short and sweet. I love my District and I'll be glad to answer any question regarding it in that presentation.

We also have Stacy tonight who will pretty much cover everything from the Department.

But with that I'm going to give a quick Chair's report. Aside from the fact that myself and other PROSAC members attended the Parks Alliance second annual park partners conference which I thought was excellently produced. Mark you were missed. Nick, you were missed. Others were there. At the opening Drew was kind enough to recognize us right at the beginning as say thanks PROSAC.

So here I am. This is my last meeting as chair because I will not run again for our elections and I've already tendered my resignation to my Supervisor. This is my last PROSAC meeting! Again, the hard work, the relationships that we've built here and in room 278 at City Hall and in the parks in our system. This will be in my heart forever. I love my time here.

In these nine years we've seen hundreds of presentation and our focus on oversight, understanding input, discussion around all these different projects it feels like to me like we've done great work, great park planning, policy. It's been an amazing journey from a guy who never saw himself serving the city in this way.

I have to take a minute to thank Les Hilger and Linda D'Avirro because both of them were strong shakers and they set me up for success and I hope that whoever replaces is in fact take from what we've been able to do.

I'd like to thank Richard and Anthony and Jordyn and Maya Rodgers for serving as Vice Chairs. I would also like the thank the Rec/Park department and specifically Tiffany Lin-Wilson and Olive Gong, Gary McCoy, Dawn Kamalanathan, Stacy Bradley, Toks Ajike, LaMonte' Bishop, Taylor Emerson, Denny Kern, and of course Phil Ginsburg. Our roles as CAC members sometime require us to be "the squeaky wheel" but it also means finding commonality and shared vision. The Recreation and Parks Department and their staff have always been respectful and open to working together to find some middle ground. At events or park openings it is always nice to be recognized as a PROSAC member by the RPD. It's also nice to see our hard work on display all over our city, each time I visit a park or other RPD property.

I would also like to thank Supervisors Michela Alioto-Pier, Mark Farrell and Catherine Stefani for supporting my appointment, and for always making parks a priority in terms of their policy, in our district and citywide.

Our committee has been an asset to our individual communities and districts, and to our city as a whole. It is clear that our national park score (Third or Fourth, nationally) that PROSAC played an integral part of our city park's success.

As I term out and no longer serve on this committee, I challenge each one of you to continue to work with RDP, SF Parks Alliance and each of your respective organizations and groups, and keep working to keep our city green, and support new open space, and promote future parks bonds. We have a great city park system, and we need to try to support it in any way we can.

It has been my pleasure to serve as a member and the Chair of this committee, and although we haven't always agreed, we have made our parks and our city better. I will continue to serve the city and our community in other ways in the years to come, and thank each of you for your service and love of your parks.

Thank you to the members of PROSAC past and present, especially Nick for those rides home in the early days, and friendships with Mark, Elisa, Jane and Ana Gee.

It's been my pleasure serving with all of you.

Is there any public comment on this item? Hearing none, this item is closed.

Stacy, let's do this.

Stacy Bradley: I'm joined by Mat Synder. He's in back. He's my contact with the Planning Department on the impact fees. He managed the IPIC the inter agency collaboration committee that makes decisions on how the money is spent and he also manages the Eastern Neighborhoods citizen's advisory committee although is passing that on to others and he probably managed the other CACs at some point. So he knows tons about impact fees and can answer all of your questions about the process and give more detail and provide broader information about how impact fees are used at other agencies. I'm going to give you more information about how we use it here but I wanted to make sure Mat was here to answer any questions that were bigger than Recreation and Park.

First, this is our neighborhood area plans, there are quite a few. It's the first main slide. The different categories that we allocate funding for is transit, complete streets, recreation open space, child care and the ratios vary per plan area but they're roughly around 20 percent for open space generally if there is a little bit per area. And all of these fees come into the city to offset the cost of infrastructure improvements from that are caused by these major new developments. They're not everywhere in the city and you can only use the money that comes in in the plan area that's generated. So as we go through we have some more detailed maps where you can see a little bit closer. I actually took this out of order than how I usually do it because typically we talk about Eastern Neighborhoods first because it's the biggest, it's had the most amount of money that's come in over the years and it has funded a huge amount of parks for us and so we'll get into that in detail but first I want to show you Visitacion Valley.

So this is the impact fee boundary. The major projects are Shlage Lock and Executive Park. And then future projects are the Hope S.F. Sunnydale market rate healthy project that will come online. There will likely be some fees that they pay although they're doing so many improvements within their site that they may just have all in kind waivers that hasn't yet been determined but we do expect some money to come into the area from Shlage and the Executive Park and some money has come from Executive Park.

The plan area I think you can see it here, Hertz is right here, that's Hertz and Coffman Pool and it's adjacent to McLaren Park. And then the other parks in here are Visitacion Valley playground. There is a Visitacion Valley greenway, Little Hollywood.

So what we anticipate using the funds from this plan area for are to compliment the Let's Play S.F. project that's within Hertz at the playground and the funding there will help to augment the project to improve the clubhouse. And then we're always working with MTA on improving Visitacion Avenue going through McLaren Park, it starts right by Hertz, the entrance, the portion that we're interested in, Visitacion Avenue is a much bigger road. But where we're interested in it starts by Hertz and curves up into McLaren Park. We're improving it now with a crosswalk. It was part of the vision plan for McLaren Park. So that's not part of this effort but it will be happening, it's circled here in the blue with the new pedestrian crosswalk. We're hoping to use some funding from here with MTA support to really look at Visitacion Avenue and how it provides connectivity within McLaren Park and then also the neighborhood. It's a very big road and people go really fast and it has a steep hill.

Hertz playground is across the street from McLaren community garden which is wrapping up and we want to make sure that there's safe passage for the kids who use Hertz and go over to the community garden. It's not far to get down to the corner but it's certainly out of the way so as part of our efforts in this area is to improve this section.

