

## Community Meeting #4 - November 13, 2013

### Wallgraphic Notes

---

#### Option A

- Flip people/dog space
  - Grass next to playground (Option C)
- Preference for this option – slope better used by dogs
- Most equitable option – we need a people zone
- A and B are better options than C because the grass area is flatter

#### Option B

- Combined courts won't work
- Basketball and tennis won't mix
- Put ramp closer to playground
- Prefer the courts close by for use by kids – other courts in area too far away
- If the courts are going to be shared use – will take a lot of working out
- Need examples of strategies for shared use that work
- Compromise of shared courts does work – these are played at different times of day
- Keep court as is, just repair and fix the drainage
- Add more grass for the kids

#### Option C

- Good dog/people compromise
- Like that the playground is connected to the grass area
- Barricaded dog area is good

#### General Comments and Questions

- Comments on process:
  - The condition of the tennis courts has influenced people's comments
  - We need more input from tennis players – more outreach to them
  - We're missing comments from parents of young children – they can't make evening meetings because it's right at bedtime
  - Need to know that all input is heard and recorded – are records available?
    - *Yes, everything is available; meeting notes posted on SF Rec & Parks' website*
  - Need to include input received outside of meetings as well. SF Parks: We read and consider all input received.
- Are all of these options fully fundable?
  - *Yes*
- Can we add lights?

- I never see tennis players at the park
- Kids use the park as it is
- Access to the court nearby is good for kids in the neighborhood
- If we provide more green space for kids – they won't need court space to play on
  - Kids would use grass more if the condition were improved
  - Local schools lack grassy play space – all paved
- Encourage those who feel strongly to volunteer to work on application for next Opportunity Fund
- Tennis court doesn't maximize use of the park
- Most common uses of court: basketball, and football when court is not in use for basketball
- Other uses are more important to the neighborhood than tennis
- Appreciate SF Rec and Park's hard work and creativity
- Appreciate the spirit of compromise in the neighborhood
- Can we expand the playground area?
- The tennis courts don't get used because they're in bad condition
- Short-sighted to remove the tennis courts in order to solve the dog/people conflict
- Removing the tennis courts removes an exercise option – not wise with current rate of obesity
- Tennis courts were lightly used even when they were newly renovated
- Must delineate the dog area – need a higher fence than 2-3 ft., some dogs can jump over that
- The slope is too steep – can it be flattened?
  - *Will be graded and the slope somewhat diminished*
- Will the dog-friendly area be mulch or turf?
  - *To be determined*
- Maintain the multi-use that the park has always had
- Lots of kids bounce balls on court – where will they go?
- Grassy, muddy area is least used – why increase the amount of it?
- Would be great if all could use the park as they want
- We don't have room to have it all!
- Many use park every day or multiple times per week
- Dog-friendly area creates a de facto off-leash area
- Leash laws hard to enforce

**Straw Poll (show of hands)**

Option	Votes
Option A	26
Option B	3
Option C	12
Option D (leave design as is)	34