

**PROSAC Meeting  
July 8, 2016**

**Chair:** Hi.

**Unidentified Female:** Hi.

**Chair:** Oh, my favorite PROSAC. We have 12. We're gonna start this meeting on time. We're gonna finish it on time.

**Unidentified Male:** What's on time?

**Chair:** Uh, 6:30.

**Unidentified Male:** That's great.

**Chair:** 6:30. Let's go.

**Unidentified Male:** I mean, what time are you gonna finish?

**Chair:** I can't tell you that.

**Unidentified Male:** Oh. I thought you said on time.

**Chair:** What am I, Creskin? Come on. Let's roll. Hi, welcome to the--Richard Ivanhoe. Perfect timing. Welcome to the July meeting of PROSAC. Thank you all for coming. I'm going to keep all of our dialogue short and sweet tonight. I like that our meeting is moving at a faster pace nowadays so I'm gonna try to drive that. It's no big on you. Just stay over there.

**Les Hilger:** Well, it's no [unintelligible] on you or--my ass.

**Chair:** That's Hilger. Hilger set the tone for the long meeting. Okay. So--

**Unidentified Male:** [unintelligible] is not here.

**Chair:** So we're gonna start--

**Les Hilger:** Oh, he's not here, huh?

**Chair:** Jesus.

**Les Hilger:** You walked into that.

**Chair:** I continue to walk into it. All day long. Thank you.

**Les Hilger:** What is today, by the way? You have a sports event to see tonight?

**Chair:** No, there is no sports event and just--

**Unidentified Female:** The Giants are playing.

**Chair:** Whatever.

**Unidentified Female:** We missed it.

**Chair:** Plato--Plato will get a win. Let's just put it on the record that we're not doing a PROSAC meeting during a Warriors finals game ever in the future.

**Unidentified Male:** You've got--

**Chair:** That's set the tone. Thank you very much. Let's set the tone and let's definitely not have dating because that was kind of bad luck, too.

**Unidentified Female:** Well, why do you have me here, like you have me here for another big Warriors game?

**Chair:** No, no, no, no more Warriors games during PROSAC meetings. Okay.

**Unidentified Male:** Basically you don't come in March.

**Chair:** So.

**Unidentified Female:** I like all [unintelligible] stuff.

**Unidentified Male:** Yeah.

**Chair:** Yes. I do too.

**Unidentified Male:** We all do.

**Chair:** All right. So let's do roll call, starting with Richard on my left.

**Richard Rothman:** Richard Rothman, District 1.

**Winnie Chu:** Winnie Chu, District 7.

**Linda D'Avirro:** Linda D'Avirro, District 11.

**Pat Delgado:** Pat Delgado, District 9.

**Anthony Cuadro:** Anthony Cuadro, District 7.

**Jordyn Aquino:** Jordyn Aquino, District 4.

**Heather Fuchs:** Heather Fuchs, District 4.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Denis Mosgofian, District 5.

**Mark Scheuer:** Mark Scheuer, District 8.

**Kim Hirschfeld:** Kim Hirschfeld, District 3.

**Richard Ivanhoe:** Richard Ivanhoe, District 5.

**Nick Belloni:** Nick Belloni, District 2.

**Steffen Franz:** And Steffen Franz, District 2. Great. So we're all here. I would like to call for a review of the minutes. Did everybody have a chance to review the minutes?

**Unidentified Male:** Yeah.

**Chair:** Does anybody have anything significant to add or subtract from said minutes?

**Unidentified Male:** Nope.

**Chair:** Can I get a motion?

**Nick Belloni:** Motion.

**Jordyn Aquino:** Second.

**Chair:** Thank you very much. Can I get a vote? Everybody accept the minutes as is?

**Unidentified Male:** By the way, just for the record--

**Chair:** And that's unanimous.

**Unidentified Male:** Oh.

**Chair:** Thank you.

**Unidentified Male:** Just quickly for the record, Nick Belloni motioned. Who seconded it?

**Jordyn Aquino:** Jordyn Aquino, District 4.

**Unidentified Male:** Okay. Sorry.

**Chair:** Sweet. Okay. So the minutes are approved. Any public comment on this? No public. Thanks very much. If you guys want to fill out blue--nah, just kidding. All right. So I'm gonna do a really simple Chair's Report for this month. We had a good meeting with Dawn and Tiffany and talked a little bit about future items.

Richard has asked Phil if we could bring a conversation about Prop B and what that means as far as oversight of the Department. I think that's something we're all interested in hearing about. We don't know if he'll be ready to make that presentation at next month's meeting but we are actively pursuing that as a presentation for PROSAC.