Next is Market-Octavia and this came about when the highway came down on Octavia Boulevard we Patricia's Green from the freeway being torn down and it was renovated from portions of the sale of the highway. There are a number of parks in here. The parks that have received the most amount of funding is Margaret Hayward and it's been the signature park within the plan area. It's under construction right now, I'm going to show you a couple of images but it is definitely the key renovation in this neighborhood area. We've done other renovations that some of them have be complemented with IPIC funding but really the chunk of the money from this plan area has gone to Margaret Hayward. We've convinced or we've worked with the citizen's advisory committee to look at Buchanan Street Mall as part of the Market-Octavia plan area. It falls within the buffer zone that you can use funding for and we've financed a right of way so that we're looking at opening the Grove Street edge of Buchanan into Hayes Valley to provide a little bit more connectivity. That may or may not go anywhere but we're also looking at leveraging funds from there to improve that end of the park which we're developing the concept plan right now.

Also, we're working on encouraging the CAC to really see Civic Center as being one of their—as being a big neighborhood park. The hub which you can sort of see in the dark purple outline here in another image you'll see better, this is driving a lot of new residential development and they're going to use Civic Center as part of their neighborhood park.

Steven Currier: So the HUD money is going to go to Civic Center?

Stacy Bradley: It's undetermined. I am encouraging the HUD money to go to Civic Center, Buchanan and also 11th and Natoma which is right next to Market-Octavia and Eastern Neighborhoods because most of the parks in Market-Octavia are doing pretty well.

This is Margaret Hayward. This is the existing site and then here is what it's going to look like when it opens up and here are a few renderings. So this shows how much money we've gotten from the bond project that received \$14 million from the 2012 bond and it's getting almost \$8 million from impact fees. It's really an amazing—what we're able to do here because of the impact fees is spectacular and then we have an additional almost \$6 million in grants and open space funding to close out the project but we're moving from here the fields are roughly in the same configuration but building the clubhouse is over here on the far left and we're moving it into the center so it's becoming more of a useful part, we're improving connections, activating the area, also creating more of a connection along Octavia. There's a plan, I don't know if it will ever happen or not, but the housing that's just south of Margaret Hayward is also considering opening up Octavia Boulevard so we'll be able to have a good Octavia Boulevard corridor. If that happens we'll be prepared in case it arrives and some things in it change, DEM the tennis courts they're staying. The rest of it is really we're just sort of flipping it around.

So that is Market-Octavia. Any questions?

Eastern Neighborhoods. This also has the hub highlighted on it. This shows the parks that have received IPIC funding over the years. This is pretty up to date. I didn't update it from last fall but I don't think anything new received funding in here. I'm going to step you through a number of the projects that have received funding through here starting with the ones that are currently open.

First we have South Park and this received \$1.5 million in impact fees and the rest were donations and it was also within the 2012 bond. It opened in March, 2017.

Our next is In Chan Kaajal Park or 17th and Folsom and this is a brand new park that was in a parking lot owned by the Public Utilities Commission. The property was subdivided between a park for us and a housing development with the Mayor's Office of Housing. It was a great collaboration. The only things that we're physically sharing is access to the restroom so we didn't need to build a new restroom here because the housing development will open up their restroom to the park when that's open. I believe the housing development just started construction or is planning to start this month or next.

Next we have the next future park which is not built but it is 11th and Natoma at the corner of 11th and Minna which is just south of Mission. It's almost a half-acre site and will be able to provide fairly significant open space in an area that has almost none. The closest park to this facility is the SOMA West Dog and Skate Park under the freeway.

Male Speaker: Do you have a total project budget?

Stacy Bradley: I do not. I just have what we've received. So we spend almost \$10 in open space acquisition fund to purchase the property and we have \$8.8 to develop it. We anticipate it's going to cost between \$10 million and \$15 million, likely closer to \$15 million by the time all the leases are up and we have a design to build it. So in the next year or two we'll be doing a concept plan process with the community develop a project here and determine what the costs will be. Likely we'll have a couple of different options, one that will be roughly \$9 million and then depending on how much money we can raise or get through other sources we'll have a full project. I imagine this is a good one for grant funding and I do think this is a good option for Market-Octavia to help fund as well and there will also be future eastern neighbor and SOMA piece, so there's possibilities for additional sources.

Next is Franklin Square. It was supposed to open this month. The rain is causing delays. It's a small project, fully funded through Eastern Neighborhoods IPIC plus and add-back from a Supervisor for an additional scope item for some lighting.

Next we have Garfield which is like Margaret Hayward here Garfield is our largest funded project through Eastern Neighborhoods through the impact fees. It is a massive change. This is the new site layout and here is the axial view to give you an overview of the project. The bond funded \$11 million and impact fees provided almost \$9 million so almost a 50-50 split. The funding here has allowed this project to really shift how Garfield is going to be used by the community. It's pulling in the clubhouse to connect with the pool and creating we're calling it

more of an aquatic center instead of just a pool this is a space that you go, you can have your parties, we can have movie nights. We're adding a lot more functionality and cohesiveness to the park.

Female Speaker: Do the soccer fields stay in the same location?

Stacy Bradley: Yes. We're not moving the soccer field. It was renovated earlier and in a good location and heavily used.

Female Speaker: The impact fees where do they come from for Garfield?

Stacy Bradley: Eastern Neighborhoods. I should have provided a little more context here. Eastern Neighborhoods is Mission, Potrero Hill, Central waterfront, east SOMA and west SOMA. All of that money has been pooled and then goes out for different project throughout the system and Matt has done an analysis in looking at home we've used it as well as other city agencies and its generally well-split between the different plan areas. But because it's look at as a whole we haven't been doing precise breakdowns.

Next we have Potrero Hill. This is an interesting one. We had fully funded the project through the 2012 bond but then there was a small project that the community I think it was the TA who brought it to us to do a lighting of the White Walkie schoolbus trail that connects the Potrero Hope S.F. site through Potrero Hill and into their neighborhood school. Then through that connection we've been able to expand the scope a little bit more and add some additional funding from Eastern Neighborhoods to really flesh out the project. It's mostly we're redoing the fields, the dog play areas, and then lighting and improved trails from the housing and along the northern side.

Male Speaker: Do you get any funding or donations from Live Oaks School?

