

**Park and Open Space Advisory Committee Meeting
May 2, 2017**

[Transcriber's note: Poor audio recording.]

Chair: Welcome to the May meeting of the Park and Recreation Open Space Advisory Committee. Thank you for attending. Tonight's agenda is fairly short so I would like to start with a roll call. For the new people, welcome. It's great to see some new faces. I've been on this committee going on seven years now and I believe that we are almost at full capacity and that's very exciting to me because when I first joined this committee we had absentee problems and problems holding a committee and now I feel like most of the people are here because you want to be here. I'd ask the new members when it come to you in the roll to say a few sentences about yourself.

Richard Rothman: First Vice Chair, District 1.

Wendy Aragon: District 1. I joined this committee. [unintelligible] and their goal is to prepare people of color to serve on boards and committees. [unintelligible]

Terry Factora: District 11. I've lived in the Excelsior for about twenty years where I've raised my kids, still raising my kids. We participate a lot in the parks. We're right between Crocker-Amazon and John McLaren and I would just like to see other families also participate in the park programs.

Reanna Tong: I'm from District 9. I live in the Portola neighborhood. I joined through [unintelligible]. I volunteer with Urban Greening and the Portola Neighborhood Association. I'm interested in seeing other ways to make the neighborhood a better place.

Jane Weil: District 6.

Kenneth Maley: District 3.

Trevor McNeil: District 5.

Robert Brust: District 8.

Ana Gee: District 6.

Elisa Laird-Metke: District 9. I am a disability rights attorney [unintelligible] and raising my family there but I've lived in the Excelsior, I've lived in the Sunset before. Parks are important to me and I work on a number of neighborhood projects.

Jordyn Aquino: District 4.

Nick Belloni: District 2.

Chair: Steffen Franz, District 2. We'll move on. Hopefully all the new members received minutes from Tiffany. I know that at this meeting, minutes may not be relevant to you. I hope that the committee who was here for our last meeting has reviewed the minutes. Are there are substantial changes or issued with the minutes as far as anybody sees? Excellent.

Trevor McNeil: Move to approve.

Jordyn Aquino: Second.

Chair: Is there any public comment? Being none, the item is closed.

I'm going to keep the Chair's report fairly brief. I do have a handout from Ken Maley which I'll hand out to you know. Take a look, review it when you have the time.

I went to a very interesting meeting at the Golden Gate Park Dog Play Area training area. This is a wonderful open space [unintelligible]. It was very encouraging to see about two dozen neighbors out there during this meeting and the newly elected Supervisor of the District who made it very clear that open space and to that end dogs and an accessible dog play area was really important to her. I bring this to you because I think it's important as representatives you've been appointed by your Supervisor and it's clear with three PROSAC members there that the neighborhood is very engaged. And so for the new members I'm saying to properly execute the role that you are now charged with it requires a dialog with the Supervisor or at least the Supervisor's office. In some cases it may not play out the way you want it to but in many cases what you see is public dissent where somebody says where is the money for the registration of the dog walkers. Well, here is your Supervisor and she is the person that can help delegate.

So for me I was invited by the Supervisor by Richard who basically said look these people want a new area and I think that this is the way that you see progress is to go there and get your hands dirty and talk to the community. After the Supervisor left I stayed and they talked for a few minutes with the community members just to gauge what was outside of the focus. So again, I urge you to do that.

I will also say in line with the strategic plan that the Department has set out I am piloting a sister parks program with Maya Rodgers in District 10. So a group that I'm a part of Friends of Lafayette Park will travel to Hilltop Park and we will basically set up a conversation with the community about how to form a Friends of group, how to form a mailing list. These people don't need us to clean and green their park, they need us to help with the leadership and guidance. So Maya has already identified a handful of people in the community who are interested and to it's our hope that with this one pilot program other people can start bringing their Friends of group to bring new or underserved parks that need leadership.

So again, my friends from District 6, District 9, 8, 10, if there are parks that you can identify that you believe that are the beginnings of an organization I would urge you to utilize what you have

here and becoming an actual Friends of or find some fiscal sponsorship or something to help them organize. Little by little we can actually cover the city.

I went to the Eggstravaganza which is the Recreation and Park Department's fantastic Easter event. I saw our General Manager eating more pork than any person should. He observed Passover while eating pork, it seemed to me. But it was an incredible event. Dawn, how many people were there?

Dawn Kamalanathan: I have not been there but it's a great event.

Chair: It was an incredible event with thousands and thousands of people.

Dawn Kamalanathan: It's similar to Scaregrove and the Christmas tree lighting.

Chair: I was very impressed. My day job a lot of what I do is large scale event production and I was really impressed by the Department, every aspect was covered. There was not a crying child in this sea of humanity. It was a great event and I was really happy to be a part of it and I think for all of you these types of events are great to go to and just see. It's a little different than being in here in the room with staff where we're talking about issues. This is really just seeing them at their best and in my mind they were at their best that day.

I don't really have anything else for the Chair's report. I will remind you that the Parks Alliance has Love Your Parks Day on Thursday night at the Brick and Mortar. I believe all PROSAC members are invited. If you haven't received an invitation or would like to get an invitation send an email to Tiffany, I'm sure we can arrange that with the Parks Alliance.

That concludes the Chair's report. Is there any public comment? Being none, public comment is closed.

I'd like to move on to Capital Planning and we have a special guest, the director of Capital Planning Dawn Kamalanathan is here.

Dawn Kamalanathan: My understanding was that there was a cross-training [unintelligible] session tonight and there were a couple of other specific items.

Chair: I think there are some items that some members would like to ask questions about and I think that Stacy had said that Dawn would be able to answer these questions.

Dawn Kamalanathan: My name is Dawn Kamalanathan. I am the director of Planning and Capital Management at the Parks Department and our Division includes the Planning Unit that Stacy Bradley runs as well as an inter-disciplinary unit that actually has a little bit over twenty people that includes planners, the capital project managers, accountants, outreach staff, kind of the full set of skills that are needed to do all the land use planning and park building and renovating that occurs in the San Francisco parks.

The primary mandate that occupies probably 70 percent of my time is the implementation of our General Obligation Bond programs and right now that is the 2012 San Francisco Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond program.

So I used to come here once a month for ten years and about eight months decided that it was good for other people to have the experience of working with PROSAC and so Stacy has been coming here keeping PROSAC informed of item in the Department. But I am here tonight so I'm happy to talk in more detail about anything related to a capital program. Generally I start by just doing an overview of highlights from the past month and what's coming up on the horizon.

So a couple of major items. One is we opened South Park to huge success and the project like most of our projects had some ups and down but I think it has come out as a really resounding success and that was done in partnership with Toby Levy, the South Park Friends, and David Fletcher the designer of the park. I want to give him credit for doing really what I think is quite a lovely job, taking a park that is one of our oldest and translating it into a new modern space serving both the daytime population and residential population of that neighborhood.

We also introduced 11th and Natoma at the Board of Supervisors for acquisition on the part of the Department. The item has not been scheduled yet and calendared at committee but it's working its way through that process, [unintelligible] will start asking those questions about how all of this would work. This was the result of almost five years worth of work with District 6 residents trying to figure out a way to acquire open space in one of our highest needs neighborhoods but where open space is also very difficult to just come by. So we are pleased to come up with a parcel that when all is said and done is around 20,000 square feet which is a decent size, it's a real neighborhood park size, and we're excited about that.