I have invited and most likely will schedule a group called Build Public for next month's PROSAC meeting. They've asked to do a presentation for us. They are a group that is looking to fund and develop open space outside of RPD. They do, I guess, collaborate occasionally with RPD but also don't at times. And so I've shared with them what the presentation would be and I haven't heard an objection from them so I will try to put it on the calendar. Yes. Denis.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Denis Mosgofian in D-5. I won't be here in August so I would ask that since that deals with public/private and I have real problems with--

**Chair:** Sure.

**Denis Mosgofian:** The idea of privatizing public assets--

**Chair:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** That I would like that postponed to September.

**Chair:** I will take that under advisement, Denis. I don't think that'll be a problem.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Okay.

**Chair:** Tiffany at some point, obviously, we want to chase down a few of the items that are on our New Business Agenda setting so maybe on our call we can touch on Community Gardens again. Maya had mentioned to us that she thought we might be closer on Lennar.

**Unidentified Female:** I'm not sure about that.

**Chair:** I mean, we'll chase it. I just don't want to be in a position next month where I have no items. So--

**Unidentified Male:** What's the issue with Lennar?

**Chair:** We just--there was a discussion and she had said that she wanted to be involved in that conversation before it actually got to us. That there was some vetting of the topic. Yeah. So

that's about it as far as the Chair's Report. I don't have much else to share with you. So I will move on from this topic. Denis?

**Denis Mosgofian:** Denis of D-5. One more thing. I would ask that Phil not report on the--on B.

**Unidentified Female:** B.

**Denis Mosgofian:** On Prop B until at least September since--

**Chair:** And I got B here.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Yeah, I spent a lot of time on--working on that.

**Chair:** I know.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Well, I'm concerned about it.

**Chair:** I--Linda?

**Linda D'Avirro:** Linda D'Avirro, District 11. Also at the last meeting I indicated I was going to get together with Jordyn.

**Chair:** Hm-hmm.

**Linda D'Avirro:** And Robert Brust to come up with perhaps some of our desired approaches to defining what equity is and I was unable to do that this month and would like to do that during this coming month, maybe.

**Chair:** Okay.

**Linda D'Avirro:** That would be better timing so I don't have to go with--your suggestion.

**Chair:** Yeah. I mean, I'm also open to anyone who hasn't done a District Park Report 'cause I think there were one or two districts, and I know Denis wouldn't mind missing, you know, somebody's Park Report. So let's--if you haven't done one already, we should talk about it. If you haven't done one for your district, we'll talk about it. Okay.

So let's move on to Item 4, any public, you know. Dawn, Stacy, who's?

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Chair:** Who's doing it?

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** I am. How's everybody doing?

**All:** Good, good, gone.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Oh, you guys so chill this evening. Okay, so a fair amount of view to describe our part for Capital addition. Stuff that's happening, stuff that's coming up. One, in terms of staffing and hiring. They actually just came. Karen Mauney-Brodek is the new Recreation and Park Department to become Executive Director of the Emerald Parks--or Emerald Necklace Conservancy in Boston. And Marvin Gee has left the Department to go work for East Bay Regional Parks. So those are genuine losses from the Department and the City. But luckily we had just finished a recruitment process and we are bringing on two new project managers August first, Brett Tamaris and Ian Baskerville, who have a wealth of kind of park design experience between the two of them. And we're really looking forward to having them start August first.

We're also continuing our recruitment. We have three more project manager positions to fill. So if you know anyone, like, spread the word. They're exempt appointments so we don't have to go through a strict recruitment process. And you can have folks just send me their information. You know, we are, you know, looking for people who are--it's a tough job to fill. We're looking for people who have like really deep technical skills and really awesome people skills, right? And it's hard to find those things in one person a lot of the time. So we appreciate your referrals.

Other news. McLaren Treasure Hunt and Family Fun day, July 23rd. I hope to see you all there. We are going--it starts at 10 a.m. in the Group Picnic Area. We have devised, we think, an entertaining and interesting Treasure Hunt that will introduce people to the highlights of the planned park. And there is a short hunt for those of us of low energy or those who have children. And there is a longer hunt for those of us who are more--or--and really, you know, are in it to win it. So we have both. And we also--Mobile Rec will be out that day so there will also be rock-climbing, BMX riding, so it will be a really fun day for folks to come and get to know the park. And our whole district really uses this event to kind of build a team, right? To build a constituency to participate in the vision, the prioritizing [instrument] process that we want to go through to really identify what, you know, how we want to spend \$10 million in the park. So I hope to see you there. We're very excited.

