

**Park and Recreation Open Space Advisory Commission Meeting  
March 6, 2018**

**Chair:** The real purpose of quorum of course it to be able to vote items. So I feel like now we could at least start the meeting and we do have at least one item to vote on plus the elections. If we don't make quorum by the time we reach any sort of a vote then I'll adjourn the meeting at that point and we'll have to come back to that one part. I think it would be in our best interest quickly to just chat briefly. I'm going to try not to get into the Chair's report. I also cannot offer you the minutes to review tonight unfortunately. Gary as you see is handling a lot of hats. We'll go our best to get it sorted for the next meeting.

Without further ado I'm going to start this meeting. Welcome to the March Park Recreation Open Space Advisory Committee meeting. Thank you for attending. I will start with a roll call.

**Richard Rothman:** District 1.

**Trevor McNeil:** District 5.

**Robert Brust:** District 8.

**Jordyn Aquino:** District 4.

**Ancel Martinez:** At large.

**Elisa Laird-Metke:** District 9.

**Mark Scheuer:** District 8.

**Wendy Aragon:** District 1.

**Ana Gee:** District 6.

**Nick Belloni:** District 2.

**Chair:** Steffen Franz, District 2. Again, we can't review the minutes for December and January although you should see for reference that there is both a December and January draft in front of you. Please, you can take those home, you can review them. I just think it's probably since we first of all can't even vote on them we might as well take another month, review them. Gary will email them to everybody and we'll go from there.

As far as my Chair's report I have just a couple brief things to announce. One is you'll see in your email that Parks Alliance is sponsoring something called a Park Partner Conference. This conference—I'll hand you out just a couple handouts but again Gary will email you. This event takes place on April 14<sup>th</sup> at the City Club and basically there's a couple important topics that I'm

sure PROSAC members would want to be involved with. The Parks Alliance has offered us to attend at the partner rate which is free and so this event that they are charging for and are selling tickets to they've offered PROSAC members free entry. So I urge you to go to this even if you can just go to the mixer which is at the end of the event I think 3:00 to 5:00. I definitely urge all of us to attend, be there, it would be great to physically be in this space. Gary will email you all. You just need to email back Amanda Montez.

In terms of other notable things I continue on my never-ended dog park mission to visit as many dog parks as possible. One statement I'll make to staff is that in fact I went to the upper Douglass play area, a wonderful off-leash dog area. Unfortunately due to the weather it's closed during the winter and nowhere would you find that information. So I went all the way there and it was awesome, the view was great, I turned around immediately and went to Walter Haas which was also nice but again it's great to see these spaces activate. I would like to see more. I'll try to continue to go to different ones and see what's there.

**Male Speaker:** Upper Douglass is a decommissioned baseball field and they tried to do something about the mud but—

**Male Speaker:** [unintelligible]

**Male Speaker:** It was supposed to reopen on Saturday.

**Chair:** I was there a week before and I had no idea that it was closed nor did anybody else who was actually trying to find it. So in the future it would be great to make it more visible.

I don't have much else. I do have two Department notifications, two events which I will reiterate to you at the end. The Koret Children's Quarter Playground, Saturday March 31<sup>st</sup>, 10:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. feel free to pass this around. I'm sure Gary has got 700 more. And then the ever-popular Balboa Park off-leash DPA is opening on March 22<sup>nd</sup>.

Also Mark Scheuer and Ana Gee have been in touch trying to further our sister parks concept. There's been some dialogue between these two PROSAC members with the hope of cultivating a Friends of group.

I'll also say that I'm in the midst of talking with Alta Plaza Park who has a huge renovation going on, mostly related to their irrigation. It's a huge project, unfortunately it's mostly focused on irrigation so it's 100 percent underground and the friends of that park would like to try to raise some money and do a capital project or program to raise money to help beautify it. They really don't have much a friends of to speak of and that seems odd so Friends of Lafayette Park is trying to give a little help. Again, I ask some of you who aren't a part of that dialogue if there's a park that you really love and it's in a District or under a friends of it would be really nice for one of you to take the lead and say let's go down and visit and see what we can do to help.

With that said I'm going to turn the floor over to Ana Gee who is going to read a thank you from Casey Ashbury.

**Ana Gee:** Thank you. On behalf of the [unintelligible]. In particular we are grateful for the leadership of the General Manager Phil Ginsburg in working with the officer District Supervisor [unintelligible] and the San Francisco Office of Real Estate to help friends of [unintelligible] gardens establish the goal of timely establishment of the gardens in a safe and useful location as we facilitate construction at the campus at 350 Golden Gate Avenue, the garden's home since 2009. We're very excited to take up interim at [unintelligible]. In this collaborative effort the San Francisco Recreation and Park Department has shows support we see a level of partnership that encourages us to believe that the garden and parks in District 6 will continue to expand and [unintelligible].

**Nick Belloni:** I give you credit for reading that. Writing is like microscopic and I know I'm getting older but I still can't read that.

**Chair:** So basically just to qualify this whole conversation Casey Ashbury works for Hastings. They had this demonstration garden that had been used and the building that that demonstration garden was in was due for construction. She was very concerned because they had put a lot of time and effort in, they needed a space, they wanted to keep it in the Tenderloin. She went to Phil, she went to DPW a bunch of different people and it was Recreation and Park who ended up saving the day [unintelligible] temporary but will restore I think back to the Tenderloin once this time goes by so thank you to her and now it's on the record.

Is there any public comment? Being none, public comment is closed.

Let's touch on new business for a quick minute. I would start with anybody who has any items that are not on this list that you would like to hear in front of the committee. Are there any that you feel should be removed from the list? Hearing none, this item is closed. I would say that we can expect—and Gary please back me up on this—all three of the Parks Alliance items over the next three months, probably April, May, June, I know Richard is anxious for the historical property presentation. He was waiting for you to come back. So that may be April or May. One think I would like to continue on Gary is this best values, I really feel like we kind of got up to the plate on that one and now we still need some more focus on that. I think everything else will shake out when it does, the water management piece, the NERT. And you understand from their perspective that we as a parks system are integral to their action and so maybe there are people on this committee that aren't certified or don't really know about it so we'll come back to that.

As other conversation on this, anything?

**Ana Gee:** Is there any way that [unintelligible].

**Chair:** So Gary, what's your feeling on that? I know we had talking about it being Park Patrol.

**Gary:** I spoke with Marcus in passing on Park Patrol and he said just give him a heads up and he would be happy to come discuss what their current procedures are and how they operate, how they work with the Police Department, similar to the sanctuary policy.

**Chair:** So what would be good for us I think is to try to put that on the April meeting and what gets done at this meeting, if we end up having to push the pit stop program and the elections back because we can't vote I would say we push it back to the May meeting but I would be fine trying to get that and Parks Alliance one of their items on the next meeting. Anybody else? Any public comment? Being none, public comment is closed.

We would like to welcome back Stacy. Hi. Congratulations.

**Stacy Bradley:** Thank you. This is my first week back. I had a little boy. Since we're talking about having babies, starting at the end of this week we will have three staff members on leave for a few weeks so we may get a little slow on things for a little bit.

We are making great progress on the bond. We have Moscone Playground and [unintelligible] will be opening this month. The opening event [unintelligible] but construction should be done in March. Willy Woo Woo Wong is out to bid and the bids are due back at the end of this month and Alice Chalmers and Golden Gate Park [unintelligible] are both at the Commission starting tomorrow. So that's all the major updates for the current bond and then for the future bond [unintelligible] we had a kickoff meeting earlier this week. The pilot is Hamilton Kezar to [unintelligible] works well on their assessments. When we get back from them and our database works and our communications together and then that's considered phase one. Phase two is starting August and that will be all of our priority sites that we included in the 2019 bond so it's basically everything that hasn't been addressed in the last fifteen years is in that first batch. Then the second to last batch is going to be over the next year sort of as time goes by we're going to be getting as much information as we can for the bond.

Then also I'm not sure—well, the Commission stuff. So Francisco is coming tomorrow for the concept plan, the rules, the naming and the donor recognition piece. So it's going to be interesting, it's the next time since that [unintelligible] that the Commission is really weighing in on something. It's been three and a half years since we acquired it. It's pretty good, it's faster than some and so it will interesting to see what happens with that, if there's anything terribly interesting I'll report back.

Then also India Basin has the informational presentation tomorrow on the project, the whole project. There's the State lands trust portion of the deal and then we plan on going back to the Commission. So tomorrow is just an informational item [unintelligible].

But the Civic Center playground is opening last month. The city is capitalizing on that by doing a new design for the Civic Center Plaza [unintelligible] and the streets around it. If you're interested in that there's going to be a public meeting at the end of the April so the next meeting I'll be sure to have details on when it is [unintelligible].