Tomorrow night is one of our final Margaret Hayward planning meetings so that will be at the African-American Cultural and Arts Center tomorrow night. Margaret Hayward is going to be now [unintelligible] which is about \$15 million or \$14 million and we've added another over \$6 million and leveraged the bond funds and so we're going to be able to deliver really an inside-out renovation of that park. It is not going to feel the same and I think it's going to be a nice complement to Jefferson Square which was renovated a few years ago and it's going to integrate the park. If you've never been to Margaret Hayward it is very awkwardly chopped up into boxes right now. There is an unused right of way that goes right down the center and there's a field on one side and then a series of boxes for the courts and the playground and clubhouses. We're going to take down the three buildings that are there and put a new building, a community facility in place which we hope will be both more elegant and lightweight at the site and be able to serve the community youth provides who have been operating there for years and it's also going to integrate the flow of the site both from the north-south access from Jefferson Square down into the park but also chop away those boxes and make it feel more integrated all the while preserving the [unintelligible] which is going to stay at that particular site. So we're going to unveil what we hope is our final concept plan tomorrow night.

Also in early May we're heading towards our final meeting for Garfield Square Pool which I also encourage you to have a look at. Garfield Field started out as also just a very basic rehab of a pool. We were going to make it a little better, call it a day. But I really felt like Garfield Square

again sits in a neighborhood—the pool is in a neighborhood that’s extremely family dense and yet has one of our lowest swimming rates in the city and there seems to be a mismatch there and in part it’s because I think the pool as its currently constructed had [unintelligible]. It’s core user group was lap swimmers so one of the things we wanted to do with Garfield Square was really think about how can we broaden the audience, the park users who might use this pool and how can we make it even more family friendly. How can we go to making this an experience when you check in, dump yourself in your locker, do your laps and leave to like a day at the park. Similarly in a way that you might spend the day at the beach have a day at the pool. And so that was the challenge concept that I put out to the design team and I think what we come back with is actually really nice and again the only thing that’s made this possible is being able to take the bond dollars and then leverage it against Eastern Neighborhood’s impact fees. And so again we’ve got another \$3 million or so from impact fees against the bond budget which is allowing us not only to do the pool but there is a dilapidated excuse of a clubhouse, like a one-room bunker type building. Take that down, create a nicer, more functional building that is actually going to become a wing. So we’re going to join the two buildings with a wing which will actually create a legitimate front door to Garfield and in between the clubhouse and the main pool building we’re going to build a really nice protected courtyard where families can have barbecues and throw family events, have a movie night, and be able to make use of the fact that Garfield is one of the few spots where there’s actually decent weather most of the year and a lot other pools don’t offer that at all. So our goal is to be able to deliver more than just the Garfield Pool but really make something that we’re going to start calling Garfield Rec, that will include this extended pool building that will have some community space, recreation space, but really create a really nice inside-outside space that the community can use and that’s protected as well.

I’m really excited about both of those projects. I’ve been working on them for quite a while doing a lot of slow and steady constituency building and I think we’re there and I’m excited to see what happens. Now the main thing we have to [unintelligible] and start thinking about is the market has gone crazy. Things are coming back like 30 percent high in terms of bids and I’m trying to again keep all the plates spinning to both move the projects forward as quickly as possible but also make sure that we’re using things like impact fees and grant funds to kind of absorb and provide us with a cushion against this market escalation that we’re seeing so that we don’t have to go through this process. So I haven’t lost a patient yet. Let’s see.

McLaren group picnic, further community meeting this Saturday, Saturday morning McLaren visioning process going really well. Another thing we took a long time to kind of ramp up and one of my mantras is go slow to go fast, that we spend a lot of time in the beginning building consensus almost to the point where people are sometimes sick of us and then we get to a point where then we can really move very quickly because everyone is bored or over it in one way or another and we can push through as quickly as possible in the project delivery and so McLaren we have had really steady attendance of all our different focus groups so we’ve tried to do community meetings. Not just meetings in the park but bringing the meeting to the communities or doing meetings with the neighborhood associations, going to different networks and trying to bring our road show to them to get feedback but even our community meetings in the park have been extraordinarily well-attended, like 70 to 80 people at trails and courts. A meeting on courts had 70 people. So we’re really excited. The group picnic area is one of the sites at McLaren that has the most potential for being transformative and really showing people what the park can be

like with some investment and invention and so we're very excited to have that process finally kick off. Our goal is that by July we will be able—all the focus groups will have completed their work and will be able to bring a full menu of projects to the community for their feedback and voting and then we'll use that feedback to prioritize how to spend the remaining 2012 bond dollars and hopefully also provide us with a list to carry into the next bond.

The last piece is Portsmouth Square, also finally really getting off the ground and that we're going to be doing intercept surveys at the park to start getting feedback from people about what their current user experience is like to help guide us to go through that concept planning process which is also—that process is entirely funded by impact fees as well. So that's the update.

Chair: One heck of an update. One thing that I'm sure you're away of, Toks is going to visit with us to talk about the contractor policy reform.

Dawn Kamalanathan: The contractor evaluation.

Chair: Right and I think Ken has offered through a relationship that he has to go to a walk-through of South Park prior to that preservation because that's such a recent project and there was some challenges. I think Ken was generous enough to say, this is for all our PROSAC members, that we are being offered guided tour by the architect to really check out what was done in South Park and then to come back and hear a presentation kind of hearing the Department's perspective as well as hearing kind of the on the ground perspective and so Ken I would ask through Tiffany that we put out an invitation, pick a day.

Kenneth Maley: I have been in touch with David Fletcher who is the designer and told him that PROSAC would like to take a tour of South Park. He's very eager to conduct that. But I told him I wasn't certain exactly how we as the committee organize a visit. I know in the past we've had group transportation to and from A to B. I don't know how the committee will come to a consensus on a date but David has offered to be as flexible as possible for him in his business to take us on a tour and he is very eager to talk about the process.

Chair: I would propose for the whole committee to just think about what might be a good day. I know it's going to be hard to narrow down but pick a weekday, maybe early afternoon. If we could get even a section of the committee to go that would be ideal. So maybe ask him what his preferred dates are and email Tiffany and we'll discuss it on the call next weekend, we'll try to pick a date.

[simultaneous comments]

Chair: We'll just see who turns out, we'll see how many people RSVP for that. So I want to open it up to the committee. Since Dawn is here and many of you have projects in your community that you have questions or comments about I think this would be a good opportunity to ask her some questions. I'll take from a show of hands who might want to contribute to this conversation.

Kenneth Maley: You can guess which park I'm going to ask you about. Washington Square in North Beach. Washington Square has received a matching grant for a new irrigation system which will be the first update of that system since it was put in in 1957. A couple of questions, there's been some delays in getting a project manager onboard to initiate the process but also I'm interested in how the playground renewal will work in conjunction. I understand that there's a mid-next year goal to begin the work.

Dawn Kamalanathan: To the playground?

Kenneth Maley: The playground is supposed to be scheduled prior to that so I'm interested in how those two projects would interface with each other in terms of disrupting the park and also just to tell you that Friends of Washington Square had a meeting with Supervisor Peskin and he is committed to try and find additional funding for repaving the walkway and some drainage systems. We've estimated that at around \$1.5 million to add to the irrigation budget. Friends has felt that it seemed ridiculous to go in and tear up the park just for irrigation and not address other water conversation issues. So I understand that your General Manager and the Supervisor are having conversations about that but I am curious if whatever you may know now about the process for the two projects at Washington Square.

Dawn Kamalanathan: I can tell you that because the irrigation project has not been truly scoped or designed yet it's difficult for me to say with any precision what would happen but I can say that we do this kind of sequencing pretty often and so sequencing is what it's about and I think in terms of Levi Johnson is back from paternity leave as of yesterday and so that should help us free up the bandwidth that we need to work on the irrigation project. It is again just pretty typical or not unusual for us to either have to phase projects or again to have a playground project or some aspect of the park finishing up and then to start another aspect of it. I think the important thing which I can certainly check in with you guys but I assume unless he's very on top of it he and Tokes are already thinking about but that you just do the playground that you're not making alterations, if there's irrigation into the playground at all which is kind of unlikely for most of our playgrounds.