A few other notes. Mostly, also Golden Gate Park's Stanyan Street Edge, we are really starting to get going on that project, assembling an MOU with DPW so they can begin, you know, helping us as we start to look at Stanyan Street Edge. These are not going to be, you know, dramatic, \$40 million ideas. We're trying to really focus on things to just clean up that edge and try to make it more--a more coherent pedestrian experience. And also hope to lead through some thoughtful design. We would probably not be able to solve all social problems on Stanyan Street Edge, but at least not contribute to them and maybe to help manage them a little bit better so keep your eyes out for that. I think first community meeting on this will probably happen in late September.

There is a lot of good news in budget. I think besides the passage of the baseline, there were a number of projects that were funded through the Mayor's Office and the Board of Supervisors. There was \$700,000 each put into projects for a Hearst Playground, MaCaulay and Buchanan Street Mall. So that's pretty huge 'cause those projects, also particularly Hearst and Buchanan,

were also on our Failing Playgrounds list and so as we continue to move through that project, which is a combination of bond dollars, private philanthropy and now has an actually, you know, not insignificant amount of General Fund dollars budget for it. So we're making progress on not just that first tier playground but continuing to make progress on getting funds for the second batch.

Also, West Portal Playground got the money needed to close its last remaining fundraising goal. So we should be able to start move forward with concept approval for that project. The Boat Playground, which has been a multi-year effort on the part of Supervisor Chang's office, also got \$600,000 and we think we'll be able to deliver that project, finish design and go into construction in the next year. And Richard informed me that the Mother's Building also had an important budget win and we got a few hundred thousand dollars to move forward on the short-term remediation work that needed to happen.

So those are all huge successes for collaboration, really. I think all of those required team effort of sending the same consistent message around need and--both need and also the fact that these are good projects that have a lot of people supporting them and that they were worthwhile and that's [noticed] for both Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to make. So that's all really good news.

I think lastly, you know, Richard had asked me, we had talked about it in our agenda-setting meeting, about Prop B implementation and I think we as an Exec staff still have some real work to do to figure out the timelines on which we'll be able to, you know, produce the many new reports that are being asked for and create an equity framework. I'm sure Phil would love to work collaboratively with you guys.

One piece that I do want to work on with PROSAC in the coming year--PROSAC and the Commissioners and the new stakeholders is, we're resurrecting the Annual Capital Plan with the passage of the Baseline. And so I think it's a great opportunity for us to start from scratch about thinking about what are, you know, your frequently-asked questions about the Capital Program, what should the content and outline of that kind of Annual Report look like. We've got tons of financial reporting. It's not terribly user-friendly or intuitive. And I think it doesn't often answer the core questions that you guys have and it's super-useful for me. I actually look at that all the time. It's like a reference plan. But I think we want to do something in the Annual Capital Plan that has a more strategic content and, again, will help all of you as kind of consumers and users of our projects. So I look forward to working with you on that. And if you have suggestions, you know, just--feel free to drop me an email or give me a call, and let me know what your thoughts are of the types of things you'd like to see covered in such a Capital Plan and we can start working on that.

**Chair:** Cool.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** All right?

**Chair:** Anybody have any specific questions for Dawn? Pat?

**Patricia Delgado:** Two questions.

**Chair:** Pat Delgado.

**Patricia Delgado:** Pat Delgado, District 9. First question, Garfield Park.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Patricia Delgado:** Another meeting's coming up?

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Patricia Delgado:** And then we'll wait and find out what's gonna happen or--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** When you say "what's going to happen"--

**Patricia Delgado:** Well, we're remodeling the pool and--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yes.

**Patricia Delgado:** Entrance and--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yes.

**Patricia Delgado:** So the first meeting was sort of conceptual?

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yes.

**Patricia Delgado:** Are we still in the conceptual part?

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Well, technically you're in conceptual for a long time but I think you will move out of just bubbles to more of a plan, right? So we do--we've been working-- actually we've had a couple of really good internal meetings to just talk about layout challenges and opportunities and building massing and the heights and types of programming that we would offer. So I think you will get it. I think you'll get a thought-provoking presentation at the next meeting--

**Patricia Delgado:** Okay.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** On the direction we're going.

**Patricia Delgado:** Okay. Second point is just issues with big cities. We're having a lot of homeless issues.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Patricia Delgado:** Breaking into the park. Breaking [unintelligible] parks--Alioto and--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah. We heard about that.

**Patricia Delgado:** [unintelligible] and their Community Garden and making holes in the fence.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Patricia Delgado:** They're asking the Park Rangers to come by as often as they can.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Patricia Delgado:** So you're gonna get a lot of pull from all the Departments.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Okay.

**Patricia Delgado:** So just FYI.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah. I think we're definitely noticing an uptick of [unintelligible].