**Mark Scheuer:** I read in the paper, I just wanted confirmation, that there's going to be someone there 24 hours a day as security.

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah, you know it's funny I read that too in the paper and I saw Phil yesterday and I asked him about it and he said pretty much there is Park Patrol. It's a collaboration of sorts. So Park Patrol is out there checking in on it. There is some community ambassadors. There's a third.

**Gary:** It's the community ambassadors and then also sort of an ambassador still but Hunters Point Family is out there as well and then OIC, the other ambassadors that usually work out at UN plaza are also there. And also on that we're logging between 500 and 1000 people visiting those two playgrounds a day since they opened.

**Stacy Bradley:** Every time I'm out there, not matter what time of day it is there are a ton of kids. It's very exciting. So hopefully these playgrounds are going to invigorate the space and this design will help us bring it forward to an amazing civic space.

**Ana Gee:** [unintelligible]

**Stacy Bradley:** [unintelligible] They are part of it but they're not leading it. It's being lead through the Police Department and they [unintelligible] so there's a number of consultants working on it and then they're reaching to the community and Trust for Public Land is one of about fifty organizations that they're talking to. They talked to Trust for Public Land as well about how they did, the community engagement to both.

Just a couple of other things. Steiner Street bridge I'm also not sure if we talked about the bridge too much but it's being removed as part of the BRT work that Public Works is doing and we helped push for that, they had it in their original plan and they decided not to remove it and we're going to lose a lot of space on Hamilton Rec including loading zones so we encouraged to really just remove that bridge. So they are going to remove it. It should come out in phase one of the [unintelligible]. They will be removing the bridge and the spiral staircase on Kimball and then it will take a little bit more time to remove the [unintelligible] going down to Hamilton because it's a retaining wall that provides structural support so that will be just a bigger effort. But they working [unintelligible] and with our whole Department on what should be at both locations to improve both of our parks. So it's good. It's a nice project for us.

And Lake Merced West we are moving forward on it. That's the Pacific Rod and Gun Club revision of what's out there now to change it into a space and that the environmental review is moving forward and we're looking at pretty much getting clearance for a clean slate there so that we're able to renovate the site.

**Chair:** How many of you were on this committee when we took up the Rod and Gun Club? I'd like to see that paperwork—the environment [unintelligible]. I did want to mention, sorry Stacy, I did want to mention that Francisco which is something that I was involved with and was slightly contentious is now becoming a park and I've been to every community meeting. I think there were five or six, I've been to all of them. It's going to be a great park. It's there now and I'm pretty happy to see that it's progressing. I would urge you if you support it, it's going to be an interesting park. It's going to be different than a lot of the rest of the system and so I would just say look into it. I think it's going to be a great space. Again, it goes before Capital tomorrow

and then before the full Commission on the fifteenth. So I would say if you like that project support it please.

**Stacy Bradley:** And the [unintelligible]. It's an old reservoir from the 1800s. The park is really growing out of the reservoir. It took a while to figure out how the reservoir was going to be part of the space and I think they've done a pretty good job of figuring out how to make it a space for today.

**Chair:** I'll just say, I invited them to come to this meeting to present to us and they weren't sure if SEQUA would actually pass so they opted to come to the next meeting even though they're being compelled to go to the Commission this month which seems kind of opposite to me. I would have rather seen it before and been able to support it but that's okay I still would like to have you guys see a presentation on it.

We now have quorum.

[simultaneous comments]

So now we are quorum so now anything we want to do on record is on record. Maya, just for the record say you're here.

**Maya Rodgers:** District 10.

**Chair:** Stacy, do you have anything else for us? You good?

**Stacy Bradley:** That's it. Are there any questions?

**Richard Rothman:** [unintelligible]

**Stacy Bradley:** I know nothing, sorry about [unintelligible].

**Richard Rothman:** [unintelligible]

**Chair:** Hold on, you're asking her a question but you already have the answer?

**Richard Rothman:** Well I thought maybe Balboa [unintelligible].

**Stacy Bradley:** I believe that's been taken care of.

**Gary:** Yeah, I believe we're back on track.

**Stacy Bradley:** But I'll find out.

**Chair:** You guys can talk offline. So any other questions for Stacy? Hearing none, any public comment on this item? Being none, public comment is closed.

So last month as you recall we were presented with the Pit Stop pilot plan and pursuant to our discussions as a committee we thought it best to undertake a resolution of support for this project. Two members had discussed it, one of the staff had also taken up the idea of creating a resolution. So I am going to jump right to the crux of this and I think Ancel Martinez who is going to read a proposed resolution—you all should have a copy of it and I think pending the outcome of this we could take up a vote to support this resolution.

**Ancel Martinez:** For the record [unintelligible]. A resolution to support the Pit Stop program expansion in parks. Whereas San Francisco Public Works' pioneering Pit Stop program provides clean safe toilets as well as supervised used needle receptacles in San Francisco's most impacted neighborhoods. All the Pit Stop facilities are staffed by paid attendants who help ensure that the bathrooms are well-maintained and used for their intended purpose. And whereas the Pit Stop program began in San Francisco's Tenderloin in 2014 at three sites sparked by a plea from neighborhood middle schoolers who are fed up with having to carefully navigate around human waste on their walk to school and whereas on January 4<sup>th</sup>, 2017, the San Francisco Recreation and Park Department began a collaboration with the [unintelligible] and Supervisor Jane Kim to begin a pilot of the Pit Stop program at Victoria Manalo Draves Park restroom located at the park and whereas by providing peer to peer support through the Pit Stop staff the program will significantly activate the park and revitalize the surrounding neighborhood and whereas having the Pit Stop program at Victoria Manalo Draves Park has increased impacts and prevented illegal behavior and maintenance costs that decrease significantly. Therefore be it resolved the Park and Rec Open Advisory Committee, PROSAC, supports the expansion of the Pit Stop collaboration between San Francisco Public Works and the San Francisco Recreation and Park Department, Park restroom facilities as identified by the San Francisco Recreation and Park staff would support the San Francisco Public Works Department.

**Chair:** Than you Ancel. Nick?

**Nick Belloni:** I'll second it or move it.

**Chair:** You have to move it.

**Nick Belloni:** Move it.

**Maya Rodgers:** Second.

**Chair:** So that was moved by Nick Belloni, District 2, seconded by Maya Rodgers District 10.

**Elisa Laird-Metke:** As a matter of drafting I think there's a missing whereas in that first paragraph where there's a period, full stop at a new sentence. I think that should be a new whereas clause.

**Chair:** So whereas all the Pit Stop facilities are staffed.

**Elisa Laird-Metke:** I think the work Department was missing at the end.

**Chair:** I heard that too, the word Department was missing. So let's all agree to say that in the first paragraph at the end of the first period, first full stop whereas all the Pit Stop facilities are staffed, yeah? And then in the third paragraph whereas on January 4<sup>th</sup> it should say with the Department of Public Works and Supervisor Jane Kim. Anybody else have any friendly amendments, changes?

**Wendy Aragon:** District 1. The last whereas clause it sounds kind of funky reading it so would it be okay to change it to increase impacts on the restrooms preventing illegal behavior and—it seems like there's a lot of ands in there.

**Chair:** Sure. So let's reread it. So whereas having the Pit Stop program at Victoria Manalo Draves Park has decreased impacts to the restrooms and preventing illegal behavior.

**Male Speaker:** You could have restrooms, comma, deterring illegal behavior, comma, and.

**Chair:** I'm okay with either of those. I like preventing because it keeps it almost the same.

**Male Speaker:** So restrooms, comma, preventing illegal behavior.

**Chair:** And maintenance costs have decreased significantly therefore be is resolved.

**Female Speaker:** Did I hear Steffan add Jane Kim to the end?

**Chair:** It's in there, Supervisor Jane Kim. It's in paragraph three.

**Female Speaker:** Okay.

**Nick Belloni:** I accept the amendment.

**Chair:** So are there any other friendly amendments, changes? Hearing none, I'm going to call the question. All in favor or adopting this resolution?

**All:** Aye.

**Chair:** Any against? This item has passed. So while we're on the record Gary we'd like to thank you for your help in putting together this resolution, certainly for any of you who would like to write resolutions in the future for PROSAC or for any committee this is a good template to work with. It's really straight-forward. This is how it's supposed to be read, so thank you very much. I'm glad we've adopted that. Is there any public comment? Hearing none, this item is closed.

We're ready to move on to Item 7.

**Stacy Bradley:** You've been asking for this for a long time. [unintelligible] and they're going to step me through what is the open space plan.