Stacy Bradley: Not for Potrero Hill. The next big project we're working on is Gene Friend Rec. So we are in the planning stages. We've received about \$1.8 million in IPIC funding to help us plan for it and then received a grant from Trust for Public Land in coordination with the Friend family to do the design and so we've been working closely with both TLP and the Friend family to get a new design for this rec center that currently looks a little unwelcoming. It's not in a terribly engaging space so we're excited to turn this around and make it more transparent on the edges, more connected to the community. The basketball court is shifting its location. The playground is roughly in a similar space but it's shifted a little bit to play more with the layout of the building and to be more useful. The focus is on the building and making it a very active space. We're increasing the indoor space by 50 percent which is really significant. It's a small space and we're not losing that much outdoor space because we're going up a second story and then also opening up a deck on an outside area so we can have even more outdoor space.

Here are more renderings of what it will look like.

Female Speaker: Will the expected timeline on this—the design is gorgeous.

Stacy Bradley: We're working on that. It depends, the project is dependent on Central SOMA funds which we expect to give \$25 million and then we also need the 2020 bond to fund it in some way and pass so I think we will be planning that those things will happen and then we're going. So I don't yet know. This will be one that we'll plan on delivering as soon as the Central SOMA money comes in and the Central SOMA money can come in a couple of different ways. There's impact fee money that comes. We receive the impact fee money when they start digging, so when they file their building permit they can't start their construction until they pay the fees but that can be years, so they can file a building permit and not start digging for years. They can be entitled and not do anything for years. So it's hard to plan on it but the good thing, one of the good things about Central SOMA is that it also has a community facility district so as project come online and they start paying into the CFD we'll be able to bond against it. So we don't necessarily have to wait until all impact fee monies are in because the city can bond against the CFD to create the money earlier so we can use it before costs go up.

So the hope is that it happens soon but it's unclear exactly when.

Female Speaker: Quick question. I know that Bessie Carmichael United Playas and others all use that facility so I was just wondering what the time will be around the school year.

Stacy Bradley: It will end up taking over a year so it will be impactful. There's no way around it.

Female Speaker: Is there any way to mitigate that loss for them?

Stacy Bradley: We're going to have to work with them on how to find alternate services. It's going to be tough. I think when this goes under construction it's going to be challenging and since we're years away from figuring that out we're focusing right now on the concept plan and then as we get closer to figuring out the construction plan we'll work on how everybody is being displaced and what that means and what type of facility we can offer elsewhere.

Another one is Jury Commons. This is what it looks like today. This is Let's Play S.F. project and it's a Community Opportunity Fund project so it has a couple of different sources through bond funding and then also has some funding through IPIC. Here are some renderings of what it will look like. It's a really exciting project and it's a small site and the play area is relatively small but this reconfiguration I think is helping it become more of an asset to the community and do a little bit more in its small space. It looks lovely.

Steven Currier: I drive by there every day and the more people I see but then the more—this is probably an issue for the neighborhood and maybe Recreation and Park but I see a lot of people sleeping in there too because it's a hideaway from Guerrero. You can get lost in that little area.

Stacy Bradley: And certainly we want everyone to feel safe and welcome in all our parks. One benefit of improving a part space is that it activates in different ways and encourages people to use it differently.

Next I have Esprit and this is a future project. We're working on the concept plan right now based on the Dogpatch plan that the Planning Department led. We have a grant from UCSF for \$5 million and then IPIC funding is providing the rest of the sources for the project so we estimate that it's going to be about \$7.5 million to fully renovate Esprit and here we're going to—a lot of it will be sort of the same, parts of it passive, some adult exercise equipment but the problem that we've been having here is that it's been a de facto dog play area and there's no separation of spaces so this will likely define a dog play area and non-dog play area so that people can use this park with less conflict.

Chair: Not to interrupt. I just visited Esprit. It's palpable that people are like there's the dog people and there's everyone else.

Stacy Bradley: A lot of parents and children feel uncomfortable going when there are so many dogs off-leash. There are no play structures there. So we're looking at adding some play elements.

Chair: Will it become an approved DPA?

Stacy Bradley: That's a hope.

Chair: So a DPA plus all this other stuff.

Stacy Bradley: Yes.

[simultaneous comments]

Stacy Bradley: We're lucky with Duboce Park because it's significantly bigger than Esprit so it works really well there because there's enough space that you can have that kind of division. Alamo Square as well, there's also an area that's divided but it's not quite as obvious as some of other spaces like at Lafayette. But that is a smaller space which may be about the same size as thing once you divide them up. You're getting a little smaller of an area and there's so many dogs that doing it like we do at Duboce or Alamo is unlikely.

Chair: Are you considering [unintelligible].

Stacy Bradley: We're considering. We're working with the community flesh out the details.

Chair: [unintelligible] and seeing that swamp pit of Hell it would be really nice if you guys put some artificial there simply because it will probably last.

Stacy Bradley: And one of the interesting things about this park, it was the corporate park for the Esprit headquarters and it's sort of built on a quarry so it's a bowl. It's difficult to drain the space.

So I don't have an image of this but another park that we funded through impact fees is in Rincon Hill and it's the Guy Place Park. It's another brand new park. It's under construction

now. It's opening later this year. It's exciting that we're getting a lot of new parks through these impact fees and Robert you asked about our strategy and how we choose what we choose and part of it is where the need is and how we can leverage the funds to go farther. It's difficult to get a fully funded project through impact fees so we like to see how we can use other sources to make a really great project and then have impact fees bump it over the top. We also try to implement what the Neighborhood Area Plans have said which vary per area. Generally it's to improve existing spaces, grow where possible. Eastern Neighborhoods asked for a new space and renovation in each plan area. We've done that in a combination of ways, it hasn't just been Recreation and Park delivering new open space but we've been the ones who have done all the major renovations and instead of just one we've been able to really use this move to go significantly farther and hit more than one park in most of the neighborhood area plans.

An example of a new park that we didn't do it Dagget Park which is in Showplace Square. It's pretty good. We would have done it differently had it been ours but it is a new park, it's providing open space to an area that is underserved.

Female Speaker: I was going to ask about Esprit. [unintelligible] As I understand it we have just a couple of those in the city, the other one Hayes Valley I believe.