**Patricia Delgado:** Hm-hmm.

**Chair:** What does next [unintelligible].

**Linda D'Avirro:** [unintelligible] Linda.

**Chair:** Okay.

**Linda D'Avirro:** I have a question about the [unintelligible] and want to go to--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Linda D'Avirro:** Two projects, the Mansfield Corridor and--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yes.

**Linda D'Avirro:** And Kenny Lee Community Opportunity Fund.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yes.

**Linda D'Avirro:** Do you have someone in mind to give those [unintelligible]?

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** I think Alexis from [Manon Sell]--

**Linda D'Avirro:** Okay.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Alexis Ward. And Jake has been supervising, kind of co-owning COF with Karen for awhile so I think Jake will provide like that conditional bridge until they get the new project managers and then we'll think about how to shift assignments around to them. And I don't know where COF will land from anybody [COUGH] [unintelligible]. Jake will stay involved. Jake will not leave the program. He's gonna stay involved.

**Patricia Delgado:** Jake in a supervisory capacity--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Capacity. Yeah, no. That's actually--the management team at Rec Park has a 90-day notice.

**Patricia Delgado:** Oh!

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** So, yeah. That's why we may go with a secure number of management team, but not [unintelligible].

**Patricia Delgado:** Thank you.

**Chair:** Thank you. Denis?

**Denis Mosgofian:** Hey, I had a--some questions. Al Gore Bridge or--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Anything on that? Or in the future?

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** That's--you know--

**Denis Mosgofian:** Starting a weak foundation--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** And there's that bridge. You walk underneath. That's all [unintelligible]. So we completed a study on the--actually, I think that work is funded, come to think of it.

**Chair:** Really!

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah. I think that it's just been a bandwidth question more than anything else. But we did the design--we did the assessment of the seismic--of the stability of the structure. And it's not stable. [LAUGH] So like the--

**Denis Mosgofian:** I don't plan on camping out there.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah, no. So we're just worried about the traffic. You know--

**Denis Mosgofian:** Right.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** It was designed for carriages and--and we kept putting stuff over it so and now there's thousands of cars going over it every day. So we have the funding to actually do some actual--actual additional structural shoring up of the bridge. But that's a good one I can go follow up. But I think that's just a bandwidth problem that Dan Mower has moved on, then hold onto a couple of other projects. So he hasn't had the time to just push that one out to completion.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Do you know if in fact the considering design so that it can support two lanes? Or just keep it the way it is.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** No. I don't think we're doing that. Yeah, we're keeping it the way it is--

**Denis Mosgofian:** Okay.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** And we're just making sure that the existing structure stays safe.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Yeah. And I had one more question on the Buchanan Mall.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah!

**Denis Mosgofian:** So I was by there the other day and I was looking at it and thinking--I guess, is this really done?

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** No.

**Denis Mosgofian:** And--okay. And--okay. And then you just said--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** \$700,000 more?

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah, yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Would be put into it?

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Actually, \$760,000 'cause there was \$700,000 that the Mayor put in and the Supervisor Brady put in \$60,000 specifically to help us with the visioning process we're going on? We're gonna go through. So right now--do you know the time off the top of your head? It's like after Labor Day we're gonna--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah, [unintelligible] we started. We kicked off the process.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Stacy Bradley:** But we haven't--it hasn't reached the community yet, right?

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Stacy Bradley:** After Labor Day, when more people are back from their summer holidays--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Unidentified Female:** I will have the meeting process start. The TPL is leading it. And they also kicked in--as a--an as-kind--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah, a gift.

**Unidentified Female:** A gift for their services as well--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Unidentified Female:** So they matched the grant that we have offered with grant--with [unintelligible].

**Chair:** Your grantee of \$700,000?

**Unidentified Female:** The \$60--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** No, that's--

**Chair:** Oh, the 60.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** The 60.

**Chair:** Okay--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yes.

**Chair:** So they're granting that--TPL is going that in-kind.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Chair:** I got it.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** With the same people who did--who worked on the activation project with us, the Exploratorium and citizens involved in Green Streets.

**Unidentified Female:** Yeah.

**Chair:** Okay, cool.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** And that's with the--what's on the--on the Mall now, is the activation.

**Unidentified Female:** So TPL is going on the envision process that will at least hopefully settle some of the key spatial arrangements and core questions, like do you really need to have three random pieces of play equipment scattered across the whole length of the--the Mall or should you maybe concentrate and have a play area in one section where--where should that be? What other types of uses would people like to see at the Mall. How do you create a space that feels safe but is also connected to the houses and services around it. So they're gonna do that visioning process but the nice thing is now you have \$700,000. Not in the current year's budget but next year, that you can use to deliver for space's improvements.