**Mark Wiggins:** Thanks Stacy, Mark Wiggins for Five Point. I'm going to walk you through the amendments to the parks and open space plan for the shipyard and Candlestick Point. We've got a lot to go through so I'll just be very brief. I just wanted to explain I'm on the staff at the Office of Community Investment and infrastructure and I just wanted to let you know what our role is in working on plans for these parks. [unintelligible]. It's a really big new redevelopment project area. Just to get a sense of the scale just looking at the parks and open space it's about 336 acres parks and open space, about 100 acres of that is State recreation area and [unintelligible]. It's all being developed through a partnership between the city and Five Point and the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure is helping [unintelligible]. It's responsible for overseeing the project and we're also in the near-term going to be managing and maintaining the parks until they're transferred to the city. Park Maintenance is funded through a [unintelligible] and the amendments that we're looking at tonight to our parks and open space plan, it's like a foundational document for all of our parks and open space, all of our design processes are based on the concept designs shown in this plan and the amendments that [unintelligible] is going to walk through incorporate comments and feedback from Recreation and Park staff as well as the Planning Department and MTA [unintelligible] Hunters Point shipyard citizen's advisory committee.

Before I let [unintelligible] speak I want to say thanks to the staff of the Recreation and Park. We've been working with [unintelligible] Jordan Harrison, Stacy, Tokes and Dawn. Working with them it's been really obvious [unintelligible] wealth of expertise in terms of recreational [unintelligible].

**Martin Williams:** Good evening, thank you for having us here and taking the time. My name is Martin Williams, I'm a development manager at Five Point working on Candlestick Point and Hunters Point shipyard phase two project. As we've said we want to show you the updates to the park and open space concept plan.

[simultaneous comments]

**Female Speaker:** Why is it now Five Point and not Lennar?

**Martin Williams:** Five Point is an independent company that was spun off from Lennar in 2016. Lennar has probably been the name you would have heard for many years associated with the redevelopment project both at phase one which is the hilltop at Hunters Point where they're still the entity in charge of the develop but for phase two which is the old Navy base itself and Candlestick Point those are transferred to Five Point. So it's still the same entity that has an agreement with OCII and some of the same people like our director have been here for a while and then our landscape architects Nathan [unintelligible] have been involved in this project for ten-plus years perhaps. So Five Point is a new company by the development of the project proceeds apace.

So I'm going to start with an introduction. There's some larger updates to the master plan we're going that caused us to reconsider parts of the Open Space Plan. I'll talk about that and its timeline. I'll go through the park concept plan itself, each of its parts, and give a little

information on the timeline associated with that. I mentioned a little bit about transportation improvements that are being made to help give access to the parks.

So why are we here? We're updating the master plan for the shipyard and I'll go through some of the changes we're making and we want to share with you the updates, get feedback and answer questions about it. We're in the southeastern area of San Francisco in District 10. This is ex-husband Bayview Hunters Point neighborhood. The shipyard phase one that I mentioned construction has been going on for several years there, that's the Lennar project and there's probably several hundred homes built up there. We're phase two which is sort of the flatlands beyond the old shipyard and then Candlestick Point. 3<sup>rd</sup> Street is over here and then you see a little bit of 101 just for frame of reference.

The project timeline does go back even further than this but in 1997 the redevelopment plan was approved for the shipyard. In 2010 the EIR for Candlestick and the shipyard was certified and our development agreement with the city was approved. Since then there's been work started on phase one and we've started to do a little bit of schematic design on Northside Park. We're not updating the master plan for phase two and we'll be going through approvals for that later this year.

We've been working with now David [unintelligible] an architect based out of London to help us update the master plan and he selected these words by Maya Angelou as a way to express the vision for what we're trying to achieve with the shipyard. We wanted to both recognize and respect the history we have at the site, the legacy that's there but we wanted to respond to the present needs and also try to contemplate what can and could happen here in the future, be optimistic about things that aren't yet thought of that would allow the possibility for the future to take place.

Really the updates to the plan come down to incorporating the greater mix of land uses that will bring a great diversity of people, activities and activation to the site. We also will be incorporating best practice green energy to the project. We did want to look at a few of the parks and try to re-imagine them and make them even more aware and celebratory of the legacy of the site. And then we wanted to create an opportunity to increase the number of existing heritage buildings that we could retain and reuse.

This is the new master plan. Colored blocks are different types of land use but we've added opportunities for schools, for hotels, for what we're calling makers space, uses that were not contemplated in the original plan. We've also reoriented the street grid in this area. It now aligns with the naval street grid that was already there and so some of the buildings that were already there now remain. And then there's a few parks I'll talk about where we've tried to create a more special experience there. In increasing the density of uses here some of the residential units have been moved from Hunters Point to Candlestick but the overall amount of units and affordability has not changed.

So this is basically what I just said. We have schools, hotels, there's an increase R and D and office space and then there's a slight increase in the acreage of parks and more opportunities for the reuse of those existing buildings.

In terms of what's the same the overall square footage approved in the redevelopment plan is unchanged. The number of units and the amount of affordable housing is unchanged and the construction of all the infrastructure to allow development to proceed is still occurring.

The timelines for this—we do need to update or amend the redevelopment plan to allow for some of the new land uses. We'll then update our development agreement with the city to be consistent with that and subsequent to that we're updating project documents to be conforming with those new development plans.

One of those documents is the parks and open space concept plan. So that's the context, sort of the larger development which between the two sites is 700 acres, a twenty to thirty year timeline so a massive redevelopment project. So the parks and open space concept plan is one of the key components to making it successful. The original plan was approved along with the other documents in 2010 with the update to the master plan commencing in 2016. We looked last year at the start of the concept to conform to that.

What's new? In working with the community and working with OCII, other city agencies and Recreation and Park staff we've added more children's play area, dog play areas and exercise areas, things that were not contemplated or were fewer in quantity in the original plan. We've created a new emphasis on dry dock four. Looking at that historically registered really amazing space and trying to do everything we can with it we've consolidated three smaller parts into one large one which would be privately owned but publically accessible and we've incorporated storm water gardens which I can talk a little bit about and our landscape architects will talk more about if there's questions.

What's staying the same? All the programming that occurred over these 200-plus acres is still intact and mostly in the same location as before the overall acreage is 222-plus acres of parks. We're actually just over 230 now, continuous shoreline access, the open space, the views, experience of the historic elements of the site also there and the continuation of the blue greenway along the shoreline is still there.

This is the concept plan. This includes the Candlestick side and the Hunters Point shipyard side. We're not proposing changes in the Candlestick side but just for reference that's kind of the whole network. I was just going to flip back and forth between the old and new. Visually you can kind of see the street grid down here was shifted so that we can retain buildings. When that shifted some of the parts were reoriented around that and in this area. We've got larger areas right here. This acreage is not readable at that scale but I have a few hard copies if anyone needs any information on the acreages of each park we've got here and then I've also got—again, I apologize it's hard to read, the 2010 acreages compared side by side.

**Nick Belloni:** How much more or less is there?

**Martin Williams:** Yes. There is almost ten acres more. We had 222.2 acres before and we've got 231.9 now.

**Maya Rodgers:** District 10. What are you considering a park? What definition do you use?

**Martin Williams:** Parks have to be sort of intensively improved and developed. So there's also an open space. If there's not going to be programming and uses and a significant level of improvements made to open space we carry that below the line. Also, if it's not publically owned it's carried below the line. So these are publically owned, improved park spaces and I think that's consistent with how we consider these in 2010, there's things that were belong the line at that time as well.

**Chair:** Does that answer your question though as far as the definer. We struggle to understand this from the perspective of—we look at it from Recreation and Park's perspective, it's very clear what a park is but a lot of what we're hearing from you is you're taking a different look, a different stab at how some of these open spaces are treated. I think the question becomes what do you deem a park? Is there a real definer for you for this project.

**Martin Williams:** For me personally no. I think we work in concert with OCII. I think the only parks that were not considered as parks before—and there was also a history of this that far predates me so I think my work in this has been looking to be consistent with that group we already had. But if the park was not accessible or if it was—actually I think those are the ones that were not considered before were parks that were potentially not going to have any access and so it was maybe good for habitat, good for ecology, things like that, but not good for people to go there [unintelligible]. So that's the same case now and then anything—there's one park we mentioned that's privately owned. We're not considering that even though it's actually got quite intensive use and it's fully public use but that stayed below the line.

**Male Speaker:** Almost all the parks are going to be like what [unintelligible] the only exceptions are new small open spaces that are going to left to native habitat and then [unintelligible].