Stacy Bradley: Actually, that's the only one. [unintelligible] There's others that people have been talking about but no other one that's actually been created.

Female Speaker: So how do the impact fees work with the Green Benefit District assessments?

Stacy Bradley: It's a good question. They are actually completely separate. So impact fees can only be used on capital improvements whereas something like a Green Benefit District can be used for operations and capital investments. We tend to use things like that to supplement services and then to help with renewals. It's really hard to pull aside a little bit of money every year to build up a bank to renovate a park. We need to get bonds and do it that way. We're shifting to try to be more proactive as we take care of our spaces to make sure we're addressing as much need as we can before it needs the big infusion of cash but scrolling away little bits of cash is really difficult to do in the city. So being as fiscally responsible as we can things like the Green Benefits Districts I think can play a great role in helping.

Chair: I know that Ana Gee has questions. Anybody else?

Ana Gee: District 6. So I guess my questions is how do you decide to [unintelligible].

Stacy Bradley: Yeah, I would say it's really difficult. The plan areas are defined by where new zoning is happening and generally it's upzoning, it's more density and the funding that comes in is supposed to be generated by these new developments and that serve the new developments. So it's not supposed to solve our existing problems but add capacity and expansion to address the new amount of people coming in. The expansion of—because you don't stay within your walk, people walk around, there is a general buffer around each of the plan areas to allow the funding to create a bit of a transition zone.

Market-Octavia is a bit of a different—it's a hundred feet buffer that goes around the plan area. So Buchanan Street Mall fits in. Civic Center is very close, it's not within the 100-foot buffer but it's close to it. The reason why I think Civic Center is cool for the hub in particular is because it's farther away from Margaret Hayward and people will for sure be going to Civic Center and so from that they will be using it as their neighborhood park and my hope is that the Tenderloin also start using it as their neighborhood park and as we're able to do the Civic Center improvements the area that provides benefit instead of just being within the Civic Center plaza expands down to UN Plaza and we're able to create a highly functioning space that can provide neighborhood connection and access and more of a neighborhood park than it does today. So my hope is that in the future it can provide such things but it is difficult to expand it unless there's a change that's happening. So the Market-Octavia has the hub that's undergoing environmental review right now so there's the possibility that it can be expanded a little bit. Central SOMA did just go through its approval process.

Mat Snyder: [unintelligible]

Female Speaker: [unintelligible]

Mat Snyder: I don't believe we have [unintelligible]

Chair: This is the community right here and I would say [unintelligible]. Obviously is great to hear when we're [unintelligible] I understand that. But here's Ana Gee, she got put [unintelligible]. The Tenderloin is already at capacity.

Stacy Bradley: It is problematic. It's also Chinatown that we're going to get a plan area and one of the things—one of the challenges with plan areas and this concept is that it really changes a neighborhood and so there's also a lot of challenges with having concentrated growth. I think there's also been a push not to do that in the Tenderloin because of the desire to keep the Tenderloin as it is and try to not have it completely turn over. But that being said while develop impact fees specific plan area impact fees don't apply to developments outside of the plan area if a project is big enough there's a development agreement that can be reached with the city that then offers other public benefits. So one that maybe is not a great example but is an example is 5M which was a large development in SOMA and they worked with the city on a development agreement.

Chair: [unintelligible]

Stacy Bradley: Right, because having a neighborhood plan in this context would upzone, drives more density and more development in the area.

Chair: Since you and Mat are tag-teaming this I'm going to switch over here. Do you have anything on the agenda that would potentially set up for funding open space [unintelligible]?

Mat Snyder: [unintelligible]

Chair: These go to specific Districts and areas [unintelligible].

Mat Snyder: Not under the existing [unintelligible].

Stacy Bradley: And that can be used in the Tenderloin.

Chair: That's popos, right?

Stacy Bradley: No, not popos. It's in the C3 District, the Downtown Park Fund all development within the C3 zone which is a commercial zone they pay money into the Downtown Park Fund, It's like a dollar or two per square foot so it can net some significant amounts.

Chair: I'd be looking at that right there Ana Gee.

Stacy Bradley: So the run for the Downtown Park Fund is on parks that serve the C3 zone and the C3 zone is generally along Market Street and expands outward on both sides but more a little bit north.

Ana Gee: [unintelligible]

Stacy Bradley: Sort of. That helps weigh within a plan area it could elevate a park if there's a need of one park over another of if say everything is equal and one park is in an equity zone and one isn't it would definitely heavily weigh that park in an equity zone for us because that's how we allocate funding. But within each plan area there actually isn't much of a change. Like I don't think there are any equity zones within Eastern Neighborhoods—no, there are some. So as we our analysis of where money goes it always weighs.

Robert Brust: I don't have a whole lot to say. This was great Stacy, thank you. Are any of these fees have a time limit, are they sunset built in?

Stacy Bradley: No.

Mat Snyder: [unintelligible]

Stacy Bradley: And then it too will tap out because—unless building doesn't occur then either everything will be built out or take longer.

Mat Snyder: [unintelligible]

Chair: Just a quick question, maybe just for the committee's edification. So you're saying that sometimes you have these deals where you know that they're going to happen and you know the money is not coming right away but the city will in fact loan itself—

Stacy Bradley: No. We don't do that.

Chair: Explain that again.

Stacy Bradley: We wait until money comes in before we use the money. But we try to plan because we're on a two-year budget cycle so Mat does projections to help us understand the upcoming five-year horizon but really we budget for one fiscal year and say for instance we think we have a \$5 million in the next fiscal year we then allocate that \$5 million throughout the different parks that we think will need it the next fiscal year. If that money doesn't come in we can't use it.

Chair: So in essence even though they hold permits.

Stacy Bradley: Right, it's a guess until the money comes in. We do have the ability that if we have money that we've received and we're not actively using it or going into construction we could shift the funds. So for instance the money was much slower in coming in than anticipated in the Eastern Neighborhoods this year but we had already fully funded the 11th and Natoma project but we didn't do anything with that. We're not planning on using that money this year or next so we were able to use that money that we had already received to fund the project that's going into construction and then as the money came in we were then able to replenish that money. So in a way it was shifting the money but not really because it's all the same money that's coming in and we're able to use it in a different way to not have to pay the costs of delay on construction.