**Denis Mosgofian:** I guess I--I still have this concern about Turk Street being so fast that with one--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Element over there for young--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Toddlers and then--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** And one parent going, "I can't have my toddler over there and"--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** No, totally.

**Denis Mosgofian:** My 7-year-old over here.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Right. Those are exactly--

**Denis Mosgofian:** I don't know how, I--just feels like--if there's a way to consolidate it and make it safer--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** More usable for a parent with more than one child?

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** And a lot of parents have more than one.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** No, totally. I think that's great feedback, I think TPL and our community partnership [unintelligible] you about what some of those [unintelligible].

**Denis Mosgofian:** Okay.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Chair:** Richard.

**Richard Ivanhoe:** Richard Ivanhoe, District 5. Panhandle Playground--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Oh!

**Richard Ivanhoe:** An update?

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah. Panhandle Playground is Tier One of the play--we're calling it now "Let's Play". No, I'm just calling it [unintelligible] anymore. That's a great name to sell a bond and a very bad name to sell to philanthropies. [LAUGH] [unintelligible] enough so I'm calling it "Let's Play". So the first tier of the Let's Play Initiative--

**Unidentified Female:** [unintelligible] call it the--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Bond--you want to sell problems. Philanthropy you want to sell success. It's like very--different. So like--so the Tier One of the Let's Play Initiative includes Merced Heights, Washington Square, Alice Chalmers, Panhandle Playground, Macauley and McLaren Park. And so we've started Merced Heights and Washington Square using the bond dollars we have in hand. And we're using Department of Public Works to design those. For the other four playgrounds, we've been working very collaboratively with the San Francisco Parks Alliance to get design services donated at either, you know, combination pro bono or a reduced fee, by non-City designers and so the design for those playgrounds would be donated as an in-kind gift. So we've actually had a lot of good meetings kind of working out what that process would look like, but we have yet to finish the MOU between the Parks Alliances and Rec Park. That's the next critical path items. As soon as that MOU is finished, which say these are--this how we'll give you the gift. These are our rules and responsibilities. We're ready to start on each other those other four playgrounds. And we've got designers lined up and everyone is really excited about it so--I think it's okay for me to say I think RHIA has expressed interest in being the designer for any of those so--that's real exciting.

**Chair:** And so you have a new question or a follow-up to that?

**Denis Mosgofian:** Just I'll follow-up on this. But--

**Chair:** Just short-hand.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Denis, D-5. Is there a community review once you get this design done? For example, by the non-RPD designers? Is there a--do the--do the community surrounding the particular park or playground, do they weigh in or--have they put--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah, we're still gonna do--we're gonna do our traditional community design process.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Okay. That's the--that's the--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** We're--yeah. Absolutely. We're doing our typical process. We're just using, you know, in addition, except for those other two playgrounds, we're using DPW designers. And then for the other four we're using non-City designers. But we're doing our same process so there will be multiple community meetings and we will also come back to the Commission for a concept plan approval like we would for a normal playground evaluation.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Okay.

**Chair:** Thank you. Anthony.

**Anthony Cuadro:** Anthony Cuadro, District 7. About West Sunset Playground.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Anthony Cuadro:** And the bids came in much higher than estimated and so--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah--

**Anthony Cuadro:** But some features have been cut from the project?

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Anthony Cuadro:** What was the funding gap or--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Oh, well, it depends on which version of the project you're comparing it to at this point. We did put additional money in West Sunset to ensure that we were doing like the base project that we could feel okay about.

**Anthony Cuadro:** Oh.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** But it was a couple hundred thousand dollars. And we're sure--

**Anthony Cuadro:** Yeah.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah. Yeah. The economy is against us in a different--in 2008 bond, the economy was great for us and we had like million dollar surpluses at the end of projects and this--this bond cycle is--implementation is very different.

**Chair:** I have one. Moscone Playground.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yes.

**Chair:** Can you tell me what--where that's at in the process? I sat in on the first meeting for the DPA.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah! We might have com--where--either between the second or third community meeting or we might have finished the last--the last meeting for that playground project.

**Chair:** Okay.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Actually. I know that [Alden Cornish] used something subtle, including the fact that we actually--and that was another add-back was from the Moscone Dog Play Area.

**Chair:** That's why I wanted to ask.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah, so like--

**Chair:** I didn't know.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** The playground project is funded and moving forward and there have been identified in that process the desire for having that additional, or like an improved dog play area at Moscone using the fake dog turf grass that is--

**Chair:** Don't call it fake dog turf--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** [unintelligible]

**Chair:** Grass. When you're trying to sell it--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** What do you call it?