Kenneth Maley: How about the perimeter.

Dawn Kamalanathan: Just the perimeter, right. So as long as we're not making alterations to heads or that there's some line that's running underneath the playground you should be fine. That hasn't been an obstacle for us in the past. That's part of as we're scoping the irrigation project that's like the first question to ask is what's in the playground scope and are there any implications. I suspect that they're pretty minimal based on my layout of the park I have in my head.

Kenneth Maley: Any news on the tree management update?

Dawn Kamalanathan: No, sorry.

Kenneth Maley: The last update was that the funds were available, Hort Science has submitted a proposal and that it was approved but never signed or moved forward.

Dawn Kamalanathan: Levi is back and so that should be the solution to a lot of your problems about this. I would give him a call this week but I'm sorry I feel like Denny [unintelligible] so I'm unclear what the gap is.

Kenneth Maley: I'm asked about it all the time.

Dawn Kamalanathan: I think the City Attorney was involved for a little while and that kind of messed it all up so I think we should be moving forward. I'm not aware of any other obstacle.

Kenneth Maley: I haven't seen any momentum.

Dawn Kamalanathan: I know.

Ana Gee: District 6. I want to comment on MaCaulay [unintelligible]. So I was informed by my Supervisor's office that [unintelligible] for both MaCaulay Park and Turk and Hyde besides the budget fund. Also, my Supervisor informed me that they were told at Rec and Park that they need a small officer [unintelligible] at the park but were things that the community didn't want. I was surprised to learn this since I have been at every community meeting in which community members have asked for an office and for bathroom to which Recreation and Park answered that these items were out of the question. I myself asked the project manager what would it take to have a bathroom at MaCaulay Park. I was told the same, it was out of the question. [unintelligible] and McCauley park doesn't get a bathroom and office it's not because of the community input but because Recreation and Park decided from the beginning these two items were not going to be provided. I couldn't pass this because these kinds of misunderstandings and miscommunications [unintelligible] on the equity metrics that so many in the Department has come up with and our input as PROSAC members. Let me explain a little bit why.

Many Departments across the region, across the country are looking at what San Francisco is doing when it comes to policy and because of this current administration. In the Tenderloin in particular houses [unintelligible] low income, fixed income individuals families from Latin America, families from the Middle East, we also house seniors and LGBTQ residents. So I just wanted that to go on the record.

Dawn Kamalanathan: Thank you for sharing that. I was at that meeting. That's not the discussion that I remember. I remember saying that we, Recreation and Park, were opposed to a bathroom at the site not the community didn't want it. But we did try to [unintelligible] that one of the main concerns of the community was trying to accomplish as a project goal as far as we understood it was constant activation of the site and that the bathroom and an officer building was seen as like one way to get to that goal. We offered a couple of other ways of getting there as well. We talked about—like the request that was initially made of us was a shelter and we said well shelter that like becomes very easily a homeless encampment and so we did share with the Supervisor the fact that we're looking a number of different ways to keep the site activated and that yes the project as it is doesn't have enough funds to complete a bathroom. I am not aware of additional project funds that have been afforded to the project for either MaCaulay or Turk-Hyde. Turk-Hyde had money last year that was set aside for it so there was \$700,000 set aside

that the Mayor's Office allocated for the project but [unintelligible] has already included that as part of their base budget for that project so it's not extra money. I'm not aware of any additional new money for MaCaulay so I'm happy to reach out to you and ask what it is that she—maybe the Supervisor is planning on getting additional funding but I'm not aware of any additional funding for the project.

Jane Weil: I think what—and calling Bobby is the right thing, that's Barbara Lopez at Jane Kim's office—but I think what she's talking about is at the State level there is money for low income, low served neighborhoods and he basically said that he was offering somewhere around \$1 million to actually up to \$3 million for District 6 and we need to—

Dawn Kamalanathan: Who is he?

Jane Weil: [unintelligible] And he basically needed us to tell him, give him a proposal. He's got the money but he needs a proposal of what to spend it on so it's not a concrete number because he's waiting for a proposal.

Dawn Kamalanathan: Okay and it's also not money that's in hand, it hasn't been received.

Jane Weil: He can't give it to us until he gets a proposal. In fact they're worried that because we haven't given him a proposal the money not be there. It's kind of one of these things, chicken and egg.

Dawn Kamalanathan: I'm aware [unintelligible] about a bunch of projects across the city right now and so just through the budget appropriations process. So unfortunately we don't have a clear deadline to work with, to you point. For projects that are scoped we've been trying to provide information. We actually haven't received a direct request from the Assemblyman on MaCaulay so I can reach out maybe directly to the Assemblyman's Office rather than going through the Supervisor.

Jane Weil: The way I understood it is he went to the Supervisors and said we need you to come up with proposals to us as opposed to him initiating the proposal.

Dawn Kamalanathan: I'll close the loop on both of them about that. Let me talk to Phil about it and then I can email—because again we're really in this conversation on a number of projects and I can email both the Assemblyman and Supervisor Kim's office to say here's our understanding. We did talk about also at that meeting [unintelligible] that was another project that [unintelligible].

Jane Weil: [unintelligible] just got a big amount of money from the Intercontinental popo.

Dawn Kamalanathan: Yeah yeah yeah.

Jane Weil: So I feel like they have their bag of money.

Dawn Kamalanathan: That's only for lighting.

Jane Weil: Only half is going for lighting. The other half is being kept in reserve by Parks Alliance.

Dawn Kamalanathan: Okay.

Chair: Okay, dear District 6, please follow up with Dawn. Clearly Dawn is aware of these concerns. Ana you did great, I'm glad that you voiced your concern. Jane, thank you for adding onto that. Dawn I appreciate it you circle back with them and just give them an understanding of what can and can't be done.

Dawn Kamalanathan: Sure.

Richard Rothman: District 1. Thanks for coming out to the Mother's Building.

Dawn Kamalanathan: You're welcome.

Richard Rothman: When is the deadline for the next bond measure?

Dawn Kamalanathan: Can we make that the last item? That's a greater conversation.

[simultaneous comments]

Richard Rothman: What about Rossi Pool? I guess we won't hear anything about Rossi Pool until Garfield is finished?

Dawn Kamalanathan: [unintelligible] at which point we will be able to post quite promptly sometime in the next two months a final community meeting for the project.

Richard Rothman: [unintelligible]

Dawn Kamalanathan: We are negotiating some final programming decisions between the General Manager and the [unintelligible].

Richard Rothman: [unintelligible]

Dawn Kamalanathan: [unintelligible]

Richard Rothman: [unintelligible] And just to give you a heads-up, one of Supervisor [unintelligible] priorities is we have children's playground, we wants to make and an adult playground somewhere near the senior center. [unintelligible]

Dawn Kamalanathan: I would like that. I haven't had a chance to meet her yet. The senior center is a tricky one.

Richard Rothman: Well, this would be like a play area so it would be fenced off and you know like we have just children's area.

Dawn Kamalanathan: Are we going to have adult playground dog play area complex?

Richard Rothman: [unintelligible] She just wants to keep the area separate [unintelligible]. I think she has an area picked out already.

[simultaneous comments]

Dawn Kamalanathan: It's an interesting idea. I actually have a contact with someone right now [unintelligible] who's trying to design these types of ideas in less aesthetically offensive fashion. [unintelligible]

Chair: Does anybody else have any questions for Dawn? I want to keep the meeting moving along?