**Martin Williams:** All that is to say no, if I had a definition I would have just given you that.

**Chair:** I think for us it's just when you go from being an advisory body to a specific Department and there are overlaps with public and private and maybe the definition is slightly different as it is compared to let's say the Department's definer it's important for us to understand when you say yeah we have all these great open spaces we just want to know what that means. Are those marshlands that people can't actually go into or lawns. Again, our feeling is we just want to eventually have some sort of a definer.

**Martin Williams:** We'll get a better sense of what's happening in each of the parks and I go through them.

**Nick Belloni:** [unintelligible]

**Martin Williams:** Because of the shifting of the grid it's hard to track exactly. I can tell you which ones got bigger and smaller. Some of them got bigger only because sort of acreage got moved over here, so this one got smaller, that one got bigger. At a high level the dry dock four

area got bigger. The green room is the three smaller parks, that's about the same size as they were before, they're just in one area now. Grasslands ecology park got a little bit bigger. Community sports field complex got a little bit smaller but it's more efficiently programmed so it's all the same activities, there's just less walking between them. Waterfront promenade north got a little bit bigger.

**Nick Belloni:** Thank you. It's just we can't read that.

**Martin Williams:** I do have a few hard copies I can share. So what I thought I would do is just kind of take a walk along the shoreline, go through each of the parks. I was going to start with the approved plan and then show you the changes to each one. So we're starting up by India Basin and what's going to become Northside Park. Northside Park is a neighborhood community park. We've been doing schematic designs. We've had a robust community outreach process with a lot of the neighbors who live over here. And so through that process the design has adjusted slightly. This is the 2018 proposed. These are not changes that were proposed as part of the master plan, they're just changes we're reflecting that came out of the community process. So there's still play areas active, sport courts, passive lawns that could be used as sport courts, large open areas down by the bay and then a pedestrian bike connection here also that gives space for the international marketplace. So really the same program as before, I think a slightly different configuration. But no other changes to this park.

**Nick Belloni:** Just how hilly is that?

**Martin Williams:** There's a grade change from there to there of maybe fifteen feet and from there to there is another fifteen, ballparking. You step down for sure.

**Nick Belloni:** Just so we know, it's hard to come up with.

**Martin Williams:** There's a good topography stepping down from there, it's all the way down to the shore and it does allow a lot of good views from higher up and good feeling for being right next to the water. So there is a grade change. It's a good point. A lot of these doing have that, this is one of the few that does. So if we're walking along the blue greenway which will also be an extension of the San Francisco Bay Trail from India Basin and going east we next to Waterfront Promenade North. This is the 2010 plan. This is a linear park with views back to downtown and the Bay Bridge and some lawns and some habitat gardens. The new plan you see it gets larger. The development blocks step back a little bit so we've got more land. What we did with that is we increased the size of the passive recreation lawns. We added in storm water treatment gardens and then in conversation with Recreation and Park staff we added dog play areas, two dog play areas, one tot lot and one exercise area.

**Chair:** As far as surface on the dog play area?

**Martin Williams:** I don't think we've considered the surfacing very much. We've had conversations but at a concept plan level we haven't come to any decisions on it and as the design moves forward we would be absolutely open to input.

**Chair:** [unintelligible] They're leaning more towards artificial.

**Martin Williams:** That's what we were starting to hear. There's maintenance concerns with all of these I think. We're totally open and whatever maybe is introduced in a few years from now we'll look at that to.

So as we continue along—and one thing I would mention here is that some of the Navy infrastructure is still here. These are the submarine piers and this is an existing wharf structure which we hope to retain so you also have opportunities of interpretive experiences here and that's unchanged from the previous plan. I mention that only because as we continue to walk along the shoreline this way we get to a spectacular opportunity for that sort of experience at Heritage Park. So we're looking at two historic dry docks that actually predate the Navy back in the commercial shipbuilding days and then there's existing historically registered pump stations that would help to pump all the water out of the dock. There's excellent opportunities for that here. The new plan doesn't really change that. It doesn't get smaller, it just looks like it did because I have an issue with the scale. It's the same size and the same programming. The street grid around the edge changed a little bit so I think we're a tenth of an acre smaller but we really didn't change much here. I think this is an excellent opportunity for a historic interpretation and celebration of what makes this site unique. I think we added exercise area here, a little more active recreation here.

So let's continue down more on the eastern waterfront. I'm zooming backwards in time and outwards. So this the 2010 plan for the waterfront promenade south. It was a rather large area, most of the eastern shoreline. In the new plan we've broken this into three or four different parks and focused on their unique characters a little bit but just for reference of what used to be there we had a linear promenade along here. These are both existing Navy breakwater piers and then you come around dry dock four and south. So that general orientation and experience remains the same. The first portion is the waterfront promenade north pier and we have a thing big of land to work with here so the only changes were made here were to introduce a dog play area and exercise area otherwise it's pretty much the same and it maybe goes without saying this is one of the very flat parts. We're also working with all the existing shoreline infrastructure build by the Navy so there's opportunity to see and experience that. So no real changes to this plan.

Here when we get to dry dock four this was one of the areas that David actually really thought we should be celebrating more and so we agreed. Before we just had a pedestrian access around it. We carved out a larger closet here given that we're in the very center of the development [unintelligible] and opportunities for art and events to occur. With terraced amphitheater seating all the way around the dry dock we have opportunities to sit and elevate up and get a sense of the scale. This thing is a thousand feet long, it's just enormous. We've changed the massing of the buildings around here so the buildings reinforce the dry dock more than they used to. Another thing we did he was try to deal with the fact that it creates a break in the neighborhood and you can't really get over it so we're looking at one and possibly two pedestrian bridges over the top of it. One for sure because it's part of our bike network. The second one is if we can make it work with the draft clearance for a water taxi which is another new element that we've considered. So it would be an opportunity to reactivate the water surface as well and add another mode of transit in and out of the site. So five or six notable changes that I wanted to mention

here that I think that we're excited about and help create a stronger center and a sense of place here.

This will be a three-mile way so you'll get your steps in. This is the waterfront promenade south pier. So again it's a thin bit of land around a potential marina. You'll be able to walk out in the existing Navy piers and then move again further along this space. The only change here is the addition of an exercise area. So if you think about going along the waterfront I think the input we got from staff is that you really need more opportunities to stop and pause and do different activities along here so we've sprinkled these in along the shoreline.

Continuing on, I'm jumping back to another 2010 plan, we had combined two parks together in 2010—Waterfront Recreation and Education and also the [unintelligible] habitats. In the new plan we've broken those out a little bit for each other but what you have is sort of a habitat experience, very passive in nature around the regunning pier [unintelligible] and then we had an interpretive center over here to provide education opportunities. I think this is going to be a magnet, people coming out wanting to get out and so we wanted there to be a destination for them to arrive at. What we saw though is that it was located over here, it was pretty far from the neighborhood, pretty far from the street grid and parking and if it's really a regional draw we should bring it back closer to the neighborhood so we moved it from here to here, let it otherwise intact and we added an interpretive play component here which we think could build from the interpretive center experience into the outdoor landscape. The regunning crane pier itself there's no change. This is an existing steel bulkhead wall that if possible we'd like to remove it and build a nationalized wetland edge around there and have it more of a native and migratory habitat area.

Moving further to the south this is a multi-use open space. This is a large passive flexible lawn. It's a little bit further from the neighborhood up here, I think it's going to be more quiet, peaceful, great view to Mt. Diablo, the East Bay, good views of migratory birds, things of that nature. It used to be part of the sports field complex. We broke it out because it kind of has a different program and a different orientation and we also introduced a little bit of topography here to visually separate those two uses because they are kind of notably distinct from each other.

**Nick Belloni:** [unintelligible]

**Martin Williams:** These are maybe eight to ten-foot berms and windbreaks.

**Nick Belloni:** [unintelligible] if you're saying it's going to be more quiet then you have a sports complex behind it.

**Chair:** That's why you can see that they've built in some space.

**Martin Williams:** It's not really visible but there would be breaks in them so you could circulate through the berms can make a difference with the sounds. That's one of the reasons. The other reason is windbreak.

The only things we did here, again we added a small dog run and an exercise area. This was the 2010 community sports field complex, it did include the lines that we were just talking about. We've pulled them out but otherwise you have a number of sports fields that are considered both to increase the amount of sports fields you have in this part of the city but also it can create a lot of land and a big complex I think it will be a reasonable draw as well and it comes with a field house. The new plan just orients it in a little more efficient way, moves it a little bit east but keeps all the same program elements. One exception I'll note is that in one of our community meetings this past fall looking at these changes people wondered if we were necessary set upon this number of soccer fields, this number of softball and baseball. That's the input we've received to date but it's still early in the design of the park so we designated one of the fields as a flex space and just to sort of anticipate the future community engagement process we will have when we start to design this park making sure we've got the right mix of program elements. So there's that change and then there's more storm water gardens incorporated here. I think they'll be a good buffer between the neighborhood over here. You've got a sports complex that will be lighted at night, a little bit of visual distance, and separation will probably be a good thing there.

