



MEETING MINUTES

GLEN CANYON: *Community Workshop #3*

Time/Date:

Thursday, February 24, 2011
6:30-8:30 PM

Location:

Glen Canyon Recreation Center
San Francisco, CA

101 Montgomery St.
Suite 1100
San Francisco, CA
94104

T: (415) 495-5660

www.tpl.org

Minutes prepared February 25, 2011. If you have comments or corrections, please contact Alejandra Chiesa or Trudy Garber

1. Welcome and Introduction: Alejandra Chiesa (TPL) welcomed community members and stakeholders to the meeting. She gave a brief introduction about the park improvement plan process, explaining that there is \$5.8M available from the 2008 Bond and that the goal of this process is to come up with a plan that the City can use to make capital improvements. She introduced the design team, reviewed the timeline, and explained that TPL is an independent non-profit.
2. Presentation of Issues and Options: Steve Hammond (WRT) gave a short PowerPoint presentation about the community's design direction to date, and how WRT developed the three design options.
 - a. He reviewed the goals for the recreation areas, which were generated during the first two community meetings and focus groups.
 - b. He discussed "identified issues" and "options explored" for the following elements: Recreation Center, Silver Tree, tennis courts, ball fields, playground and tot lot, and park entry and access.
 - c. In assessing the three options, Steve encouraged the community to think about the ultimate vision for the park, and not yet get caught up in costs and phasing. He also iterated that elements from each option could be mixed and matched, making it important to discuss the schemes as a combination of different elements and adjacencies.
3. Presentation of 3 Options: John Gibbs (WRT) explained the three options using a series of plans, perspectives, and images.
 - a. Key Elements of Option 1:
 - i. New pullout entry to accommodate 2-3 cars at Elk Street
 - ii. Gracious/open entry sequence that includes stepped seating overlooking ball fields, garden, and play area
 - iii. Tennis courts north of building
 - iv. Recreation Center Building: basic code upgrades and minor expansion to accommodate restrooms and outdoor access
 - v. Ball fields: oriented to have full outfield line. Bleachers and backstops are moved to the more active areas of the park.
 - vi. Stormwater: grassy swale adjacent to ball fields to detain water in major storm events
 - vii. Playground: expanded with opportunity for different age play and nature play

- viii. Silver Tree: renovated to accommodate RecPark and Silver Tree and Glenridge activities.
 - b. Key Elements of Option 2:
 - i. New drop off loop configuration to accommodate 8-9 cars
 - ii. Tennis courts: pushed into the hill and stepped with about a 10-foot grade difference
 - iii. Stadium seating/outdoor benches for watching ball games
 - iv. Playground: expanded and connected visually and in close proximity to entry
 - v. Recreation Center building: hybrid of keeping auditorium and gymnasium of old building and adding a modern addition (could be glass) to accommodate desired RecPark programs, indoor—outdoor connection, and a new/deliberate entrance.
 - vi. Stormwater elements: constructed wetlands north of the Recreation Center could act as a transition to the natural areas. Possibility for underground rainwater cistern to store water during major rain events and decrease neighborhood flooding
 - vii. Silver Tree: rebuilt in a way that takes advantage of the Canyon surrounding
 - c. Key Elements of Option 3:
 - i. Stormwater elements: small constructed stormwater elements that can potentially interact with play structures
 - ii. Recreation Center building: new building with a gymnasium that has a slightly larger layout than existing gym (developed to current code). Building is pushed slightly into the hillside and can be accessed on 2nd level from the hillside. Opportunity for interesting entrance from Sussex Street.
 - iii. Dropoff: simple curb cut
 - iv. Silver Tree: programming relocated to new building, but there would be an outpost/pavilion that would serve as a launching spot for Canyon/environmental activities
4. Small Group Break Out: Community members broke out into 7 smaller groups to discuss the elements of each of the options and give written feedback about their preferences.
5. Report backs: One representative from each small group reported back to the main group about consensus items or key issues:
- a. Group 1's key feedback:
 - i. Like how key amenities are clustered in #1 (bleachers to watch ballgames, playground, garden, etc)
 - ii. Prefer tennis courts pushed into the hillside in order to avoid visual impact to entry (#2). Tennis courts should be buffered from bleachers with plantings
 - iii. Like stormwater feature/detention in #2 and #3
 - iv. Want playground to be as big and interesting as possible. #3 seems to be the largest.
 - v. Prefer to demolish Silver Tree building and build a new one in its place