Jane Weil: District 6. So I asked Tiffany to send around, I don't know if anybody had time to see it, but the Mayor's Office of Housing is putting forward a proposal which has kind of come up very suddenly to acquire a piece of property that is Federal Government now becoming surplus property which is a large parking lot, it's a full acre of parking lot, on Mission between 6th and 7th. It's adjacent to the 9th District Court and right now it's a surface parking lot. So the way this works I guess is the Federal Government puts the surplus property on a list and people can bid on it and there is a Federal Act called the McKinley Vento Act which is a homeless assistance act that gives priority for surplus government property to housing the homeless or helping the homeless. It does not dictate that's what it has to be but it does give priority to that the Mayor's Office has gotten very excited, they're putting through this proposal with if it goes the way they want they will buy it for a \$1 and it's a full acre. They're putting through a proposal to put two relatively mid-rise buildings to house 250 homeless people.

What upset me was that they never included Recreation and Park at all in the discussion of the use of this space and you know as you all know and the new members might know we've been fighting to find a new acquisition is mid Market, center Market. We've been nosing around a much smaller quarter-acre parcel that would sell for almost \$8 million that we sort of got close to buying it and then backed off of it for various reasons. This is right almost across the street from that quarter-acre parcel and it's a full acre.

So I right away tried to get Recreation and Park involved in the conversation because in my mind we could make a park along Mission Street that would actually be bigger than this small parcel we were trying to build, set this low income housing back, maybe even go up two extra stories to give them the numbers that they wanted. But I wanted Recreation and Park to be part of the conversation because it was not at all. The Mayor's Office was just pushing through this proposal for housing and not involving anyone else.

So I've already talked to Dawn about that to try to get Recreation and Park in on the conversation. It's very preliminary right now so the answer we get is don't worry about it, it's

just a proposal. After we get the property then we'll talk to you. No, I want us to be in the conversation from the ground up so that we are part the proposal from the very get-go. So I'm just throwing that out so everybody will know. I think it's not just a District 6 issue really in the sense that I think Recreation and Park—and we've talked about this before here—that Recreation and Park is not included with other Departments in the city in the larger conversation. You know, planning-wise. I mean Mayor's Office of Housing is just pushing this through. They do have a deadline. I mean there's a deadline for this proposal that's very soon so that's kind of their excuse for not including other Departments but that doesn't wash with me. I think we need to be part of that conversation.

Dawn Kamalanathan: I have agreed to make inquiries on your behalf which is about all I can commit to.

Jane Weil: I've been beating up on the Supervisor. [laughs] They let the Supervisor's Office know Friday afternoon that they were putting a proposal in on Tuesday, that's how much they've involved other people. It's really being pushed through and what is being pushed through is just the request to purchase this but part of that request is a proposal, what they want to build there.

Chair: [unintelligible] as opposed to just saying we're going to go score this for a dollar. There is intention.

Jane Weil: Absolutely. There is intention and I want us to be part of the intention. So I think I would ask that other people talk to their Supervisors and this to be building new housing for homeless people ostensibly from all over the city. And I think it's kind of precedent setting thing that when major acquisitions are made in our Districts I think Recreation and Park and the PROSAC representative should be part of that conversation.

Chair: I will just echo that. I think for Dawn she hears this all the time. As a body you will come to find especially the newer members that unfortunately Recreation and Park isn't always at the table and that creates problems when people are giving money to create open space when in reality we know the experts on open space are right here and so when they purposely go back and forth, just shuffle the deck and oh we'll see who's going to help us in our mind Recreation and Park should always be at that table and so again I'm not decreeing this but I'm echoing that it is our position to say to the Supervisor hey listen, this is getting—we sat here with the head of Capital Planning and her hands were tied. The reality is whether we hear Lennar coming up or we hear some of these other projects this is a consistent theme that can make sense in the future of San Francisco. [unintelligible] putting it on the record, making it clear this should be in our purview, this should be in PROSAC's study. So whatever we can do, whatever lengths we have to go on, whoever we have to chew on, we're there.

Any other comments on this topic? Excellent I and going to let Dawn to take five minutes to give us an update on the bond planning process. Elisa?

Elisa Laird-Metke: District 9. I wondered if there is any news or updates on the 7070 Rule Z greenhouse parcel, the full block of greenhouses in the middle of Portola. Over a year ago I was at a walk-through like of look-see, Phil Ginsburg was there, Jan O'Dyke was there, so there was

a lot of interest expressed at the time and I haven't heard a whole lot so I just thought I would see what was up.

Dawn Kamalanathan: The interest is still there. There's still also a lack of awareness out there and so my understanding is that the greenhouse project have continued to—they're working on completely a more detailed feasibility right now.

Elisa Laird-Metke: That's done.

Dawn Kamalanathan: Okay, good, so that's complete. I know that Stacy provided feedback on that and I know the [unintelligible] as it often is is a combination of enough dollars and [unintelligible] and also India Basin was over a decade long in conversation so it is still on everyone's radar screen. There's still a lot of interest and support for making that parcel have some sort of public benefit long-term but there hasn't been much in the way of a major milestone reached in terms of decision making.

Elisa Laird-Metke: Is there any expectation that there might be?

Dawn Kamalanathan: My experience with these has been that it's hurry up and wait for a long time and that one of those pieces need to move, especially the willing seller piece.

Elisa Laird-Metke: Well it's on the MLS and has been for years.

Dawn Kamalanathan: Well yeah, but—

Elisa Laird-Metke: I know.

Dawn Kamalanathan: A lot of times I feel like the market is really hot right now so people have listings up there in a somewhat speculative fashion, right, with the hopes that someone maybe will come in and give them a billion dollars. So it takes a little while sometimes for people to have their expectations come in line with the actual market trends which are still high but for one reason or another it doesn't seem like that seller has been motivated to actually follow-through with the transaction. We have expressed interest to the seller. The family is very aware but there's been no movement as of yet. These conversations have a way of percolation where it seems like nothing is happening and then all of a sudden everything happens at once so that tends to be how these acquisitions work.

Elisa Laird-Metke: There's a huge neighborhood interest.

Dawn Kamalanathan: We're very aware of that. Bond planning. Some of you may know this, some of you may not, the ten-year Capital Planning Committee which oversees the sequencing of General Obligation Bonds in the city of San Francisco moved the Parks Bond from November 2018 to November 2019. My sincere hope is that I do not need to start a public review process for that bond until probably late summer 2018. The way that it works is it's on the ballot 2019 but it's placed on the ballot by the Board of Supervisors in summer, 2019. The other two times I've done this it's been about a year of public process leading up to the formation

of the ballot. If you start sooner than that it's really hard to get people's attention, no one can really make any trade-off decisions. You just have a bunch of ridiculous conversations but we hope that by late summer 2018 we'll start a public process. That will also give us time importantly to complete the Lifecycle Project which is our deferred maintenance analysis within the Department and we're trying pretty desperately to try and finalize the RFP to hire consultants to complete a condition assessment of all the right facilities to update the one that was done in 2006 which was super valuable. It was called Comet and we used it for both bonds. Now it's completely out of date, we can't use it anymore and so we want to put out an RFP to hire consultants to update that condition assessment and what we're hoping is that will give us again a clear indication of where our properties are right now and I think a lot of them are in good shape actually, they've been renovated from the past three bond programs but it will give us a tool to objectively prioritize and rank facilities in terms of their condition. So that I think is a very important piece of data for the community and the public to have as we head into a planning process.

So that's our main focus. Denny and I are working on it really hard to get that deferred maintenance analysis done over the next year so that by the time we get to the summer of 2018 we have a data set that everyone can reflect on.

Chair: Thank you Dawn. To echo that PROSAC will be a part of this bond. We are always a place where the Department can come with idea and discuss priorities. Clearly the bond is for Dawn huge. Every time we get to this place where she's a year out it becomes very hectic, it becomes—a million different people are trying to get a million different projects. But you heard it here first, she's got a year between now and when it ramps up. So you're really something that falls under the scope of this bond this would be the time to start that dialog.