**Male Speaker:** Are there recreation centers or clubhouses in any of these parks?

**Martin Williams:** This one has one. This will have a concessionaire, restrooms, banquet halls, space for sports teams. Otherwise we've got sort of interpretive centers both over here and at the Heritage Park we would have interpretive historical. Community—we have community land use built into the development so there's opportunities for communities throughout the neighborhood. Those are the things that are coming to mind right now.

So coming around the south, southern and western shoreline [unintelligible]. This is our largest park. The change from 2010 to increase the size as a result of the street grid [unintelligible] and some of the program elements being adjusted to match it. So it's a little bit larger than it used to be but the program remains the same. This is an excellent opportunity to create habitat or restore habitat for native species to create better opportunities for migratory species but also the building of the trail network that allows people to experience it in a nonintrusive way. That's all the same from 2010, a continuous shoreline access is still here. A few program elements have been groups on the edge of the neighborhood over here. A little bit further from the native habitat areas. But we've got sport courts, dog runs, and a tot lot. We also added a larger dog run here. We have a lot of small ones but this one would be a little bit over an acre in size and so just something else, other feedback that we got that you need a bigger area, so this is a good place we thought to incorporate that. The only other change here is another storm water garden over here as well and I think that's the only change. So that's the shoreline.

We've got two more parts. The 2010 plan I mentioned had three small neighborhood parks which are these three right here. They were based on this fragmented street grid and when we went back to the historic street grid we had the opportunity to do a larger central park so that's what we've done here and we're calling it the Green Room for now but all the parks I think will have a public naming process. This part is right in the middle of the southern neighborhood and really provides a place for people to gather, to go to have a lush green experience without having to go down to the edge of the development. So a central feature here is a large lawn that creates some topography in an otherwise flat side and some views. It's framed by two pavilions, one in

the north and one south. The one in the south we're thinking of is a reflective or contemplative pavilion, maybe building on the inspiration from Maya Angelou works. Perhaps it's dedicated to her writing and work but we don't know yet. The other pavilion contains covered seating picnic areas, restrooms, bike storage, and an entrance space. So we're sort of framing them, the two sides with the new architectural pavilions. It's not showing up in this plan but these are the sites of two large existing Navy buildings that we'd like to retain so you get framed on the other two sides by those historic buildings and you get a sense of the history and the past as well while you're here. Other program elements include a tot lot, bocce courts, basketball court. This is actually right on top of the historic shoreline so we also have the shoreline market halfway through here that traces some of that natural history of the site and then we've also got gardens down along this edge and a cycle track that moves through here as part of the larger cycling network.

So there's a lot of activities, a lot of activation, a lot of events. So we anticipate and intensity of use and a management process for that could take a lot of work and effort and cost. So we're proposing it to be privately owned and maintained but fully publically accessible so that there is not a burden of a larger maintenance system of the CFD from what's going to be a more intensively used park.

**Nick Belloni:** Two things. I might have missed it, you said there's a rec center in there as well?

**Martin Williams:** I wouldn't call it a recreation center. This is more of an exhibit space I would say.

**Nick Belloni:** Then the other question is have you already approached people for the private ownership of that yet?

**Martin Williams:** Not that I know of. It's early. We have one more park. Zooming out again, in the 2010 we did have two open spaces that were not very accessible. There wasn't much being done and we weren't counting them as park acreage. One was at Candlestick point, the other was the shipyard hillside open space. In the new plan this took on a lot more importance for us. We realized the opportunity to both extend the [unintelligible] and make a suggestion of the scale and civic presence of the dry dock and also make a meaningful strong connection to the hillpoint park and [unintelligible] community at the top of the hill. It's a 70-foot grade change, it's a lot to climb and so we look for a way to make this an extension of the history and architecture of the site but also create accessible pathways. So these are sort of a switchbacked sloped walkway system, they're not very steep, they're not ramps. There's not handrails. And we're hoping that [unintelligible] a universally accessible experience so that people of all ages and abilities can be able to ascend that hillside without kind of going way over here and back and get the views and the experience of moving through there.

That's our last park.

**Male Speaker:** Who's going to be maintaining these parks and who is going to pay for that maintenance?

**Martin Williams:** All the park except for the Green Room will be owned and maintained initially by OCII until the long-term they'll be transferred back to the city. As a community facilities district it will be formed over top and that will create tax revenue from property owners in the area that will maintain the parks.

So one of the things that we've talked about throughout the process is keeping track on everything we're proposing in the parks in light of maintenance obligations and costs.

**Male Speaker:** So will these parks be—the public parks, will they be city workers or private?

**Martin Williams:** Can I hand this to OCII?

**Male Speaker:** OCII will be contracting [unintelligible] to do the maintenance.

**Male Speaker:** Are they going to be city workers?

**Male Speaker:** It's not decided yet.

**Male Speaker:** Well, I think they should be.

**Female Speaker:** The city can bid for it. [unintelligible]

**Male Speaker:** Well it still should be city workers. And the other question is you know I read recently in the paper about the cleanup, apparently the company that was doing the cleaning up of the site was cheating or wasn't doing the paperwork right or whatever. So what assurance do you have for people who are going to move in there that the area is cleaned up.

**Martin Williams:** None of the land is allowed to be transferred to the city, the Navy still owns all of it right now, none of it allowed to be transferred to them and I doubt they would ever want to take it until they're assured that it's been cleaned up to the levels of the EPA requirement. And it won't be handed to us to build one until it's already been removed and accepted by the city. So the issue that you've read about is one that we're all very aware of and we're paying close attention to because we want the same thing that everybody else wants which is a clean bill of health before anyone goes up there and starts doing anything. And to the extent that it's going to take longer to get there, it's going to take longer to get there. No one is going to touch it.

**Male Speaker:** So in theory then the Navy could change its mind, say well we want to keep the property, right, [unintelligible].

**Male Speaker:** [unintelligible]

[simultaneous comments]

**Male Speaker:** Well, you know, this work is being spent and we don't have—the city doesn't have title to it, so I don't know. I'd rather wait until the city had title and then we know a hundred percent that we're actually going to have the—

**Martin Williams:** We certainly want to wait for that point to start any work. We wouldn't want to wait for that point and then start planning. This takes long enough already, we don't want it taking any longer.

**Chair:** I would tend to agree with that. I mean Richard I think optimistically everybody saw that news article and went oh my God what a step back but the reality is these guys are planning with the intention that it will be clean space at some point. And again you could say the same and Stacy I would urge you to talk a little bit about the greenway and say when that came before us for a time we thought this would never [unintelligible] how would you possibly acquire the space. But again it's getting cleaned up, it's becoming habitable. The gun club we sat here with that conversation. She just said they may announce that it's clean. So again I think it's important to plan for what the future is rather than just say we don't want it if it's not clean.

**Robert Brust:** I mean, the city won't take it until it's a hundred percent.

**Chair:** Right, that's what they're saying. [unintelligible] will say they're certify it clean and that will allow—

**Robert Brust:** So does the Navy have a timeline?

**Martin Williams:** I think there's more information coming soon on that.

**Female Speaker:** We don't have an exact timeline because [unintelligible] make sure that it is clean and they're verifying all the examples and whatnot. So there's not an exact timeline. There is a targeted timeline but that's subject to change.

**Robert Brust:** Is it going to be a phase in or is it going to be all together?

**Female Speaker:** It's likely to be phased. There's a lot happening with all the regulators that they all have to agree.

**Robert Brust:** So is the city going to have its own inspectors?

**Female Speaker:** Public Health is very much involved. We even have a sub-committee out in the Bayview that's devoted to these topics.

**Chair:** Before we let you go I'm interested because we obviously have members who represent the District in this area and I do feel like there is a lot—we're right at a moment in time where you're kind of creating this plan and the hope is that the [unintelligible]. I'd like to hear what our [unintelligible] District 10 might say about how informed her group, Parks 94124, might have been in these discussions just to better understand your community outreach and how that's—

**Nick Belloni:** Well, could you let him finish?

**Chair:** I'm glad to let him finish. I just saw a conversation, a stopper in the eyes of our Vice Chair who is kind of saying like well actually it's the first time hearing a lot of this. Maya, why don't you just speak for a second before we continue with this, what you're seen up until now.