- b. Group 2's key feedback:
 - i. Like meandering entrance procession in #1
 - ii. Prefer tennis courts behind the building in #1 and #3 because they are not squeezed into the hill and have good access to Bosworth
 - iii. Like idea of Recreation Center in #2 – honoring history of building and weaving it with modern programs and design.
 - iv. Like modest stormwater management ideas, especially if PUC will help with funding
- c. Group 3's key feedback:
 - i. Safe drop-off area is important
 - ii. Outdoor water features are a great idea, as long as they do not attract mosquitoes
 - iii. A brand new building would be wonderful (#3), but this group is also interested in the Recreation Center in #2
 - iv. Playground should be as big and as awesome as possible. Love idea of nature play.
- d. Group 4's key feedback:
 - i. Like the layout of ball fields in #1, so as to accommodate families gathering
 - ii. Like tennis court layout of #2
 - iii. Like flow of playground layout in #1 – good site lines and opportunity for nature play
 - iv. Like concept of amphitheater in #3, but it feels too confined. Is there a way to make it larger?
 - v. Silver Tree should stay where it is! Just a pavilion (#3) would not work because of vandalism
 - vi. Like #2 and #3 for Recreation center – no consensus
 - vii. Interested in learning more about the benefits of the underground stormwater cistern. Find constructed wetlands too ambitious.
- e. Group 5's key feedback
 - i. Wetlands are redundant with landscape that already exists up in the Canyon
 - ii. Lots of nostalgia for Silver Tree building. Would be open to the idea of a pavilion or moving the programming to the new Recreation Center, but are wary of having too many children in the “front” of Glen Canyon
 - iii. Sidewalk along Elk is very dangerous. Suggestion to widen it.
- f. Group 6's key feedback
 - i. Favorite scheme is #2.
 - ii. Want to keep Silver Tree where it is; such a wonderful experience to have that kind of programming in the Canyon
 - iii. Safe entrance to get into the park is important
 - iv. Like wetland idea because it combines water with play/education
 - v. Tennis courts: questions if north of the current Recreation Center will be too shady to have courts
- g. Group 7's key feedback
 - i. Entry: like #1 because ball field activity is concentrated in main areas of park
 - ii. Like pullout in #2 because it is the largest

- iii. Like secondary path in #2 that goes directly to trails in the Canyon
 - iv. Like idea of outdoor rock climbing wall that could happen with tennis courts in #2
 - v. Silver Tree should be replaced but in a similar location. This program should not be brought to the front of the park
 - vi. Like stormwater management ideas, such as the swale between the ball fields and Bosworth
 - vii. Transition area between active recreation and Canyon is important. For this reason, tennis courts north of the building are less desirable. The constructed wetland has the potential to act as a transition.
 - viii. More naturally planted areas to soften the entries
6. Wrap up and Conclusion: Alejandra concluded the meeting by thanking everyone for coming and for providing feedback. She encouraged people to continue to give feedback to TPL and RPD. Steve Hammond encouraged people to reach out for feedback to neighbors and friends who were unable to come to the meeting.

How did we come up with these options?


These options are based on what we heard in previous workshops and focus groups.

How will the options be used?

They are meant to generate discussion and your feedback will be incorporated into a preferred option that will be presented in the next workshop.

Focus on the components in each option!

Rather than selecting one option over another, the purpose of this exercise is to evaluate the pros and cons of each option by looking at the following components:



1. ENTRY

Review the entry sequence in each option, imagining the experience from the Elk Street entrance to the interior of the park.

- Which of the options do you prefer, and why?
- How could your preferred entry sequence be further improved?

- excellent use of ball fields!
- ~~concerns about~~

- like location of tennis courts
- like turn off for cars for drop offs
- would like access off of Basworth

- "It says park" - all park components easily accessible
- concern re: parking / drop offs

NONE OF THE OPTIONS ADDRESS THE SEPARABLE STATE OF SPACE FROM SUSSEX REMEDY SHOULD PRESERVE ITS SECLUDED NATURE

2. OUTDOOR RECREATION AMENITIES

Review the location, orientation and size of the outdoor recreation fields, tennis courts, play area, and other amenities in each option.