**Chair:** Call it--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Magical grass.

**Chair:** Fantastic--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yes.

**Chair:** Synthetic turf grass.

**Unidentified Female:** Plastic.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Great. Okay.

**Unidentified Male:** Washable grass.

**Chair:** Let's not call--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Washable grass.

**Chair:** Washable fake grass.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Okay.

**Chair:** Washable grass.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** So anyway, it's plastic and--

**Chair:** Fake, washable.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** But you can wash it and it's easy to maintain and the dogs are kind of like really like it.

**Unidentified Male:** My dog apparently--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Unidentified Male:** Really likes it.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** So to do that, that's more expensive than just putting in grass and it's also better, though, because we knew that, you know, grass is actually not the best DPA surfacing. Doesn't hold up very well to intense dog use. So we actually got something like \$400,000 in total to do that DPA.

**Chair:** Very nice.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** So now both parts in the project are funded. The playground portion by the bond and the DPA by the add-back so you're good to go.

**Chair:** That's why I asked.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Another victory.

**Chair:** Another victory. Well, for dogs I think that anything--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Dog kind and people kind.

**Chair:** Anything that includes new or extended--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Chair:** Open space for dogs, whether it's magical grass or real grass.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Chair:** Or both.

**Unidentified Male:** Washable.

**Chair:** Washable grass or real grass, I think it's a benefit. I think--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Chair:** You know, everybody--our area is overused at this point and it would be nice to direct people to other alternatives.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Chair:** That would be user-friendly.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah. So both those--so that's great.

**Chair:** Okay.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** That project is gonna move forward, too.

**Chair:** Sweet. Thank you. Who's over there? Pat?

**Patricia Delgado:** Yep.

**Chair:** Pat, you were number one on this question cycle and now you're batting again.

**Patricia Delgado:** Yep, yep, I am, thank you.

**Chair:** All right. Go ahead.

**Patricia Delgado:** With regard to Franklin and the [unintelligible] that's being--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Oh, yeah. Okay. Yes. That's fully funded.

**Patricia Delgado:** Fabulous.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yes. [LAUGH]

**Unidentified Female:** [unintelligible]

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah, okay.

**Unidentified Female:** Project--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Same as, yeah. I was thinking--sometimes it's always working for me, I'm like, some things are about to happen or just happen already by the time I hear about it again so--yeah, so--

**Unidentified Female:** Bathrooms were put in also?

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Brand-new bathrooms?

**Unidentified Female:** No.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** I don't think so.

**Unidentified Female:** No, there are no bathrooms and there are no--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Okay. Yeah.

**Chair:** Miss Fuchs.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Okay. Good thing the grass is washable.

**Unidentified Female:** Yes.

**Chair:** Nick reminds me that Cheech and Chong actually copy wrote "Magical Grass" so we can't use that--okay, anything else for Dawn? Anybody? Last chance. This is Dawn's last meeting she's ever coming to. No, that's not true.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** [unintelligible]

**Chair:** Oh, that's right.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** [unintelligible] again and come back.

**Chair:** You're in. Dawn, it's been awesome. It's been awesome having you. Anybody else? No. Public comments? There's no public. Excellent. You guys, are you public?

**Unidentified Female:** Yeah, we are.

**Chair:** Hi. Oh, would you like to comment on Dawn's presentation?

**Unidentified Female:** Oh, no, I'm here because we have a representative from District 6.

**Chair:** Ah.

**Unidentified Female:** I'm not sure what's going on with it.

**Chair:** Okay. So just hang in there. If you do want to speak at some point, we'd ask you to fill out a blue card which is right behind the gentleman right there. Okay. So Dawn, hearing no public comment--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Great.

**Chair:** This item is closed.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Thank you.

**Chair:** Thank you very much. Moving onto Item number 5, the ever-popular presentation on-- and let me just caveat this before--

**Unidentified Female:** Well, actually we're doing this--

**Chair:** You got some--

**Unidentified Female:** [unintelligible]

**Chair:** You have some show? Oh, Stacy--you really--how'd you win that?

**Unidentified Male:** I don't know, that--

[simultaneous discussion]

**Chair:** All in favor of switching Item number 6 for Item number 5--

**Unidentified Female:** Sure.

**Chair:** Anybody object to that? So moved. Stacy.

[simultaneous discussion]

**Chair:** Oh--yeah, but let me just make it clear. Stacy, before you start--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yes.

**Chair:** We do have a letter from our District 6 member who is in absentia--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Okay.

**Chair:** Today. So we just want to make you aware of that and we will read it into the record post your presentation, prior to any member conversation.