Dawn Kamalanathan: I'm happy to provide feedback generally earlier rather than later and another thing is that we actually just did this past week is my staff and some other staff from other Divisions in Recreation and Park we got together, we just inventoried all the lessons learned. [unintelligible] I feel like there has been a continuous cycle of learning, like each bond has improved upon the prior bond in terms of best practices and how we both plan it and then deliver it. So we had a nice multi-hour session and there was a lot of agreement about what the themes in terms of lessons of practices that we felt like totally work and are worth preserving and then things were we thought we need to keep working on those issues and I'd be happy to come back sometime later in the summer and do a little slideshow presentation for you about what our perspective as staff implementing the project was and what those lessons learned are and see which ones resonate with you and which ones you have questions on.

Chair: I think that would be incredibly helpful both to people who have gone through various park renovations, certainly our representative from District 3 has seen plenty of park renovations, the representative from District 6 has just seen one. I think it would be great to see your perspective in what worked and what didn't and then let us all formulate how do we help guide our districts.

Thank you Dawn, again. Is there any public comment on this item? Hearing none this item is closed.

We are on to 900 Innes. Nicole Avril, thank you very much for coming and presenting to us on this item. It has obviously been an item many of us have seen through the acquisition process and here we are. You've got a plan and obviously there are certain reps that are going to want to hear about this.

Nicole Avril: Absolutely. I'm glad to see Maya at the table. She has been very involved in this process and I have the pleasure of giving Richard a tour so I'm really excited to share this with the rest of you.

I'm here to talk about our plans for 900 Innes and the India Basin Shoreline Park. I think probably everybody knows where it is but I just wanted to locate it for you down at India Basin. It's north of the Hunters Point Shipyard, south of Heron's Head Park and specifically the projects that we're talking about today are this piece here, this parcel of land which is 1.8 land acres and .6 water acres and then India Basin Shoreline Park which is 5.6 acres. So together this is an 8-acre project.

I just want to give you a little history about how we started this process. The Department purchased the property in 2014 and then we did receive a \$500,000 grant from the California Coastal Conservancy but rather than just dive directly into design we realized that we were part of this network of parks so the really unique thing about this network of parks and open space is the six of these seven properties are in some stage of development with the exception of Heron's Head, the Hunters Point Shoreline was actually just finished by PG&E, we've got India Basin Shoreline Park and 900 Innes. India Basin Open Space which is a natural area in our Department. We've got the Build Inc. property, they've got a piece of land here called the Big Green tucked in behind India Basin Open Space and then we've got Northside Park which is the northernmost part of the shipyard development although it's the southernmost part of the India Basin cove development.

So as I've noted six of these properties were in some stage of development and rather than have seven different parks fit, may or may have not connected, may or may not have had way-finding systems that spoke to each other that perhaps had redundant amenities or missing amenities we stopped and we actually said okay, how do we think about this cohesively. So we put together a task force and these were we hope the vast majority of major stakeholders in the Bayview-Hunters Point area. We had representatives from each one of these as well as city representatives from the Departments that were involved in building it out down there and we basically said okay, we're starting in a vacuum. There's been at least a dozen planning efforts that have gone on over a decade. The India Basin Neighborhood Association had one, the Planning Department had one, the Bicycle Coalition had one, we're part of the Blue-Greenways planning efforts.

So we looked at all of those studies and then we put it in front of the task force and we said okay this is what folks have said they wanted to see over the last decade out here, is this still important to folks. So we did that—feel free to jump in at any point Maya if I'm forgetting something—but then we prioritized. We then help a series of community meetings. Many of the task force meetings actually were public and open to the community where we asked the community what they wanted to see. We not only did this at meetings but we went out to Sunday Streets and we

went out to the [unintelligible] festival and a couple of other places and asked them what did they want to see in this park.

So we compiled all that information and then did a series of technical studies. So there was a number of technical study like a underwater topography study and a tidal analysis and sedimentation studies and environmental analysis. We took all of that information and that helped us figure out what should go where.

So we really wanted to have a kayak launch park at 900 Innes but it turns out that the sedimentation is such that folks would come in, get caught at low tide and never get out. So there was a lot of information that we had to layer on what folks just generally want to see and we came up with this map and this map is of the programmatic elements and the amenities that made sense in each one of these parks. And from that we created a waterfront study which basically talked about everything that I just talked about which is what we thought should go where and the technical studies. We then finally after all this was done launched into our design competition and what was terrific was we were able to help hand our prospective design teams a map of what we wanted to see out there so they weren't coming out and having a conversation with the community for the first time, they weren't suggesting Ferris wheels, they were really understanding what folks wanted to see and also what could go where so we did a request for minimum qualifications. We had some stringent qualifications, people needed to have built \$20 million park that had ground field experience, that had wetlands experience. We got nineteen respondents. About ten of them really met the qualifications. Then we had actually the task force narrow that down to five finalists and we asked those finalists for submissions. It was a design ideas competition. We didn't want folks designing the park in a vacuum but we wanted to know how they would approach the park development given everything they had learned through talking to us and learning about what the community wanted.

So this is the timeline. We ended up choosing a firm [unintelligible] out of Seattle who was chosen in large part because of how they thought about connecting to the community and not the folks that were going to necessarily be moving there but they really wanted to think about how to address what folks who lived there now wanted and that was super important to us.

So what they ended up doing was starting a concept design process where they came out a number of times and first had a number of focus groups with the community where they listened firsthand to what folks had to say, they came up with a design based loosely on what they presented as their submission. Got a lot of feedback on that, came back and presented again, got a lot of feedback on that, came back and presented again and that's where we ended up with a preliminarily final concept design if you.

So I just want to talk a little bit about community priorities. For folks it was super important to have gathering spaces, concessions, play and fitness, honor the history of the site, think about the naturalistic areas and also address sea level rise.

So for gathering spaces we thought a lot about where people actually came from and a lot of those folks actually grew up and migrated to the area in the 40s to work on the shipyard came from the South and we thought about what they meant. So not only did we want to create a

backyard for folks with the parks but we also wanted to create a friend yard for folks and think about how Innes Avenue could be this entry point for the folks that live up in the hills facing the waterfront.

There's very few concessions down there and so folks also wanted a place where they could buy a cup of coffee, get a sandwich, a glass of wine. So we also wanted to support local businesses by doing that.

Of course we wanted to have a place for kids to play that was safe and inspiring. So we've got natural play areas so we're not planning on having plastic equipment out there. I don't know if anybody has been to the playground at Discovery Museum but kind of reference using natural materials that honor the very unique site that India Basin is.

We also care a lot about teens. They tend to sometimes get lost in the planning process and so we thought about ways that we could create a safe welcoming place for them to come whether our kayaking and canoeing program, skateboarding, running trails or fitness fields.

Then adults. We've thought a lot about what folks would want to do on the site and we have everything from again kayaking to casual recreation areas that could be used for Tai Chi or yoga, we've adult fitness stations that line the playground, there's been a number of studies that say that they're much more heavily used when folks are out at a playground hanging out with their kids and we've also got a quarter-mile recreation loop.

This is a historic place. 900 Innes is the home of the Bay Area scow schooner boat building industry. The very first Victorian worker's cottage was built in 1875 down at India Basin, that's the Shipwrights cottage, it's actually located on site at 900 Innes. It's a city landmark. It's eligible to be both a State and National landmark. I imagine we'll be going forward with that nomination soon. What was important about the scow schooner is this very shallow bottomed boat that can navigate the waters of the bay and it was the primary mode of delivering goods until the Bay Bridge and the Golden Gate Bridge were built. So it's period of significance ends around 1938 but it was very important until then and went into a period of decline. But this stayed an active boatbuilding and repair site until the 90s. Actually Jack London's Snark was built there, the Alma was built there. That's super important. This you'll actually see is the actually the historic coastline from 1938 before India Basin Shoreline Park was filled in and I'll go into a little bit more detail about the things that we're going to honor on this site. We're going to maintain and restore the Shipwrights cottage. It will be a welcome center and educational space where you can get a sandwich or cup of coffee. We've got a number of historic marine railways where they used to pull the boats up on the site. We've got historic staging areas. We've got this big Griffith Street entrance that we're going to be honoring. There's a number of things that we're doing and it is considered a cultural landscape.