- Which option seems to provide the best combination and configuration of facilities, and why?
- Are there ways in which you would change the location, orientation and size of the outdoor amenities to improve this option? Are there any other amenities you would add?

- like flow of playground / sections + flow
- connection to bleachers
- move tennis courts to side of hill #2
- would #1 location allow courts to dry out?
- concern about shade from gym.

- like location of tennis courts - not blocking anything
- like natural elements in playground
- ~~plaza~~ - need site lines to watch kids

- plaza too confined / ampitheater space
- like ampitheater concept - can include here?

3. RECREATION CENTER AND SILVER TREE BUILDING

Review the improvements/changes to the Rec Center and Silver Tree in each option in terms of how well they accommodate desired programs and relate to their surroundings.

- Which Rec Center option do you prefer, and why?
- Are there ways in which this option can be improved?
- Which Silver Tree option do you prefer, and why?
- Are there ways in which this option can be improved?

- like central hub of activity
- Silver Tree should stay where it is
- need to seismically retrofit
- Add glass structure to west wall of theatre (out to farthest building existing)

- keep ST / flowerage where it is!

- mixed views on ~~gym~~ Rec Center - between #2 & #3
- concern about mixed roof lines

- pavilion may get undervalued
- would need to be programmed, which RPD does not have & to do

4. ISLAIS CREEK AND STORM WATER MANAGEMENT

Review the stormwater management strategies in each option in terms of how they fit with your vision for the park.

- Which stormwater management strategy do you prefer, and why?
- Are there ways in which this option can be improved?

- excellent use of fields / water management

- ~~wetland~~
- ~~wetland~~ too ambitious
- ~~oyster~~ - store rainwater - fantastic!

- ~~wetland~~
- ~~wetland~~ too ambitious

- wetland - modest to none - mixed views
- concern about bacteria in water - not good for dogs & kids to play in

5. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Have other ideas? Tell us here!

How did we come up with these options?

These options are based on what we heard in previous workshops and focus groups.

How will the options be used?

They are meant to generate discussion and your feedback will be incorporated into a preferred option that will be presented in the next workshop.

Focus on the components in each option!

Rather than selecting one option over another, the purpose of this exercise is to evaluate the pros and cons of each option by looking at the following components:



OPTION 1



OPTION 2



OPTION 3

1. ENTRY

Review the entry sequence in each option, imagining the experience from the Elk Street entrance to the interior of the park.

- Which of the options do you prefer, and why?
- How could your preferred entry sequence be further improved?

+ LIKE SEP. DIRECT ACCESS TO TRAILS
 - DONT LIKE HOW UNPROTECTED PLAYGROUNDS ARE ALONG TRAIL PATH
 + LIKE THAT BASEBALL HOME PLATE IS BY ENTRY
 * CAN WE PULL WIDER ENTRY DOWN TO CHERRY

+ LIKE ORIENTATION OF PLAYGROUND.
 ? COULD WE GET GOOD ACCESS TO TRAILS W/O TRAMPOLINE THROUGH PLAY AREA.
 + LIKE PULL-OUT!

- DONT LIKE GLORIFYING ALL PROGRAMS PLACED AT ENTRY
 ~ WE LIKE PULLING PEOPLE INTO THE PARK MORE

↑ MORE NATURAL? PLANTINGS

2. OUTDOOR RECREATION AMENITIES

Review the location, orientation and size of the outdoor recreation fields, tennis courts, play area, and other amenities in each option.

- Which option seems to provide the best combination and configuration of facilities, and why?
- Are there ways in which you would change the location, orientation and size of the outdoor amenities to improve this option? Are there any other amenities you would add?

+ BEST PLAY FIELD ORIENTATION
 - WORST PLAY GROUND
 + GREAT DRAINAGE IDEA FOR PLAY FIELD
 NICE PERGOLAS! AT ENTRY

+ BEST TENNIS COURT ORIENTATION
 SHADY LIGHTING, HIDDEN/NOT OBTRUSIVE
 + COULD WE STILL DO ROCK CLIMBING WALL IN HILL?
 + LIKE CASPARED WETLANDS
 GOOD TRANSITION TO NATURAL NICE INTEGRATION BY RE-EDUCATION.
 ? HOW ABOUT NATURAL SWIMMING AND FILTERED BY WETLANDS
 * ORBS WOULD BE GREAT TO WETLANDS

+ GOOD PLAYGROUND
 + BAD TENNIS COURTS

3. RECREATION CENTER AND SILVER TREE BUILDING

Review the improvements/changes to the Rec Center and Silver Tree in each option in terms of how well they accommodate desired programs and relate to their surroundings.