Chair: Thank you. Anybody else with questions? Any public comment? Being none, public comment is closed. Thank you, that was an awesome presentation.

In the old days when this committee used to meet and we were challenged for agenda items we conceived a plan that said each of you at District representatives should present a little overview of your District and we thought this would be very informative to District but also very informative to individuals who represent those Districts because many times you just don't know enough to really talk in front of a committee.

So Tiffany and I were looking at the agenda for this month and said you know we have this hole and we need to fill. She said what about those District reports we used to do? I said I'll whip up a District 2 report. So for those of you who have been on the committee since way back when we used to District reports Jeremy Goldberg was the PROSAC District 2 representative who decided that he quit PROSAC before he ever gave this presentation. And so I just took it. This is a hybrid presentation that I gave about five years because Jeremy wasn't here. It's a fitting last preso for me because this tells the story of why I became a PROSAC member.

Quickly, I'll just give you an overview. This is our city. You can see District 2 at the top. I've lived in District 2 fifteen years now. I know my way around it. I spend a lot of time in parks throughout District 2.

District 2 was shaped by the 1906 earthquake. To that I would say Panama-Pacific International Expo, we know what that looks like today. Pacific Heights, the majority of that neighborhood was built after 1906 and Japantown obviously following 1906 as well.

At a glance, eleven neighborhoods make us District 2. It seems crazy that there are this many neighborhoods in our District but you can see as you look at these various neighborhoods where they all fit.

Again, by zip code you can see all the way from Aquatic Park, through the Presidio. Population again by District we basically figure District size by over eighteen population, non-registered voters, so roughly 70,000-75,000 people live in District 2.

Landmarks there, the Lyon Street streets, Fort Mason, Palace of Fine Arts. Register of Historical Places, Aquatic Park Historical District and the painted ladies. Those are just a few. Pretty pictures of those areas again for those of you who live in other Districts please feel free to come visit. There's some nice views.

Board of Supervisors, current representative is Catherine Stefani. Basically the political leadership from this District, some names you probably know. And I would say the political orientation to this day is still very moderate-conservative. To that end, this is my favorite slide, [unintelligible]. I believe this is the amount of Republicans in San Francisco. To that end—

[simultaneous comments]

What we would say, 50 percent democratic, 29 percent no party preference, 17 percent registered Republicans.

[simultaneous comments]

Male Speaker: It's the people that live in the apartments that are up in the Presidio and there's a big set of apartments there that are mainly [unintelligible].

[simultaneous comments]

Male Speaker: It's in the corner. The dark blue .1 to 10 is most conservative and you get to the pink and it's 90.1 to 100 most liberal.

Chair: There you go.

Female Speaker: I actually think that while we're all here [unintelligible] it's actually good for us to discuss maybe rather than stuck in the conversation. Like for example is there anything related to parks or open space that might relate to where people fall in the political spectrum. Maybe we can discuss that.

Female Speaker: I think the more conservative areas you see a lot less tolerance for homeless people. You see a lot less people of color using the park. I think [unintelligible]. You see a lot more young people using parks in [unintelligible].

Ana Gee: District 6. And also [unintelligible]

Female Speaker: I think there would be more—you'd see more of a correlation rather than political orientation to [unintelligible] obviously the wealthier neighborhoods have more parks than poorer neighbors. I don't think you have the chart because that's not an issue in District 2 particularly. But that would be the more telling slide I think.

Female Speaker: Is that because wealthy people have historically donated tracts of land to the city for the development of parks?

Female Speaker: I would say it's more that they have a stronger political voice.

Female Speaker: They also have more free time to attend Commission meetings.

Chair: They also have a larger tax base. I mean we could go on and on. There's a lot of reasons.

Female Speaker: [unintelligible]

Female Speaker: For different reasons depending on your wealth whatnot you have different expectations about what parks should be doing.

Chair: I also think when you [unintelligible] but when you speak about neighborhoods that generally have more wealth the reality is yes, whether they have more of a voice or not isn't the issue. Whether they're donating to their parks, whether they're taking active efforts. I mean I would say maybe if you were to try to poll it you would say how much volunteerism versus donations and look at that. How many people in District 2 volunteer time versus don't and what's the worth of that and look at that as kind of a cross-section, not just about political parties, not just about wealth, but how does that relate to volunteerism. Do people in District 2 feel like they're entitled because they pay for whatever? I mean I would argue that's not the case. I would argue that I could show a whole lot of volunteer time even though they're contributing wealth those same people are digging in the dirt. Now, if I shifted that to my [unintelligible] or Crocker or somewhere else would there be as much volunteerism even though there isn't as much wealth. I don't know. I mean again we could discuss that at length but I think that's the question. Ana Gee would say there's plenty of people that want to volunteer if you give me a park space to volunteer at but we don't have any. So again. Robert.

Robert Brust: Francisco reservoir.

Chair: I'm getting to it.

Robert Brust: How many millions of dollars have they raised to build their own park?

Chair: \$22 million. We'll get to it. Okay, so let's keep going. Julia thank you for that.

Female Speaker: [unintelligible]

Chair: Sure. Although I would say that this item is not an action item. We're about to get to an action in about three minutes. So let's kind of take a look again, just national versus RPD parks. Golden Gate National Rec Area, the Presidio, Crissy Field, Fort Mason, all fall in District 2 as does for Recreation and Park Place of Fine Arts, Marina Green, Lafayette and Alta Plaza, and then Mountain Lake Park all fall within District 2.

I thought it might be good to give you a snapshot of some of the projects from the end of 2008 but really the 2012 bond because those are probably the most impactful. Moscone Rec Center, the east playground, \$1.5 million budget which probably escalated from the time I looked at these numbers. Completed in 2018 and you can see they renovated the dog play area as well as the kids playground. This definitely serves a community that basically didn't have much of a park there to begin with. Now it's really well-used. I go past there every single weekend. It's packed with kids and dogs.