One thing that's actually not on this map that we discovered at India Basin Shoreline Park is there's there shipwrecks buried there and so we will actually—one has pieces still exposed so we will keep those pieces exposed and be creating a shipwreck deck So that you look at them.

Natural areas. There's natural wetlands already both at India Basin Open Space but also around India Basin Shoreline Park. What we'd like to do is convert much of the ruffraff around the park to a natural edge of wetland to have a marsh area. Also have some marsh kind of coming in between the crumbling remnants of the docks which will be repaired. We're doing this to create an enhanced habitat for the animals and plants thrive there and don't thrive elsewhere in the San Francisco region because of the special conditions but also use it as a way to mitigate against sea level rise. It's a better way in our opinion to do it than building a seawall and equally effective.

Getting to sea level rise we are thinking very carefully about where we'll be in 2030, where we'll be in 2050 and 2100. We're taking that into consideration. We're also taking into consideration what a 2100 sea level rise plus a 100-year storm would look like and we're building all of our major infrastructure above that line. And again, it's adding in protective elements around the park.

Then we also care a lot about how we use water. While we are out of the drought there's nothing to suggest that we shouldn't be considering how to conserve water and so that's going to be a big focus.

So this is what folks ended up saying they wanted and this ended up turning into this park map where we've got kind of six regions. We've got the neighborhood edge and the historic shorewalk. I'll go into more detail about those. We've got the scow schooner boatyard. We've got the safe slopes which are these natural areas in here. And then we've got the marineway which is this big gesture that brings folks down to the water. Along the neighborhood edge that's where you'll find things like the porch swings that I talk about, the Shipwrights cottage, there will be barbecue areas, picnic areas. So really, things that kind of draw people in from down in the community and kind of greet them as soon as they get in. This historic shorewalk actually follows the line of the original shoreline from 1938. This is the scow schooner boatyard and you'll see here that there's two docks out here that are in the shape of original docks but they'll have to be rebuilt. We're also bring tidal wetlands into the site and we'll have what we're calling a classroom atmosphere there were folks can really kind of get up close and see what's going on.

Then lastly we've got something here called the shop and this is taking the old paint shop and it will be an open air pavilion. We'll be teaching classes to youth in the area and it could be boatbuilding classes to teach carpentry or other life skills.

I did forget actually two pieces along the neighborhood edge. One is the outlook pavilion which will have concessions in it and all of our bathrooms and then this is an ADA accessible path that brings you down to the shoreline.

In the sage slopes we'll have our adventure play area tucked in there. We're going to have our quarter-mile recreation loop. There will be adult fitness stations around the loop and the adventure play area. We're going to have these skateboard bypass areas along the big path here so kids can skateboard but not crash into people and it's a rather wide path, it's twenty feet or so. We'll have two basketball courts here which is an amenity that's heavily used in India Basin Shoreline Park right now. We'll have our parking and bus drop-off area here for school buses. We've got a big turnaround area. We've got the outfitter pavilion right there which is going to be

a place where you can rent kayaks and we'll also store our Recreation and Park kayaks and canoes and then coming out here is our shipwreck. I should note that everywhere that there's something historic we're going to have information about the history of the site and so there will be rich interpretive materials about the history of the site.

Then the marineway is something that will bring folks from Hunters Point Boulevard out to the water. This top area will also have barbecues and picnic areas. This area here is actually rather flat so this will be a great place for a pickup game, for kite flying and Frisbee throwing. This will be sloped. There's kind of this natural amphitheater setting and so folks can gather here and look out. If we have some sort of event down at the bottom it's a great way to either watch something happening or just look out at the water. Then lastly, this is a gravel beach and this will be a place in high tide where people can launch their human powered boats or kids can get in the water which if you live on this side of town if you want to get in the water you have to travel very far, either Crissy Field or Ocean Beach to do.

This is a close-up of the site plan and these are some renderings of what it will feel like to be walking. This one is particular is walking from India Basin Shoreline Park down the historic promenade in towards 900 Innes. One of the things I didn't point out is actually we've got this garden edge and it's using native drought tolerant plants and it's a way to connect to the two sites together but also again provide a really welcome environment to folks. This here shows you a sense for how big the playground will be. It's approximately the same size as Mission Dolores playground so it's rather sizeable. This is a view out to the water from the lawn. This is actually the quarter-mile loop. This is some decking that will bring you out. I don't know if I pointed this out but one of our signature features is going to be a very long pier with a dock at the end and what's incredibly exciting about this is that it allows people who might not have the ability or interest to get in a boat to really feel like what it feels like to be in the middle of the bay. It's going to have an ADA accessible boat launch which is terrific and it will also allow folks in low tide to get out. One of our concerns is we're teaching kids how to be on the water and the tides right now low tide comes out to about here and this is heavy sedimentation with thick sand so we want to make sure that there's a way for people to get out of the water safely in case they get caught at low tide and this allows us to do it. The reason it's that long is because that's actually pretty much where the low tide line ends.

Male Speaker: What about lighting?

Nicole Avril: It's a big topic of conversation that's been brought up by the community and our staff and we haven't gotten there yet. This is really at concept level but it's high on our radar.

Male Speaker: Is the idea that people could walk out on that pier at night or access the lawn at night?

Nicole Avril: Park hours are typically 5:00 a.m. to midnight and so we haven't had that discussion yet but I think people would like to as long as it's safe. So this is actually now walking from the marineway along through the sage slopes. This is one of our secondary trails. It's a terrific spot for bird watching. You'll be able to see all the wonderful flora and fauna that thrives in this area. This is as you're coming towards 900 Innes. This shows you the view from

where we are, that you're walking this way. That sage slope path brings you right into here and this is what 900 Innes looks like right now. It's seen better days but this is an illustrated version of what we hope to see there. This is the only area of original shoreline left down there and so we'd like to honor it and these are some of the marine rails that we spoke about earlier going into the water that we will be restoring. This is the shop that I mentioned that we'll be restoring and this little house over here is the Shipwrights cottage. The building with the concessions will be built here and our vision for these building—so what we're picturing here is something very light and transparent and so not a big bulky building, it's actually about the same dimensions as the shipwrights cottage. There was a house that used to be there, is burned down. We'll have our bathrooms down there and there will be a small concession not in the front of the building which will be that welcome center and the educational area but up the back we'll have a little patio and some chairs and have a glass of wine. This is the shop where those that will be learning carpentry and other programs. This is looking out to the water. This is a vision of what it could look like. This is actually a water feature where folks can actually look closely in shallow water at what's growing there. You'll see here the wetlands and the tidal marsh are kind of coming in closer to the site. Here are the docks. There will be plenty of gathering spaces. Other things to note that are important are this here is a class 1 bike bath so it will connect the development going on further down the shipyard. It will go through our site and out onto Hunter's Point Boulevard. Here's what the restored docks will look like in the tidal area.

Then things that we're thinking seriously about—we're thinking about how to cross safely. The MTA has indicated that they support us putting actually a signal so right on the marineway is one major entrance and then we're at the ADA accessible entrance is another major entrance. So we'll have a signal crossing there and at Griffith Street and then we're thinking very carefully about these crosswalks and not only have great crosswalks but great entrances across the street. Then as I noted a Class 1 bike trail and pedestrian circulation through the site.

Construction will most likely be phased. We'll start with 900 Innes and complete 900 Innes as well as this portion of the bike path that goes out onto Hunters Point Boulevard and then do the rest of India Basin Shoreline Park. This is a functioning park right now, 900 Innes is a brown field so we want to get that cleaned up first.