- Which Rec Center option do you prefer, and why?
- Are there ways in which this option can be improved?
- Which Silver Tree option do you prefer, and why?
- Are there ways in which this option can be improved?

* JUST OK FOR REC CENTER
 * SILVER TREE SHOULD BE REPLACED

* BEST OPTION FOR RENOVATE EXISTING REC CENTER
 + BEST SILVER TREE OPTION
 REPLACE IN SIM. LOCATIONS
 KEEP NATURAL INTEGRATION

DONT LIKE SILVER TREE UP FRONT STAY IN BACK.
 . TOO MUCH FOR ACTIVITIES
 . TOO CALCULATED
 . NOT NATURALISTIC
 SOME INTEREST IN (NO REC.) - BUT NOT RIGHT VS FRONT.

4. ISLAIS CREEK AND STORM WATER MANAGEMENT

Review the stormwater management strategies in each option in terms of how they fit with your vision for the park.

- Which stormwater management strategy do you prefer, and why?
- Are there ways in which this option can be improved?

GOOD FIELD

ANESUM WETLANDS

?

5. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Have other ideas? Tell us here!

How did we come up with these options?

These options are based on what we heard in previous workshops and focus groups.

How will the options be used?

They are meant to generate discussion and your feedback will be incorporated into a preferred option that will be presented in the next workshop.

Focus on the components in each option!

Rather than selecting one option over another, the purpose of this exercise is to evaluate the pros and cons of each option by looking at the following components:



OPTION 1



OPTION 2



OPTION 3

1. ENTRY

Review the entry sequence in each option, imagining the experience from the Elk Street entrance to the interior of the park.

- Which of the options do you prefer, and why?
- How could your preferred entry sequence be further improved?

*- like idea of car safe drop off but don't want to privilege car over people.
- like idea of safe kid drop off.*

• Like natural entrance/nature plant and way for dog-walkers & pedestrians to get into park without getting ambushed in building or playground.

*• Desire to have access for different groups - Dogs + kids access.
→ concern @ using portion of alms road.
• want to make ~~bike~~*

*• not very good link from alms road to ell.
• strollers need route from playground to Elk.*

2. OUTDOOR RECREATION AMENITIES

Review the location, orientation and size of the outdoor recreation fields, tennis courts, play area, and other amenities in each option.

- Which option seems to provide the best combination and configuration of facilities, and why?
- Are there ways in which you would change the location, orientation and size of the outdoor amenities to improve this option? Are there any other amenities you would add?

** Like reorientation of fields
• don't like smallish playground
• location of tennis OK, but concerned about drainage.*

*• tennis courts location, good. want to make sure foundation OK. (some want to preserve hill)
• playground still smallish.
• existing ballfield doesn't work for older kids.*

• like playground size. / location for light with rec center zone.

3. RECREATION CENTER AND SILVER TREE BUILDING

Review the improvements/changes to the Rec Center and Silver Tree in each option in terms of how well they accommodate desired programs and relate to their surroundings.

- Which Rec Center option do you prefer, and why?
- Are there ways in which this option can be improved?
- Which Silver Tree option do you prefer, and why?
- Are there ways in which this option can be improved?

*• We separate silver tree.
• ~~DO NOT~~ DO NOT LIKE REC CENTER "BASIC" APPROACH.*

• IF THIS COULD WORK, like it. maybe rooftop could work.

*• like idea of new building, not sure about view of new building / entrance sequence
concerned about pedestrian / loss of
of
• like idea of new building, not sure about adding silver tree in.*

4. ISLAIS CREEK AND STORM WATER MANAGEMENT

Review the stormwater management strategies in each option in terms of how they fit with your vision for the park.

- Which stormwater management strategy do you prefer, and why?
- Are there ways in which this option can be improved?