**Maya Rogers:** Up until now I haven't really seen much honestly. I'm aware of the [unintelligible]. I'm aware of Northside Park but the rest of the plan has been kind of really hushed and just wondering was that because the plan was—you know, the plan wasn't set which honestly that's what I think that the plan wasn't set and they weren't ready to reveal it because it wasn't set in stone and they were still working it out.

**Chair:** Part of the problem here is that this member asked for this presentation two years ago and there hasn't been a lot of communication from anybody. This has sat as a line item in our agenda for years now and to our end this is a person who lives in that District, who lives in that neighborhood and really this is her first shot at getting any kind of an understanding. It honestly speaks to the idea that the community may or may not be fully understanding what the scope of this is. So I think we should let you finish. Certainly from our perspective I think as a committee we're going to want to discuss this [unintelligible] but at least discuss it and do our best to understand just how the community is being engaged.

**Martin Williams:** A little bit more on the schedule. We have been in front of the CAC recently, in the summer of last year and specifically the parks master plan in the fall. We've had a few meetings in the fall and winter of 2017. We'll be [unintelligible] in the DBA is the development agreement approved this spring and then we'll be putting in subsequent applications to OCII in the fall of 2018 with the hope [unintelligible] infrastructure construction in the winter of 2018 and early in 2019.

In terms of the park's approval process other than an open house in October [unintelligible] presentation in November. There's another open house in January where the parks were shown and also the large master plan was shown. We're here tonight and we'll be in front of the Recreation and Park Commission in a few weeks and then we'll be going before the OCII Commission in April.

**Nick Belloni:** Could I just asked a quick question since Maya said she hadn't heard of this. You just said that there were about three or four meetings, how did you publicize those? I've had this problem before with something in the Richmond District where they said oh we had meetings. No one showed up because nobody publicized it. Maya is sitting on this should have known about it. That should have been someone that should have gotten an email immediately to say that hey look we're having these meetings. She's also part of a major park group in that area. That should have happened. So I'm seeing a failure at this point for you to do the outreach that was necessary to have those meetings. I don't mean to be rube but I'm just seeing something here.

**Female Speaker:** [unintelligible]

[simultaneous comments]

**Chair:** Hang on, settle down.

**Maya Rogers:** Just to go back, I did know about community meeting number two.

**Nick Belloni:** Sorry Maya, things I didn't like.

**Chair:** I think part of the I guess the big question is and maybe that's for the committee who attended these meetings that were somewhat promoted.

**Wendy Aragon:** Additionally to add to that we have also a Latino and Chinese community in that District as well. Like was there language access at these meetings? Just as an example I also sit on the SFPUC CAC when we decided [unintelligible] new community center we actually launched a door to door campaign knocking on doors so people who have lived in that District for a very long time asking them what they wanted to see and they were engaged, we made sure they were engaged. We had fairs, we had community meetings. So I guess I want to hear like what attempts did you do?

**Martin Williams:** We work in coordination with committees of the CAC so I can't speak, I'm not fully aware what the process is.

[simultaneous comments]

**Martin Williams:** [unintelligible]

**Wendy Aragon:** Because I think what you're saying is very important and I want to assure you that we value it too. [unintelligible]. So for these community meetings we have a large advertisement for the opening [unintelligible] and when I say large what I mean is like 600 postcards went out, fliers went out. We engaged [unintelligible] who does a lot of outreach in the Bayview community to reach out to [unintelligible]. There was also—and I want you to be also assured that all the flier and the postcards they went out multi-lingual, so they went out in Spanish and Chinese and such too because absolutely what you're saying is very important. I always regret when I hear someone has not heard because you know we want everybody to know, we wonder what happened, how did that fall through the cracks, how did we get out [unintelligible] and all of this stuff and it falls through the cracks. So I'll be happy to take your advice back and [unintelligible] about okay what are we missing here because I want you to know and I think everybody should know.

**Nick Belloni:** Because like I said she's a member of this group, she should have been the first person that's alerted to this whole thing and I agree.

**Chair:** I don't think our goal here—you came willingly to show us this presentation. We're certainly thankful for that. Again, our job in a way is to kind of just make sure the community is an active part of this process. So let's continue on.

**Martin Williams:** This outlines the project will be built in phases. The parks will be designed and built in phases so we'll be doing design and construction for the parks along with the major phases in which they fall and that will take us through completion of design through 2033 and then construction will start to wrap up [unintelligible]. The first phase will be from 2022 to 2024 and the final part will wrap up around 2036. And this is just phasing, the color is a bit off here but there's six subphases to the project and everything gets done at the same timeline as those phases so the parks that are in the early subphases will come along with the development blocks and the streets and everything else and will get built out eventually.

That was the information on phasing. I just wanted to give a little info on the transit connections that will be made. For such a huge project we have to make changes to the transit network and we've spent years talking to SFMTA and other groups to figure out that plan. Highlights of it include the new transit center in the shipyard, new bus lines that go to BART and CalTrain, express lines that go to downtown San Francisco. I mentioned the proposed water taxi and there's also a transit demand monitoring program that includes fulltime coordinator to help ensure that people are fully utilizing the transit options that are available.

In phase one the shipyard will be extending our existing bus lines along temporary alignments so that you get transit access to the site. In phase two we'll open up more of those and we'll be opening the Yosemite Slough bridge which is a transit, pedestrian and bike only bridge to Candlestick Point. Then in phase three we'll get the permanent bus lines and the transit center online.

In terms of bikes that's another important part of the transit network on the site. So there's a network [unintelligible]. There's also the blue greenway along the shoreline and then we've been looking at how people use the parks to connect the shoreline to the street grid as well. So there's a plan being developed for that. And then the last thing I'll mention in the larger scale is the blue greenway that we're working on is just part of a much larger and very exciting project to create a continuous trail network all the way from AT&T Park down to the edge of our site over here. So we're building three-plus miles of it here and helping State parks to build more of it over at Candlestick.

Thank you all very much.

**Chair:** Hang on. Before we let it go to questions thank you very much. This was a very well-made presentation. I'll also say that some of the frustration that some of our members feel is that we have looked at this project for a very long time as you guys have and I'll certainly say to everybody who is here this is an ongoing conversation that consistently goes between how long it's going to take and how much money it's going to cost and who's going to pay for it. But most importantly for us many of us won't be on these committees in the future, some of us won't be here at all. We're stewarding this for the future. Our goal is the same as yours, we want to have a great inhabitable space in a part of the city that has been overlooked and underserved. So it puts a huge weight on you guys to go out into the community and try to do something that really nobody has done in San Francisco, develop at this level. So it's great for us to see it and hear it from you and I would hope that over the course of time we'll continue to be a body that you

bounce ideas off of, that we can talk from the perspective of constantly kind of running the gamut with Recreation and Park but other agencies too—DPW, other folks who really help play a part in the network, you guys have done a great job. This is a great presentation. I know that there's going to be a lot of tough moments here to push this up the hill. But I think we're all in the same place, I think we all want to see this progress.

So with that said I'm going to open it up to questions. I know we have some more work to do after this presentation so let's keep your questions brief, to the point. I would ask since this is going to be a long, ongoing project that perhaps maybe before the end of the year as things progress we'd like to maybe see another—it doesn't have to be this in-depth but I think we'd like to see just what the progress has been.

Any questions? I'll start with Ana Gee.

**Ana Gee:** I have a question and a comment. The comment is [unintelligible] market rate that will affect greatly the [unintelligible]. The question is, is there a lot of parking space for people from other Districts that will come to these parks?

**Martin Williams:** There's parking planned and it is anticipated for example where the sports field is going to be that there will be a parking garage here that will be used by the neighborhood and you'd be able to share and you'd have different peak hour uses. So for example they have a lot of sporting events on the weekend. The offices and R and D won't be using it and so we'll be able to use parking more efficiently. There is definitely citywide directives to be transit first, they use less parking. So we're trying to take the parking that we do build—we have maximums that are imposed by our transportation plan. They use them as efficiently as possible.

**Ana Gee:** Is this free parking?

**Martin Williams:** I do not know.

**Chair:** That's a long way away.

**Martin Williams:** That's not the plan to make money through parking.

**Maya Rogers:** Another transit question. I noticed that all the bus lines kind of bypass 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, they go straight out of the community. [unintelligible] presentation but when I started looking at it I was like okay one goes along the water and to Geneva and then one goes down Innes to Evans and our. So I feel like it's kind of bypassing the folks on 3<sup>rd</sup> Street. I know there will be a shopping center and a mall and all that stuff back there too but it kind of sucks for the businesses that are there.