As far as timing goes we're in the process of doing a joint EIR [unintelligible] the neighbors to our south because our sites are so connected. So there's four properties—900 Innes, India Basin Shoreline Park, India Basin Open Space and 700 Innes in that EIR. We as a Department are not proposing improvements to India Basin Open Space. Build Inc. would like to make some improvements to India Basin Open Space. We are open to that. We've been reviewing and improving all along and we definitely get final review and approval rights. But generally what they're trying to do in enhance the wetlands that were created in 2000 and 2002 as part of an airport runway expansion mitigation effort. Some of the wetlands are doing very well and some are doing not so well. We're trying to think about how to make those better.

So that joint EIR is in process. We are publishing the draft EIR in June. There will be a comment period. We're hoping to get certification by the end of the year and then we would launch into our schematic design process for our parks and then [unintelligible] approval process. And that bring us to 2020 optimistically. It's years out but we're moving as fast as we can.

I think I've dumped a lot of information on you.

Chair: You have indeed. Hang on a moment.

Nick Belloni: When we purchased the property there was a negotiation with the neighbors on the other side to do some swapping. What ended up happening with that, land swap or something?

Nicole Avril: We're not doing that. There was some talk about putting condos on the other side of the park, that's not going forward. There is a little piece of land that Build Inc. does not own that is along India Basin Open Space between that juncture where India Basin Open Space meets 900 Innes and that will most likely come to the Department but that's not a swap.

Nick Belloni: Because I remember talk about that.

Nicole Avril: As I said, we didn't go forward with that.

Katherine Jones: District 5. [unintelligible]

Nicole Avril: [unintelligible]

Katherine Jones: Nice to see you. I didn't even know that was submitted.

Nicole Avril: It's due May 10th. We're working on it. The challenge is [unintelligible] remediation of the sediment in the site. I didn't talk too much about that. 900 Innes as I noted is a brown field. It's the typical thing you would find from a boatbuilding industry so PCBs, metals, it's very clean-upable but will require an effort. India Basin Shore Park is not a concern. We'll do a site management plan when we do construction and do anything [unintelligible] but it's not in the same condition as 900 Innes. So yes, that's what we're applying for. We are gathering all the information.

Katherine Jones: [unintelligible]

Nicole Avril: [unintelligible] We did receive \$400,000 towards the remediation of 900 Innes a couple years ago. We determined that it makes more sense to remediate the site when construction begins and so we asked for an extension on the grant and it looks like we'll be able to get that. We applied for another \$325,000, that's a different EPA grant so hopefully we'll get that, we'll know sometime this month and then with the additional funds are for cleaning up the onland portion and these funds that Katherine is talking about are for the in-water and sediment portion.

Richard Rothman: District 1. First, thank you for the tour.

Nicole Avril: You're welcome.

Richard Rothman: I was wondering [unintelligible] that the Hyde Street Pier may be getting some culture [unintelligible] somehow connecting with the Park Service.

Nicole Avril: He came to our celebration of acquiring the property and even talking about [unintelligible] for the opening of the park. So that would be fantastic but he was very interested in helping us support whatever historical information we want to present.

Richard Rothman: They have one of the largest collections of artifacts—they have some artifacts from the Alma in storage.

Nicole Avril: We're very interested in that.

Ancel Martinez: At large. I wonder if you could walk us through the back of the envelope of financing for the project and I ask that within mind that this is Port property not city property.

Nicole Avril: It is actually city property. There's a couple of parcels that owned by the Port because they're on Public Trust land but it's mostly city.

Ancel Martinez: So the dollars?

Nicole Avril: We're looking at a number of sources. We're looking at private sources and public sources. There's a lot of development going on in the area and so we hope to get some private funding from those folks whose communities will benefit from this park. Public funding could be bond dollars. There's our city bond but there's also a State bond that we could potentially be a part of. There's a number of grant sources, the EPA as I noted has been very supportive. There are things like CFDs that folks are interested in creating which would help with investment for the park. It's going to be a heavy lift but we have started thinking about that.

Ancel Martinez: What are the bookends in terms of how much?

Dawn Kamalanathan: There's an ongoing debate. Let's just talk about 900 Innes because I think the entire package is, you know, to do everything that's been envisioned is easily north of \$100 million to do everything that's been envisioned.

Nicole Avril: For just 900 Innes their upper number right now it's coming in at \$20 million, with escalation we're looking at close to probably \$25 million. Construction came in at \$10 million for now.

Dawn Kamalanathan: For just 900 Innes?

Nicole Avril: Yes.

Dawn Kamalanathan: Including all the buildings?

Nicole Avril: Yeah, I don't believe—[unintelligible] [simultaneous comments]. That's why I don't say anything and I look at Dawn to answer those questions. [simultaneous comments].

Dawn Kamalanathan: What I remember of the upper end is that this would be more than \$40 million on the upper end and I think a lot of it has to do with [unintelligible] when the project is ready and so I think that the EIR probably we're going to be done with that this summer but when what we have is actually regulatory path of unknown duration, right. And so we have a best case scenario where everyone get their permits in exactly the timeline that they're supposed to. But you know we've had a lot of experience both with the Marina and Sharp Park working with similar permitting agencies and those conversations have been multi-year conversations. Which is all to say that there is still a lot of flux around the project scope as well in that a lot of those regulatory agencies it's not we have never had it so that you gave them their design and they just kept it and said it looks awesome. After you complete your Environmental Review process you start submitting permitting packages. Oftentimes the regulators have feedback as well about the design itself and what might need to be altered. Not necessarily massive changes but particularly things that have to deal with environmental goals and goals around managing the coastline.

Nicole Avril: We have met with BCDC a number of times and presented already for their design review board so we've actually quite a bit of feedback from them at this point and changed the design considerably. Dawn is absolutely right, there will still be more but they've been very vocal at this point and our design is actually [simultaneous comments] changed quite a bit. We reduced fill by half in response to them. [unintelligible]. If this takes three years it's one, if it takes five years it's another, if it takes seven years you've just doubled your cost so that's the tough thing.

Ancel Martinez: So as a follow-up observation this would be the largest dollar amount in terms of project by Recreation and Park since—

Dawn Kamalanathan: No actually there's a couple of other that are in the pipeline right now that are about the same. If terms of project that have been complete a \$40 million investment say would be—the only project that's come close to it is really the Marina Yacht Harbor and then there were projects if you count the Concourse Authority that was \$100 million, the California Academy of Sciences. We weren't managing those directly but that gives you a sense of magnitude. But right now one of the general—you know, we were talking about the deferred maintenance analysis and one of our general patterns that I have seen, because I look at this data and think about this all different slices during the day, is that we have done an excellent job of renovating what I would call the backbone of the park system. So these are our kind of bread and butter rec centers and neighborhood parks—so Palega, Chinese Red, Mission Pool—we did a really good job renovating all those properties and those were all nicely somewhere in the \$10-\$20 million range. What that means is what's left is like lots of random medium-sized parks like Excelsior or Richmond playground or tiny mini-parks. And then it means these huge things which we have been unable to put our arms around because they so big and that might include India Basin, that includes McLaren, it includes Golden Gate Park and particularly the Stanyan Street edge, Gene Friend, all the rec centers that are left which includes Gene Friend, Mission rec, Potrero rec and St. Mary's you know all of those are going to clock in at least \$30 million to just renovate. Gene Friend to replace and expand is going to be more like \$50 million. This is the

universe we're headed into. What's left are like the super big things and then the kind of small super neighborhood focused assets.

Ancel Martinez: Thank you.

Chair: Are there any other questions from committee members?

Wendy Aragon: District 1. So you were talking about how [unintelligible] the shipyards and the African American community, like is that also into play?