Mountain Lake Park. If you haven't visited Mountain Lake you're wrong! I'm going to say that right out, not because it's in my District, because it's a dope park and if you haven't visited that park whether you're a dog person or not that is an awesome park. So a \$2 million budget, completed in 2018. This is a beautiful open space both for kids, adults, dogs, really good co-use of space. Recreation and Park Department who loves you baby. That was a good job.

This park is near and dear to my heart. I live here, I spend every day in this park, mostly twice a day, sometimes three times a day depending. My dog is demanding and as I will tell you I've seen a pretty shady shabby park with a financial investment by the Department turn into this unbelievable gem. Again, I'm just saying this to you because some of you remember pre-Lafayette Park renovation. It was a ghetto park. It was in a beautiful neighborhood but it wasn't nice and to see what they did. Again, I wasn't the hugest fan of the Department before this. They wowed me when they did this renovation because it just looks amazing.

So with that said you're seeing Lafayette Park, an aerial view. This is pre-renovation. I didn't really put up a lot of post-renovation pictures. You can come and visit. This is the concept plan. Again. Really well executed. A couple little things but the Department is the Department, they're going to have grand visions, they are also going to do stuff that seems to take into account the needs of [unintelligible]. I would say Lafayette Park was one of those where there was a lot of different voices, not really before the renovation. In fact I would tell you that we had a very quiet five public meetings and then the bananas came out of the woodwork. Those fences went up and people were trying to stop, legal processes, challenging the Department's choice of concrete use. They're killing all the hawks. The birds, the trees. So again. This was the Department spending a lot of time and money defending their position of renovating a great park.

I would urge you come check this park out. Stephanie and I spend plenty of time with our dogs there. It's a great park.

So the villain of the park system and I only say villain because obviously people feel that there are other Districts that deserve support, acquisitions and I support that too. I was the one that with Supervisor Farrell crafted the resolution of acquisition of Francisco so that was at my hand. It was with his impetus, he saw an opportunity to acquire 4.5 acres of open space. It didn't matter

what District it was, he knew that there would not be the opportunity to acquire that large a space and I know we could debate this for a long time about where the city is putting its assets. This is the concept plan. You will never believe what this thing is going to look like if you haven't looked at the docs. I mean the fact that they're going to clear out half of that, most of it, they're going to grade it out. It's going to turn into a very usable park space in an area quite honestly doesn't have a lot of open space. I heard people in public meeting about Francisco talk about how they sent their children in the fifties to play basketball in the street, to play with each other in the street because they had no public open space. They do now and again this is going to be an amazing park.

This was acquired through the Open Space Fund for about \$11.5 million. And to that end as I heard somebody else ask, how much did the community raise? \$22 million to date. So again, I'm not trying to say is [unintelligible] they didn't know where that money was coming from. We're not talking about they knew going in that this money was sitting in some pot. They went out and raised it and they could have easily not raised what they need to do. I think this is going to be an amazing park.

The last one I'll talk about is Alta Plaza Park because Alta Plaza, great park, awesome destination park. Unfortunately it has had some incredible drainage issues, incredible water problems. I think the largest water waster in the city until last year. This—the reason I put it up here wasn't just RPD, it wasn't just Public Utilities Commission, it was add-backs, it was every means necessary to try to tackle this gem of a park that's sitting there drowning under its own lack of water control. This is a park again where we say there are a lot of notable neighbors, there's a lot of people who live in that area who could be helping. But you don't see that very much. The president of the Recreation and Park Commission, that's his front lawn.

Male Speaker: Vanessa Williams is a neighbors who practices in Alta Plaza Park.

Chair: We could go on and on about the celebs that live around that park. My point to that is it's still very difficult for that friends of group to raise any money towards—they have a concept place to renovate this park at \$7.5 million in 2016 dollars, you know where they are towards that? Zero dollars. Now why do I say this? Because there is a woman, her name is Anita Dense, she is like the second hardest working person in park business next to Ginsburg and I say that only because she ends up in the same places. You turn around you go Phil—Anita what are you doing here. Because Anita is just a mover and shaker. But what she doesn't have is an organization around her and so I'm not going to make this into the why you should have a friends of group but I am going to make this into a why you should have a friends of group because at the end of the day a friends group pushes a rock up the hill and Anita is a frail 68-year-old and pushing rocks up the hill is not her gig.

So here we see in District 2 in a well-off neighborhood. They used all the money, that's Recreation and Park money, Supervisor Farrell add-back money, Public Utilities Commission money, to fix the leaks. They don't have enough money for trees. They don't have the money to redo the paths. They were lucky that RPD had some extra planks lying around that they could redo some entrances. Why do I say this? Again. Because a friend group raises money and helps money and people like you are those people in your Districts. So again that's something I'm

trying to do. Trying to help Anita Dense up the hill because she deserves it as do the people of this hood.

Female Speaker: [unintelligible]

Chair: Francisco Reservoir has a conservancy which is like super Friends group. They started with the idea that if you build it—if you tell people you're going to build it they will donate and it took initially getting Recreation and Park to the table, getting the Public Utilities Commission who had the property to the table and then saying okay we need some people to steer headways in this money. So it was really just kind of everybody involved saying we're going to help somehow.

Robert Brust: And they were talking about it and looking at the scoop of white elephant sitting there for years. The reservoir was closed. It was just an abandoned hole.

Chair: Just to set the record straight too with Stacy here, what do you think in terms of the developer if the Public Utilities Commission had really tried to make money, what's the going rate for a four-acre spot in that area, what do you guess they would have gotten for that? 30, 50?

Stacy Bradley: 30, 40.

Chair: So again I just want to say to those of you who say hey why do we put this park in this place, we got a bargain and it saddens me to think there are other Districts that don't have these spaces but it also makes me really happy to think that somebody had forethought that one day we'll all be standing in that park because somebody said you know what it's four acres, we should do something with this. We shouldn't let it before another 50 story building.

Any questions?

I don't know who the next chair is. We're going to find out in a minute. The game show is about to begin. But somebody needs to start thinking about doing the next park [unintelligible] so I'm going to nominate Julia. You seem like you would be a good park preso person.