*• ~~concern~~ concern about mosquitoes
• like the swale & the h₂O storage at edge of field, & underground storage.*

5. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Have other ideas? Tell us here!

How did we come up with these options?

These options are based on what we heard in previous workshops and focus groups.


How will the options be used?

They are meant to generate discussion and your feedback will be incorporated into a preferred option that will be presented in the next workshop.

Focus on the components in each option!

Rather than selecting one option over another, the purpose of this exercise is to evaluate the pros and cons of each option by looking at the following components:

ADD: outdoor multi-purpose court - better
Noe had 1/2 court.
- consider Bosworth as main drop-off area.



1. ENTRY

Review the entry sequence in each option, imagining the experience from the Elk Street entrance to the interior of the park.

- Which of the options do you prefer, and why?
- How could your preferred entry sequence be further improved?

- wandering entrance
- improve street entry.

- 8-9 year loop seems "a little" big.
- improve access within sidewalks on Elk.

- improve entry @ Sussex

2. OUTDOOR RECREATION AMENITIES

Review the location, orientation and size of the outdoor recreation fields, tennis courts, play area, and other amenities in each option.

- Which option seems to provide the best combination and configuration of facilities, and why?
- Are there ways in which you would change the location, orientation and size of the outdoor amenities to improve this option? Are there any other amenities you would add?

- tennis courts good behind rec center.
- tennis ctr square potential not average entrance to canyon.
- dog area "taken away".
- ball base ball
- Overlook in August
- add 1 pedestrian entrance from Bos.
- play area feels constrained
- all traffic in area + walk past play area.

- tennis ct in hill seems "radical"
- ct on hillside feels forced

- tennis courts feel like good fit
- like access from Bos. to playground

3. RECREATION CENTER AND SILVER TREE BUILDING

Review the improvements/changes to the Rec Center and Silver Tree in each option in terms of how well they accommodate desired programs and relate to their surroundings.

- Which Rec Center option do you prefer, and why?
- Are there ways in which this option can be improved?
- Which Silver Tree option do you prefer, and why?
- Are there ways in which this option can be improved?

replace

- ONLY if not prohibitive. want more!

- like re-use of parts of Rec Center w. new additions
- 2 big spaces that are still mostly functional
- architecturally interesting
- retains history / character
- aesthetically interesting
- preserves "marked" feeling of bldg.

- closer to drop-off / best access
- imposing entrance
- more opp's over time - e.g. as pop. ages.

4. ISLAIS CREEK AND STORM WATER MANAGEMENT

Review the stormwater management strategies in each option in terms of how they fit with your vision for the park.

- Which stormwater management strategy do you prefer, and why?
- Are there ways in which this option can be improved?

like the swale!

- water near playground is potentially dangerous + dirty.
Silver tree: pavilion!
Swale systems love the PVC-funded system!

- use vantage for S.T. Easier to monitor.

5. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Have other ideas? Tell us here!

Please add an outdoor multi-purpose paved area (incl. basketball court a-la Noe Valley Rec)

Overlap w/ NERT?

Tanya

How did we come up with these options?

These options are based on what we heard in previous workshops and focus groups.

How will the options be used?

They are meant to generate discussion and your feedback will be incorporated into a preferred option that will be presented in the next workshop.

Focus on the components in each option!

Rather than selecting one option over another, the purpose of this exercise is to evaluate the pros and cons of each option by looking at the following components:



OPTION 1



OPTION 2



OPTION 3

1. ENTRY

Review the entry sequence in each option, imagining the experience from the Elk Street entrance to the interior of the park.

- Which of the options do you prefer, and why?
- How could your preferred entry sequence be further improved?

• cut at curb is good

• Better for Silvertree / drop off
• Drop off for sports zone

• backstop into entrance?
• manage driving into canyon in the summer
• drop off in Basworth?

• curb into mac space - concern into encroaching space

2. OUTDOOR RECREATION AMENITIES

Review the location, orientation and size of the outdoor recreation fields, tennis courts, play area, and other amenities in each option.

- Which option seems to provide the best combination and configuration of facilities, and why?
- Are there ways in which you would change the location, orientation and size of the outdoor amenities to improve this option? Are there any other amenities you would add?