**Stacy Bradley:** Okay. Okay, so I am here today for an acquisition that we have in District 6. It's exciting for us. This is the end of a very--well, this is a culmination of a very long planning process. You can see the property here.

There's five different parcels and it's between--it's on 11th between Natoma and Minna. This one parcel's not part of the package. It's a Jujitsu studio that's been there for a couple of decades. So we have a built-in steward right next door, which is great. This is a review of our open space task force so we did this--we convened this with Supervisor Kim's office in 2012 and we have 20 members. We've met six times between 2012 and 2013. And from that we sent out colliers, the Department of Real Estate started working with us.

We sent out colliers to do a very intense survey of the area, focusing on our priority locations that are showing here in red, yellow, and orange. They came back with 100 different possibilities. We narrowed it down to a smaller number that was more reasonable to actually pursue and we've managed to get to this one acquisition, which is about--just under 20,000 square feet so a little less than a half an acre.

This is the roads high needs map that you're all very familiar with from our previous acquisitions. The darker green means that--higher need of the area. It's similar--the two priority areas are fairly similar and they use similar features to identify where these priority areas are. It's high needs map. You can see that within the quarter-mile buffer of the new park, there is very little--there are no other parks. Within the half-mile radius there are some parks but, you know, as you walked them, it actually takes--it would take more than half a mile to walk between this new space and the parks that we currently have. The area right here is also within Western Summit Plan. It's right next to the Market Octavia Plan. And it also abuts the new hub which is one of Planning--the Planning Department's initiatives to invigorate that Van Ness and Market Corridor. So this new property--this property will really, you know, work well with these new neighborhood areas--the neighborhood plans and the new growth coming in.

This is what it looks like now. There is a restaurant. There is some storage facilities, offices. It is all used and we plan on keeping these leases until they turn out. The longest extension is to--the longest option is to go to 2024 so we anticipate having a good amount of time to plan this park very well, involve the neighbors, and new neighbors who will likely have moved in before--during our planning process.

We're going to use Open Space Acquisition funds for the purchase. Right now we have--actually in June we had \$9.68 million. In July, now that we're here, we've gotten to the infusion of our next property tax. I don't know exactly what it is but it's roughly \$2.4. So we will have enough for--to purchase the--this 10--it's a \$10 million acquisition.

Funding for development, we anticipate using impact fees. We have some funding already identified for new parks in SOMA, which we'll use now for Planning and for all of the many costs that come when you're--when you buy a new property and you need to, you know, look at you develop it. But after we do the planning and the development, we'll know exactly how much and be able to identify the sources for that. The funding for maintenance. We plan on using General RPD calculations. General Fund.

There are--the space being half an acre, we have the opportunity for passive and active recreation. The front area is lawns, community gardens, sports courts, adult fitness equipment, children's play areas, dog play areas. There are many uses that could fit on here. Likely all of them will not fit. But, you know, through the planning process we'll come up with a--a good plan for the park.

As I mentioned the park is \$10 million. The purchase and sale agreement, we have 60 days to do due diligence. We're in that process right now so we're in the middle of doing the environmental site assessment which is called a phase one. Hoping that we don't need to do a phase two but that will be determined once we finish the phase one.

Phase two is where you do borings and tests and see if there's any remediation. Phase one is more of a historical overview of the area to see if you need to do a phase two. We're also doing another appraisal. Real Estate has contracted out an appraiser. And we have--and we're doing a boundary survey of the space.

The purchase and sale agreement allows the seller to give us up to a year to enter into--to actually buy the properties, have them sell it to us, so that they can find another property and we wouldn't need it within a year anyway so this is acceptable to us. And we'll have between--we'll have about 30 days to close escrow once they tell us they're interested in selling but we can extend it up to 90 days if we need to.

We did--I brought this to the Capital Committee today. Funny timing on how it worked. They were really just interested in what you guys have to say. So--they deferred it to--with the full Commission and are eager to hear your feedback.

**Chair:** Okay.

**Stacy Bradley:** That's it.

**Chair:** Oh, hang on, Denis. Before--

**Linda D'Avirro:** Do you want me to read Jane's--

**Chair:** Before, this--

**Stacy Bradley:** That's it.

**Chair:** That's the end of your presentation?

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Chair:** Fantastic. So before I have the members ask questions, I would like to have Linda D'Avirro read into the record--this is Jane Weil's statement on this. Obviously she's very close to this. She's been working with Dawn and Stacy for a long time, with her supervisor for a long time. She had some very specific things she wanted to convey before we discuss our thoughts about this. So Linda, go ahead.