Ana Gee: [unintelligible]

Chair: I'm always down for a District 6 visit. For our next item this is my 8th election at PROSAC. We follow Robert's Rules of Order. That means we start with the lowest officer, so that would be the second vice chair. This is open nominations unless we have a tie. If we have a tie then it's a hidden vote. I would say in order to move this along fairly quickly I'm going to open the nominations for second vice chair of PROSAC for the next calendar year.

Ana Gee: District 6. I'd like to nominate [unintelligible].

Female Speaker: I second that nomination.

Female Speaker: I'm sorry, can I decline?

Chair: Yes. I just finished writing your name there.

Male Speaker: [unintelligible]

Male Speaker: I declined and I would decline again.

Chair: This isn't an open debate. This is a nomination process. Do I hear any other nominations?

Female Speaker: Can you review what the duties of this position are?

Chair: Absolutely. So first and second vice chair generally support the chair's efforts in trying to add agenda items, interface with the Department, meet with various presenters. It generally works out to a couple hours a month. I would say the chair is probably around eight or ten hours a month which the vice are probably two or three hours a month. There's no hard responsibility. You definitely would say that if you are interested in seeking leadership in PROSAC they you would start at the second vice chair and kind of work your way up the chain. The nominations for second vice chair are wide open.

Jordyn Aquino: I nominate Nick Belloni.

Wendy Aragon: Second.

Chair: All right, Nick Belloni has now been nominated as second vice chair. Is there anyone else interested in the nomination for this? All in favor of Nick Belloni for second vice chair for calendar year 2019?

All: Aye.

Chair: Opposed. Hearing none. The nominations are now open for first vice chair.

Elisa Laird-Metke: District 9. I nominate Wendy Aragon.

Female Speaker: Second.

Wendy Aragon: I accept.

Chair: Any others? All in favor of Wendy Aragon as first vice chair for PROSAC say aye?

All: Aye.

Chair: Opposed. Hearing none, you're first vice chair. Nomination for chair?

Wendy Aragon: District 1. I nominate Jordyn Aquino for chair.

Anthony Cuadro: District 7. I second that.

Jordyn Aquino: I accept.

Chair: Anybody else? All in favor of Jordyn Aquino as chair of PROSAC for 2019 say aye.

All: Aye.

Chair: Opposed? Just for anybody who wasn't here years ago we had a tie for second that went on into two or three rounds of voting. Stacy let's do a little capital planning.

Stacy Bradley: Some openings that we recently had. Balboa, it was very successful and hopefully we're going to get some good pictures to send out. [unintelligible] We even had a synchronized swim team. Also Phil Arnold Trail opened up, so that's right here, and the trail is named after one of our biggest community supports Phil Arnold. [unintelligible]. And then upcoming is McLaren community garden at the end of the month and [unintelligible] community garden also opening later this month.

In construction—I'm going to give this month and a little bit of next month. The Golden Gate Park tennis center is doing a groundbreaking on April 4th which is the 149th anniversary of Golden Gate Park. And the construction will start soon after. For the Let's Play S.F. playground projects we've got Turk-Hyde, Sergeant MaCaulay, both about to start construction. Panhandle as well. The playground and the McLaren Park picnic playground.

And then out to bid is George Christopher and Stanyan Street [unintelligible]. So Robert asked me for more information about George Christopher. It's a 2012 bond project and we had multiple issues that is a little slower than most of the other 2012 bond projects. The biggest one was [unintelligible]. We were having challenges with that so we had to work out our differences over [unintelligible].

Male Speaker: [unintelligible] 1960-something.

Stacy Bradley: Amazing, that is actually over 50 years old. [simultaneous comments].

Female Speaker: Where is that Stacy?

Stacy Bradley: George Christopher is on the top edge of Glen Canyon at Diamond Heights. [unintelligible] That caused a lot of turmoil between us and the Planning Department and they shifted [unintelligible].

And then we also had a few beneficial delays. We had an add-back from the Supervisor and we were able to add [unintelligible] from some money from the Operations team. So then we'll be able to accommodate those changes in the design which took a little bit of extra time as well. So we are going out to bid. It will be delivered shortly. It should be in construction sometime in September [unintelligible].

Recent community meetings at Golden Gate Heights about the playground. And then Lake Merced is later this month and just at the end of last month there was a Civic Center public [unintelligible] is generally done and if you're interested and you don't know what it is let me know and I will send you as much information as you want to see but it's the Planning Department with us MTA and Public Works working along with them is the [unintelligible].

Chair: [unintelligible]

Stacy Bradley: [unintelligible] at the end of March. [simultaneous comments]

Male Speaker: Was George Christopher is that a Let's Play S.F.?

Stacy Bradley: [unintelligible] No, it's actually a playground. [unintelligible] I think it's just one restroom so you can access it from the playground but we're not doing interior clubhouse. Again, [unintelligible]. We're going to have a lot of historic issues with every renovation. When I see every new renovation coming up where we haven't touched something in a while [unintelligible].

Male Speaker: Save the ugly little cement box.

Chair: Anybody have any questions for Stacy? Any public comment? Hearing none, this item is closed.

So obviously there are a handful of things that as I leave the chair and Jordyn takes of that [unintelligible] will meet with Tiffany. Generally we meet a week after PROSAC meeting to discuss the agenda setting for the following month. I know that there are a handful of things on this agenda that are already in the works so rather than go through them all does anybody have anything that isn't on here they would like to add? Hearing none.

So they're going to flesh out what your next month's agenda is. I think [unintelligible] is possibly one. I think vandalism is possibly one. I know permitting is one that Wendy wants to take up so obviously Tiffany is in the know about this. From our conversation Tiffany will be at the April meeting potentially but then the Department will hopefully find somebody for a couple months to take Tiffany's [simultaneous comments].

So I want to move on. If there is no other comment on this agenda setting let's move off of that item. Any public comment? Hearing none, this item is closed.

So I want to say three things. I went this [unintelligible] conference and what I really got out of it was the gangster gardener said some shit that I have to repeat. The first thing he said was—this guy, I don't want to give his story away but [unintelligible]. His name is Ron Finley. He was a guy who took control of abatement, like a secondary lawn to his house. It wasn't his property, it was the city's property. And he said you know if we're going to like beat this equity thing we've got to start planting food. We've got to start eating. And so he in fact plants a little garden in this patch and immediate the cops come and try to arrest him for gardening in public.