**Female Speaker:** There are a couple more. So there is the one coming down Gillman all the way through as well as another bus line is going to be coming down Palou and there's about four that are going to be coming from more western parts of the city and downtown that are all going to be [unintelligible] and then taking a turn into the project and then you have the transit center. So I hear you, I think the connections to 3<sup>rd</sup> are important.

**Martin Williams:** But these all do back. I mean like Gillman, this takes you to 3<sup>rd</sup> and beyond. I mean I think—

**Maya Rodgers:** I mean the new [unintelligible]. I'm like okay you have the 24 and the 29 and the 48 and the 44, those already exist.

**Martin Williams:** Yeah, we're taking those lines and extending them at the site. Obviously they don't go here right now so we're taking advantage of existing transit routes and modifying them to be able to bring people to and from the site.

**Maya Rodgers:** But I think about like the express busses will go straight out and downtown is straight.

**Martin Williams:** Yeah, the two [unintelligible] those I think are planned to go on 101 and go downtown and then the BRT line is a connection to transit so it connects to CalTrain and Balboa Park BART station.

**Female Speaker:** I don't want to speak out of turn for SFMTA because when I hear this but I do know that they're in the process of doing a lot of studies and reevaluations [unintelligible] what those transit needs are.

**Maya Rodgers:** Because when I think about it it's not just transportation, right, it's the businesses that are there already in a business district that is struggling, right, and we have a few businesses there that are doing well but if business isn't driven there it's not, you know, a spot where people go and people will take their dollars out of the community like they do now. And I think it's a bigger issue that we can't get into tonight but I think it's something to consider for the people that are there now and businesses that are there now that potentially all of these hundreds of people that live there won't come to 3<sup>rd</sup> Street.

[simultaneous comments]

**Female Speaker:** They will and they're learning about it even now because the folks have moved into phase one. I mean there are great restaurants and such down on 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, there are great places, I know I've eaten at two or three of these places. And everyone is starting to know about them so we're in the [unintelligible]. There's these apps that are not going out that show where all the restaurants and bars are and all that kind of stuff. So I'm hoping it's all going to be good for the businesses there too because they're great businesses.

**Chair:** I think just to echo Maya's point as time goes on we're talking about this being a project that goes on for the next fifteen years, the goal would really be to get as many people in and out of the neighborhood without necessarily just a straight shot. Because I agree with you, if it's really just a bus that goes from 3<sup>rd</sup> straight into the development and straight out in fact you're not really helping the neighborhood much. Can you guys give us an understanding—because I know when we first saw this—and I go back to 2010 because I remember seeing it

then—where is the shopping? Who do you have as anchor tenants and any kind shopping centers?

**Martin Williams:** The anchor tenants haven't been assigned yet. We're several years away.

**Chair:** Can you just give us an idea of where?

**Martin Williams:** So we're zoned for a large shopping district in Candlestick Point. We are increasing the retail presence we have by four-fold from 100,000 to 400,000 square feet in Hunters Point and we're looking at a collaborations with the arts community and looking to bring in the [unintelligible] where we have this hybrid of artisan work, creativity and sales going on.

**Chair:** Sure, and we see that on 3<sup>rd</sup> Street now. I mean obviously in Dog Patch if you could echo that, that's great. Again, the reason we ask is because in 2010 part of the big concern with that, there wasn't really Safeway was washing out there to land the big huge Safeway and there's going to be an issue if there isn't shopping in this district, if this area—you guys build it but you don't find some people to move out there—

**Martin Williams:** I totally agree with that. We need to see both the retail districts succeed. It's part of a symbiotic success.

**Chair:** It has to work. Richard? Sorry, Wendy.

**Wendy Aragon:** So just kind of speaking from the point of gentrification you have a community that has been absolutely decimated and pushed out of the city, they fought so hard to get that area cleaned up and I guess I just want to know when you have these maker spaces, when you have the workers coming in, like Richard said these city workers, is that going to be [unintelligible] people in District 10 and the Bayview first as a priority because I just feel like this has become a space like Aga Gee said it's like all market rate housing and not to sound insulting, it's 70 percent market rate housing, but then we throw this Maya Angelou quote out there to make it known that like this is a space where black people once lived and thrived. For me that just seems really trite and I want to make sure that like the community who lives there right now show's been impacted the most by the shipyard is going to actually have a stake in this and not feel unwelcome.

**Martin Williams:** I fully agree with you. One of the agreements we have with the city is the community benefits plan, it's specifically around opportunities for people in the neighborhood, new opportunities for small businesses, disadvantaged businesses. There's programs for during the construction itself to increase training of workers, build up the workforce of the existing population in the neighborhood and to help grow small businesses through the construction of the project. I do not know—I tend to focus on the parks and I do now know all the details that have been planned to speak to it. I can get more of that information for you. And I agree it's a 32 percent affordable housing it's—we all want more affordable housing. Most projects have 10 or 20 or 30 percent, so this agreement was struck at the time it was done in probably 2010 it's the highest amount ever secured by the city.

**Chair:** I do remember that. Again, that was something that came before us and what got us interested and supportive of this was the high number of low income housing that would be available as part of this development.

**Martin Williams:** And so certainly job opportunities, the Maker space is one of the spaces in addition to others where we're looking at subsidizing, making space rent free, incorporating training programs. There's so—there can always be more but there's so many I can't list them all. I don't know all of them.

**Male Speaker:** And I'll add that the whole project, the construction of the project as well as the deployment in the project site after the whole thing is built is subject to the small business employment agreement, OCII [unintelligible] that's laid out. There's a goal of 50 percent small businesses [unintelligible] we're at the goal of 50 percent, we'll go higher [unintelligible].

**Chair:** As far as these outlying conversations which we all feel and feel like should be a part of this dialog this is a presentation of parks and open space as it relates to us. I would certainly ask as you come back and give us another presentation you're hearing kind of what everybody's concern is, mainly—I won't say mainly, somewhat due to the fact that Recreation and Park has taken up this equity lens as a big part of what they're discussing. You guys are under that lens beyond anybody else and I think Wendy's point is really well-taken that this particular community has been displaced. There was a lot of animosity. Parks are awesome to help mend that. There's fifty other things that have to happen to mend that too and it's part of our purview as lifestyle people to say hey, that's a concern of ours.

**Martin Williams:** Absolutely.

**Chair:** But with that said from a park perspective I think I appreciate what all of you are saying, let's now focus this back to the parks.

**Richard Rothman:** I have a question for the city folks. You know that area between Candlestick and Hunters Point I went there in 83 and I went back there recently and it's still the same.

**Male Speaker:** [unintelligible]

**Richard Rothman:** Yeah, behind there, you know the streets that drop down and I know the whole history. [unintelligible]. So is that on your radar to work in that area there.

**Female Speaker:** Are you talking about the slough area because [unintelligible].

**Richard Rothman:** Yeah, like it goes down to Jennings, Wallace and Jennings.

**Female Speaker:** Yeah, we don't extend into that area, that's not park of the boundary so that's something we'd have to work with the city on. It's not within the redevelopment area.

**Richard Rothman:** So it would be a separate project.

**Female Speaker:** Yes.

**Richard Rothman:** Well that's something—

**Female Speaker:** [unintelligible]

**Female Speaker:** The PUC is working on the cleanup with us. I mean there's a lot of people involved with the cleanup.

**Richard Rothman:** I was talking more about the streets.

**Female Speaker:** Street improvements. There are—Carroll, Ingalls, and what's the third one, Thomas as all slated for improvements within that area which kind of skirts around the project. There's several streets that are not within this boundary that are part of the improvements of this project because this project will have [unintelligible]. So Gillman Avenue all the way up to 3<sup>rd</sup> Improvements are going to be trees, there's going to be—because a lot of those streets, especially Gillman, was designed for stadium existing and not really for a neighborhood so there's look, there's a new lens [unintelligible]. And again that's something I could [unintelligible].

[simultaneous comments]

**Chair:** Right but again—I think the first step and again this is getting outside of our purview.

**Richard Rothman:** I know I'll drop it. I won't say anything else.

**Chair:** I would just say of course it's within all of our want that if you're going to build these great destinations that the entrance and exit from those destinations are cared for, not just safe but cared for.

**Martin Williams:** And I think also not just for the benefit [unintelligible] but for the benefit of the existing residents.

**Chair:** Absolutely, absolutely. Okay. Ancel.

**Ancel Martinez:** I just wanted to thank Five Points, staff and city for showing up and giving the presentation. [unintelligible] it looks great of course as we know the devil is in the details so we'll figure out where you go from here and keep us close and informed, thank you.