Nicole Avril: Absolutely, very much so. We're trying to actually think about starting that with an interim or activation project that would be focused on exactly that. So it would be temporary while the site was either in planning or—it would be at India Basin Shoreline Park. But the goal is to do exactly that and then figure out how to evolve that into a permanent feature for the park.

Jordyn Aquino: I just wanted to thank you so much Nicole for your presentation. I wanted to pose a question to Maya and ask as a PROSAC member how was experience in this proposed design concept and what was it like working with Nicole and the rest?

Maya Rodgers: I was going to say when we talk about the people that live there now that is the African American community is who live there now. When this all started the shipyard condos weren't open yet, none of that was open. Nothing was redone it was just the people that lived in public housing and the people who owned townhomes at the end of the block and the India Basin Neighborhood Association which is a little pocket of folks in respect to that community, right? And so for me I felt oftentimes not just in this instance but I feel like I am the voice of I think about what would somebody say if they saw me in Food Co. or you know [laughs] would they be like [unintelligible].

Chair: I think that's a really good question to ask of PROSAC whereas like these are the experiences we're all trying to share with each other and again I think Maya has been involved in this since before you were on PROSAC, right, and I know for us those of us who have been on this committee for a long time this subject 900 Innes has been on the acquisition roster since I don't know, I was a little boy.

Dawn Kamalanathan: 900 Innes was a conversation for twenty years.

Chair: Twenty years. And like I say I'm the third chair in this dialog since the acquisition and we're still in a concept plan. So to understand that we are stewards for the future of this city starts here and ends some long time after that. [simultaneous comments].

Maya Rodgers: The design competition was very exciting which sounds very geeky but it was so exciting because you get to all these things that were ultra modern then we saw things that were really traditional, that we didn't chose. We wanted something in the middle, we wanted something that everyone could use. We wanted something [unintelligible] and it was exciting. To

echo what Nicole was saying is that this was one of the only ones who actually had an entryway coming down from the apartments that are there now [unintelligible].

Jane Weil: If I could echo that. One of the wonderful things about being on PROSAC at least for me has been meeting people and learning about other parts of the city and so I've actually attended with the five finalists were presenting I actually went there and met Maya there and just to hear from the five finalists, all of their ideas and to hear the community members bouncing off the idea it got me so involved that I then took the Blue-Greenway tour with the Parks Alliance and I would probably never have gotten that involved in another district of the city except that I was on PROSAC and heard about it and those opportunities are here for us so I would just encourage everybody to go out and explore all these things we're talking about because if you stay in the committee they're going to be talked about again and again and it's just really—it all reflects one park to another. What happens at Dolores and Duboce affects what happens other places. So it was really fun to be part of that.

Nicole Avril: One thing I forget to mention and Jane just made me think about this when you mentioned the Blue-Greenway is this is also the last remaining gap in the Bay Trail and so this will connect finally the Bay Trail which is really exciting.

Chair: Before we close this item is there any other questions from committee members? Nicole, I just want to stress to you since I've been on this committee for a long time, since the acquisition of this property one of the top pieces for all of us now moving forward is maintenance and the reason I bring this to you now is because these are great plans, this is a phenomenal presentation, this is an important underserved community in the city. Thirty years from now how is this property going to be—I'm not asking for an answer, I'm asking from the committee that the next time we see you and this progresses that there's a maintenance plan presented because it's just too wide scope to think this is one agency. This is a lot of agencies, this is a lot of people with hands in the pot and what I don't want to see is really great care of this space but oh yeah but the Port is doing this one and it doesn't look so good and oh yeah, that's PUC, we haven't seen them for a year. We want to avoid that type of miscommunication between agencies. You guys have this great visionary design process, all we've seen as community members is the design process is stunning, the implementation is stunning, but then two or three years later things start slipping away. So again, you don't need to answer on it. I think it's important for the committee to hear. Nowhere in your presentation was maintenance a conversation. I would like to ask that next time you give us an update that we understand how you guys are planning to maintenance this fairly large space.

Nicole Avril: And that conversation has already started.

Chair: I'm sure it has. Again I just wanted to echo. It is in our scope as a big part of what PROSAC's motivation is moving forward upon acquisitions that we have to know you have a plan, somebody has a plan for the future.

Nicole Avril: We actually made some significant design changes because of maintenance concerns. So that's been built it but funding [unintelligible] but I'd be happy to report back on that.

Chair: That would be great. Okay, any other questions? Is there any public comment? Being none, public comment is closed. Nicole thank you.

Nicole Avril: Thank you for your patience.

Chair: We look forward to coming and visiting. Moving on to the next item which is new business. I'm going to zoom this quickly. RPD website, Dawn I know you can't answer on this but is Tiffany an item that now has come up from other people, outside sources and so I think this has to be a focus for us is just to hear what the Department plans as far as their website update.

Next month you'll be happy to know for you District 8, 9, 10, 11 folks we are going to hear Lennar and we've been waiting for this item for a while. Lennar is obviously a big development. For those of you who know what it is, for those of you who don't do a little homework. [simultaneous comments] So we're going to hear from Lennar in June. We're also going to hear contracting practices which will incorporated South Park.

Kenneth Maley: I don't know if the committee would agree if this is a good suggestion or not but if it's possible do you think it would be helpful that on your own calendar or schedule that you go visit South Park and perhaps keep in mind issues or questions you might have about it so that once we schedule the tour that you're familiar at least with the end product. Does that make sense?

Chair: Absolutely.

Kenneth Maley: It might cut through the time and help you develop some questions for the designer why did we do this, this material or whatever.

Chair: I think Ken's suggestion is good. If you are in the South of Market neighborhood near South Park take a walk around before the scheduled tour so you can formulate some questions for the designers. I know that John McCauley obviously is still on this. We'll hope to revisit that. Dawn, one thing that has come up quite a bit for us is funding cuts. It's been an ongoing dialog. So we don't necessarily need an answer and I don't need you would be the person to give us that.

Dawn Kamalanathan: That would be the new Katie.

Chair: Do we have a new Katie?

Dawn Kamalanathan: Not that I'm aware of yet.

Chair: What we heard from the General Manager and from Katie was that it would be limited but it would probably affect other support services that could impact the Department.

Dawn Kamalanathan: I think that's the most accurate assessment.

Chair: I just read that Supervisor Farrell actually just went off on a tear about basically that subject. It would be nice to hear from the Department.

Is there any other new business you would like to add to the new business agenda? So I will move on. Any other comments on this item? Being none, this item is closed. Any announcements? Yes Richard.

Richard Rothman: My photo show if you want to see what Dawn and I have been talking about is in Supervision Katie Tang's office and Supervisor Fewer's office.

Chair: Any other announcements? I will give you just one quick one, Lafayette Park is having a movie night. We would love for you to attend. It is May 20th at 8:00 o'clock. Everybody is welcome. We will do it on the Washington Laguna corner and the last movie night we had 300 people. This probably could exceed 500. So please if you can come we'd love to have you.

Any other announcements? Okay, one last piece of housekeeping which I'm now emphasizing at the end of meeting instead of at the beginning of the meeting where everybody forgets, when you are at this meeting and you speak to somebody next to you that creates background noise that it makes it very difficult to transcribe the meeting. So if you are going to have offline conversations with other members just turn away from the table so that it doesn't get picked up. That's one.

Two, whether you're coming to a meeting or not you need to RSVP. Please, not the day of, or not an hour before. Tiffany stops checking her email at 3:00 o'clock, it is unfair for all of us to wait on you guys to say yes I'm coming in the eleventh hour. So I'm asking you please maybe the weekend before, maybe that Friday before when she puts out the agenda and starts telling us to RSVP whether you're coming or not to please RSVP.

Are there any other comments or concerns before I close the meeting? We are adjourned. Thank you.

End of Document