He goes on to build hundreds of gardens. People are inspired all over the world by him and he basically his whole thing is about this is our biggest thing. He said if I asked you what do you rate the highest thing in your life and people say it's my wife, my cat, he goes no stupid, it's air. Let's just be real, it's air. We all need air. And if air isn't the answer then food is the next answer. And so his whole thing is about food. It's about planting food. He said in equity challenged areas—that was the word he used—he said that this is the hardest thing because dirt and people of color have huge historical issues in terms of saying that it's a good thing to get your hands dirty, to plant, you know that people feel like this has a direct correlation to slavery and I don't necessarily want to embrace it and he's just full-on about embracing.

So what I want to say that he said that I thought was so brilliant, he said I wish gardening would be as sexy as diamonds. I thought that was a great line. The second thing he said is beauty is by design. He said when you look out there at these parks and they've spent all this time developing them and they're beautiful and people money and whatever you have to look at the ugly and realize that there's some ugly that's by design too and if we could just derail that thinking and say yeah, just don't put your mattress on the side of the road. Just trying to undo people's thoughts about their neighborhoods, their spaces and what they do. And finally I think he talked about—his big line was go plant some shit. But it's bigger than that. What he was really saying was we have to take responsibility. The Department is great but the reality is when you see an Alemany Farm and unfortunately they got buried last month and I was bummed that at the 3.5 hour meeting they were our last presenter because what they're doing is so forward-thinking and Robert I asked you please go visit that space. They need a chef. They need visibility people to go out there and help them [unintelligible] what they're doing. 12 tons of food donated in 2018. Come on now.

So I was really moved by what I heard, that this is the focus. Focus should be focusing themselves, beautification of your own space and then worry about the ramifications later.

Wendy Aragon: Unfortunately I will not be here at the next meeting. \$100 difference in the plane ticket [unintelligible]. But in April on April 20th there will be the best buddies friendship walk. It is their 20th anniversary I believe so it's a big deal for them. If you don't remember I talked about this last year, best buddies is a great organization that helps pair people with autism, down syndrome, cerebral palsy with job placement, one-on-one friendships, housing, and so it's their annual walk for awareness and so that's in my park in District 1 and I would love to see PROSAC member there. It's at 8:00 in the morning but it's a great, inspiring event.

Chair: I should mention on Tiffany's behalf we started a conversation with the members a while ago about doing some sort of a joint RPD PROSAC thing with some sort of a volunteer day. She did send it out to everybody. It was a little late in the game for us to make those kind of February or even March dates but I really encourage you. It's something I didn't get to arrange but she certainly is willing or the Department is willing to put that together so maybe Jordyn that's something you can spearhead. [simultaneous comments]

So any other items? Any public comment? [simultaneous comments]

Karen Rhodes: I'll just mention that I've been involved with a group developing what we're calling the cross-town trail. It is a sixteen mile trail that will start in Candlestick Point State Park and continue up to Land's End. We're getting it articulated so that walkers and cyclists can traverse the city in this way. We're going through some park space and most significantly McLaren Park, also a little stretch of the Oak Woodlands trail. We're having a grand opening walk on Sunday, June 2nd. I'll keep you all informed about that. We really want to get the word out.

Chair: When you have the invite you can certainly send it to Tiffany and she can send it out.

Male Speaker: Is this on the web, do you have a map?

Female Speaker: Not yet.

Female Speaker: Quick procedural question. If we wanted to invite the community to different related events could we use everyone's email address here or does it need to necessarily go through Tiffany?

Chair: If it's committee related it has to go through Tiffany. If it's like a public event I think [unintelligible]. What's your thought on that?

Female Speaker: If it's just a community event?

Chair: Yeah, if it's just like a public event. She's doing [unintelligible] and she wants to invite everybody.

Female Speaker: It's not a requirement that it goes through us.

Nick Belloni: [unintelligible]

Chair: Right, but again if it's something related to PROSAC, if it at all has anything to do with PROSAC.

Female Speaker: If it has anything to do with Parks and Rec and Open Space it should go through Tiffany, okay.

Chair: You doing an art show in a private space and you wanted to invite everybody maybe in a building that is near the zoo and [unintelligible].

Female Speaker: So just a quick question and you can answer this, but how do you feel about inviting retired PROSAC members to things like a visit to Alemany Farm, would you be open?

Chair: Of course.

Female Speaker: Because there are people that I served with who have rotated off like the Sharons and people that I would love to see and at least invite to come back to some of these larger.

Chair: I would certainly say that the majority of the PROSAC members who have termed out are also very active people. Maybe Sharon less so than Linda D. who seems very active in a lot of events. [unintelligible] but certainly I would say from my position I would love to be invited.

Female Speaker: We'll work with Tiffany to update the list, okay.

Chair: Any other committee comments or items? Public comment?

Male Speaker: [unintelligible] It's very encouraging to see all you intelligent people working hard. [unintelligible].

Chair: Thank you for that. Joe and Linda and Linda D. and some of us, those of you who have known for a while we produce some events at McLaren Park. We spent five years, 32 concerts at McLaren Park. We may rev up the band again, we may do another year of concerts this year. We're still working on funding. We're still working on our timetable but I would certainly invite all of you. It would be great to see you all six months from now when we're out in the park doing this. If you haven't attended a show at McLaren Park it's an amazing space, the Jerry Garcia Amphitheater. Again, I have spent many a-time in that District early in the morning with my coyote friends. I would love for you to come and take in a show there. It's an amazing event. Small, it doesn't feel like Stern Grove, it feels like [unintelligible]. So come on out. I don't know, we'll post it. It's Saturday in the park, McLaren. [Simultaneous comments] Okay, so without ado—also I should probably say I don't know it may be too soon but Stephanie is here, she's from my District, Stephanie Tucker. Hopefully she'll be the new [unintelligible]. This meeting is adjourned.

END OF DOCUMENT