**Chair:** Jordan.

**Jordyn Aquino:** Thank you again for going through all the different parks. One attachment that you guys provided was about the phasing plan. So how did you guys determine how you were going to phase and the last or the third phase is not going to be completed until 2036 and I

think my concern about that is as we're talking about gentrification and the existing community I think that needs do change especially when you do look through an equity lens. So what is your plan of ensuring that those needs are met ten or fifteen years down the line because I'm getting anxiety just thinking of how old I'll be at that time.

[simultaneous comments]

**Chair:** Time out for a second because this could go on and on, right? One thing that would be helpful to us and I would say it's to all of you is to just give us a perspective, not now maybe but when you come back in telling us how are you as a group looking at this development? We know that the neighborhood will change over the course of the next fifteen or twenty years. What happens if there is a huge market collapse and there isn't enough people to actually occupy these brand new buildings? Whatever, maybe—again, I use Ancel's term the devil is in the details. This looks great. What happens? What is your Plan B, C, D, if this doesn't roll out the way you expect?

**Martin Williams:** I think the plan is [unintelligible] when one part of the market goes down and another part usually shows up and so the greater mix of uses we have that are in the new plan we hope will help us be resilient.

**Chair:** The more you mitigate.

**Martin Williams:** And work through it. The phasing, yeah, it's a good question, larger discussion. It takes a while literally to build everything. It takes a while to roll out over 10,000 homes and 22 projects, over 6 million square feet of office space. If we could release it all in one day we probably would screw up the market. It is phased out in a way that allows us to spend money and start to make money back in a proportional way and every step along the way there are milestones that we have to hit. We have to get a certain amount of affordable housing done at certain milestones or else we're not allowed to proceed. We have to make certain payments for funds, scholarships, community resources. There's a lot of—the plan has been structured in a way that it's a phased give and take for us to even continue to get new approvals and get new pieces of land within the development we have to meet our obligations to the city along the way. So it's intentionally designed to be sort of phase by phase with milestones that have to be met.

**Chair:** Any other questions? Any public comment on this item? Being none, public comment is closed. Thank you very much. We'd love to have you come back and visit us in the near future.

[simultaneous comments]

**Chair:** Before I go on to the next topic which I think is important for us to get onto I just want to say this particular item for Maya who has sat as Vice Chair now for two of my terms has been on the roster and the fact that we did see some really interesting information whether we're all a hundred percent in line with how it is I thought this is how this committee is supposed to function. This is future thinking for us and again many of us won't be on this committee, some of us won't be living anymore when this actually exists. But I can remember projects that we said

that about as I started this committee eight years ago and now they're becoming real. I think from the standpoint of shepherding the future it becomes the responsibility of Districts, 9, 10, 11, that's your neighborhood and we will do everything we can to support that. Part of what I would urge you is if you have concerns now to have discussions with your Supervisor and try to get more people to the table to discuss it. I do think that this presentation from the perspective of Parks 94124 the fact that you have this PowerPoint and you can now show this and say look this is what's going to happen, let's talk. It's important.

So let's move on to Item 8 and wrap the meeting up. This will be the election of officers for the next year of PROSAC. I am going to start. Generally we would start with Chair, then Vice Chair, then second Vice Chair. For the quorum's sake I would say that for this moment I will turn over the Chair position to Richard so that he can put it out there.

**Richard Rothman:** So we're going to start with the elections of the Chair. The nominations are open. Anybody can nominate anybody and you can also nominate yourself. So I'll take nominations now for Chair.

**Mark Scheuer:** District 8. I would like to nominate Steffen Franz.

**Richard Rothman:** Any other nominations? Is there a motions to close nominations?

**Female Speaker:** Move to close.

**Female Speaker:** Second.

**Richard Rothman:** All in favor have Steffen as the Chair?

**All:** Aye.

**Richard Rothman:** Any opposition? It's unanimous, congratulations.

**Chair:** This will be my last year on this committee and as such I will resign the Chair and my position on this committee a year from now. Thank you for this trust of faith and confidence in another year. I think we've done a lot of great stuff in this past year or two and I think staff for their willingness to work with us. I encourage all of you, let's stick together another year. I feel we've moved some mountains this past couple so I'm thankful that you've seen your way clear to support this for another one.

With that I will take back the Chair and open the nominations for Vice Chair. Do I have any nominations for Vice Chair?

**Wendy Aragon:** Richard Rothman.

**Jordyn Aquino:** Second.

**Chair:** Anybody else?

**Maya Rogers:** Move to close.

**Mark Scheuer:** Second.

**Chair:** All in favor of Richard Rothman for Vice Chair?

**All:** Aye.

**Chair:** Opposed? Richard Rothman is the first Vice Chair.

**Richard Rothman:** Thank you.

**Chair:** Moving on to the second Vice Chair. This is a super important role. It is the next phase of leadership and I would like to open the nominations for second Vice Chair.

**Maya Rogers:** I nominate Wendy Aragon.

**Male Speaker:** Second.

**Chair:** I would like to nominate Jordan Aquino. Is there a second?

**Nick Belloni:** I'll second.

**Chair:** Okay, so this is where it gets sticky. There are any other nominees for second Vice Chair? If there are no other nominations there are now two potential people running for second Vice Chair we need to take a vote. There are twelve of us which could lead to a split. Hopefully it does not because that would push off the election until next month until we could break the tie. So I would like to have Robert Brust who is the keeper of the voting paper please let me have two sheets of your fabulous voting paper.

I'm going to have each one of you a little piece of paper. You will write your nominee on this piece of paper. You will hand that piece of paper back and we will count the votes.

[simultaneous comments]

This is an anonymous ballot. You do not have to put your name on the ballot.

**Chair:** Jordyn Aquino.

**Nick Belloni:** One.

**Chair:** Wendy Aragon.

**Nick Belloni:** One.

**Chair:** Jordyn Aquino, Jordyn Aquino, Wendy Aragon, Wendy Aragon, Jordyn Aquino, Wendy Aragon, Jordyn Aquino, Wendy Aragon, Jordyn Aquino, Wendy Aragon, Jordyn Aquino, Wendy Aragon, Jordyn Aquino. All right, ladies and gentleman Jordyn Aquino is your new second Vice Chair.

So officially our elections are closed. Fantastic. Let's move along here, everybody is hungry and tired. Any announcements by committee members? Anything you want to tell us about?

**Maya Rogers:** I just want to let everyone know because I'm looking for a replacement and I wanted to invite you guys because it's kind of obvious I think but just the whole me nominating someone else.

**Chair:** She's leaving, that's what she's trying to say.

**Maya Rogers:** So I'm looking for actually two people to come on since we've had one D10 spot forever, wanting to have two D10 spots so we can have actually a full group. So I just wanted to let everybody know. Keep your ears and eyes open. If you see someone that lives in D10 or you think would be good please let me know. There's a couple people that may be coming to visit the meeting but I'm not sure.

**Chair:** Once thing I'll say is Maya and I have been in touch about this. I knew she was going to step down at some point. She has made a promise that she probably won't step down until there is a replacement, until the Supervisor appoints somebody else. So I'm appreciative of that. I will also say on that same note Reanna Tong stepped down and Terry Factora also resigned so that was District 3 and 9.

[simultaneous comments]

Terry has resigned. Her Supervisor has already had another person who he is going back so he is just waiting for that person to get approved and then through rules.

**Richard Rothman:** Maya never even replaced the other—there's still one vacancy.

**Chair:** She just said that.

**Richard Rothman:** Yeah, because I went to her.

**Nick Belloni:** Richard we're caught up.

**Richard Rothman:** I don't interrupt you.

**Chair:** He's making his point. I agree with him. So more PROSAC members. Richard, you have an announcement?

**Richard Rothman:** Yes, Dawn and Iris Lily say hello.

**Chair:** Oh, you went to go see Dawn.

**Wendy Aragon:** I'll remind you again next month but April 21<sup>st</sup> is the Best Buddies Budget Walk, it takes place in Golden Gate Park. I've done it the past several years. My company has been a sponsor, they're a bigger sponsor this year but you should come check it. It's a really amazing organization that promotes inclusiveness and empowerment people, intellectual developmental disabilities. It's a free walk. Anybody can show up. You can also raise money if you want if you go to their website but it's in our park, so.

**Jordyn Aquino:** This is not related to parks but it is related to Maya. I just read the fiscal year 16-17 SFMTA annual report and they highlighted Maya's work in the neighborhood and I think that's why that contributed to the presentation because she did have that expertise about the transportation in that neighborhood. So if you are interested I'll send you a link.

**Chair:** Any other announcements? Okay, Any public comment on items not listed on the agenda? Being none, public comment is closed. This meeting is adjourned. Thank you.

**End of Document**