* natural environment playfields

• deter new field to users - baseball?
• good integration to playground / ballfields

• Tennis court more sun? more accessible
• integration fields / playground

- ok integration playground / ballfield

• concern about tennis court shade - sun more important than orientation
• don't like picture of picnic areas

• natural setting ok not for full-field ballfields?

3. RECREATION CENTER AND SILVER TREE BUILDING

Review the improvements/changes to the Rec Center and Silver Tree in each option in terms of how well they accommodate desired programs and relate to their surroundings.

- Which Rec Center option do you prefer, and why?
- Are there ways in which this option can be improved?
- Which Silver Tree option do you prefer, and why?
- Are there ways in which this option can be improved?

• Silvertree stays in canyon & integral to canyon - walk into is important
• Building that blends in

• Building that could hold better - Silvertree
• More appropriate Silvertree landscape, better light
• like new entrance
• good middle ground?
• like older funky building
• glass opening to wetlands
• tiny tots over zone

• new building

• rec center not that close to street
• silver tree inside park

• concerns about bark at Silvertree - surface into creek; drainage
• limitations to what is here

4. ISLAIS CREEK AND STORM WATER MANAGEMENT

Review the stormwater management strategies in each option in terms of how they fit with your vision for the park.

- Which stormwater management strategy do you prefer, and why?
- Are there ways in which this option can be improved?

• cisterns underneath the fields

• wetland area
• interactive wetland area
• like constructed wetland

• wetland area
• like constructed wetland - boardwalks etc.

• bacteria in wetland if play area?
• how make it natural - but cleanish?

5. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Have other ideas? Tell us here!

How did we come up with these options?

These options are based on what we heard in previous workshops and focus groups.

How will the options be used?

They are meant to generate discussion and your feedback will be incorporated into a preferred option that will be presented in the next workshop.

Focus on the components in each option!

Rather than selecting one option over another, the purpose of this exercise is to evaluate the pros and cons of each option by looking at the following components:



OPTION 1



OPTION 2



OPTION 3

1. ENTRY

Review the entry sequence in each option, imagining the experience from the Elk Street entrance to the interior of the park.

- Which of the options do you prefer, and why?
- How could your preferred entry sequence be further improved?

YES MORE TENNIS TO GRIN SPACE.
CONSIDER ACCESS TO TENNIS



2. OUTDOOR RECREATION AMENITIES

Review the location, orientation and size of the outdoor recreation fields, tennis courts, play area, and other amenities in each option.

- Which option seems to provide the best combination and configuration of facilities, and why?
- Are there ways in which you would change the location, orientation and size of the outdoor amenities to improve this option? Are there any other amenities you would add?

CONCERN ABOUT FIELD CONFLICT @ ENTRY
DOGS USE AREA BEHIND GYM
WARMING AT FIELD SHAVE OR

3. RECREATION CENTER AND SILVER TREE BUILDING

Review the improvements/changes to the Rec Center and Silver Tree in each option in terms of how well they accommodate desired programs and relate to their surroundings.

- Which Rec Center option do you prefer, and why?
- Are there ways in which this option can be improved?
- Which Silver Tree option do you prefer, and why?
- Are there ways in which this option can be improved?

OPTION TREATMENT ^{assumption} NOT DISEASE.
~~LACK OF DAYCARE~~

OPEN AUDITORIUM TO PARK
STRONG SUPPORT FOR EXPANSION OF EXISTING BUILDING
GYM IS WELL USED

COMB FACILITY MIGHT OVERHELM REC. OTR
TOBY @ SILVER CAMP - PUNKS CAMP
LACK OF DAYCARE ACCESS TO CAMPUS
NEW IS NICE BUT \$\$\$!

4. ISLAIS CREEK AND STORM WATER MANAGEMENT

Review the stormwater management strategies in each option in terms of how they fit with your vision for the park.

- Which stormwater management strategy do you prefer, and why?
- Are there ways in which this option can be improved?

YES WETLANDS
AVOID MOSQUITO ISSUE
CHILD CONTACT COULD BE PROBLEMATIC w/ stormwater: ✓
BIRDWING ALREADY EXISTS

5. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Have other ideas? Tell us here!

EUC HARLANDS @ BOSWORTH

- STRONG ENDORSEMENT FOR ELK TRAFFIC
CREATING
- NEED TO WIDEN SIDEWALK ON ELK @ FIELD - MOVE RETURNING WALK