**Linda D'Avirro:** Dear Fellow PROSAC Members: First, let me start out by commending Stacy and Dawn for their strenuous and tenacious work seeking space for a new park at mid-Market Central SOMA D-6. I totally applaud their efforts and want to be clear that I support the acquisition of the 11th Street parcels that Stacy will present to you tonight.

However, I think it is a huge mistake to not purchase both parcel groups that they have negotiated. Mid-Market, as I have said before, is the densest part of the City with 10,000 additional units in the pipeline and virtually no green space. Several months ago, PROSAC passed a resolution to support the acquisition of two parcels on Mission Street between 7th and 8th in D-6. These parcels are 10,140 square feet. Both are vacant. So little barrier to construction.

Department of Real Estate negotiated LOI's--Letters of Intent--to purchase these two parcels for \$7.9 million. Stacy presented the potential acquisition to PROSAC in December 2015. Simultaneously, Rec and Park and the Department of Real Estate also secured a purchase contract to four [Sep] of parcels on 11th Street between Mission and Howard. These five parcels are 19,570 square feet and cost \$10 million, twice as big as the first set for just \$1 million more.

I recommend that PROSAC should pass a second resolution, supporting the acquisition of the 11th Street parcels. Park and Rec took the position that there was not enough money to acquire both so let the Letters of Intent lapse on the first set in favor of the second set. The acquisition fund currently has \$9.68 million and will receive \$2.4 million additionally each fiscal year. The 11th Street contract will not be consummated for one year, per the owners' request. And the parcels are all leased, some until 2024, giving our Rec and Park plenty of time to plan the park.

I do not see this as an advantage. We need parks now and the Mission Street parcels are eager to close and vacant. I would like to request that Park and Rec find the funds to purchase both and move forward with the extensive work that they have already put in both potential parks. Thank you. Jane Weil. District 6 Representative. PROSAC.

**Chair:** Okay. So before, again, I open it up to members, Stacy, do you want to respond to this in saying--I mean--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Chair:** We know that she's very committed to this.

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah! She is. And I mean I think everything she said is very true. It's-- we did find--you see in 1133 Mission, I brought it in December, we have not actually exchanged a Letter of Intent with the 1139 Mission because we were in the process of figuring out this process and what was happening. So there--and guessing that it would be for--we would likely-- we could get both of those properties for \$4.8 for one and \$3.1 for the other, which is \$7.9 for the two properties that would bring it up to 10,000--or the 10,000 square foot.

And there's--you know, are a lot of challenges with that site as well. It's catty-corner to the Federal Building that has some open space that's not terribly well-activated at the moment. There is--1133 Mission is vacant with parking on top of it. 1139 Mission has a building on it. It will be vacant. I mean, the people have moved out and so definitely those are good factors to have something there faster than on this acquisition.

But this acquisition does give us twice the size for marginally more, that looking at the 1133 and 1139, the prices that they're able to get and they were looking from us, are really high. It was \$700 a square--it was over \$700 a square foot. We've never paid anything like that for park space. So looking at, you know, that looking at these two options, we wanted to move forward with this since it's giving us twice the size for less money so it's giving--it's about \$500 a square foot. And it's a bigger location. It's bigger.

So that was our thinking and what we did with the other properties, we let them know that we didn't have the funds available. We are still interested. And so we didn't close the door. We kind of shifted it a little bit and, you know, asked them to let us know if they enter into any--if they enter into a contract.

**Chair:** So at this point you could say for the record that you are still in discussions with them, that you haven't closed the door.

**Stacy Bradley:** We have not closed the door. We told them that we didn't have the funding right now.

**Chair:** Because she was under the impression that you had closed the door. Or that the door was closed.

**Stacy Bradley:** I believe, you know--

**Stacy Bradley:** The door--yeah, so I think the main thing is what we've said is we can't move forward at this time. They're going to pursue whatever relationships make sense for them and special agreements, and they can come back to us but I think the fundamental cash flow problem is a real one that we would have to solve for. And I think, not just in terms of having the cash but the cost per square foot, for them at 10,000 square foot park that is pretty--shaped in such a way that there's pretty limited number of uses for that site. Again, in terms of bang for buck, as we look at all the spaces in Eastern Neighborhoods, or even in SOMA future opportunities, it does seem like this wasn't probably the best bang for buck proposal we could find.

**Chair:** Sure.

**Stacy Bradley:** And so our preference is that I think even if they came back to us, it would have to be for a much lower price than they've been asking for, for it to still make sense for us.

**Chair:** Sure.

**Stacy Bradley:** That seems unlikely.

**Chair:** And again, Jane was very forthcoming. I had a discussion with her yesterday and she was very forthcoming in saying she's really passionate about this. She can't be unbiased.

**Stacy Bradley:** Yes, yes--

**Chair:** This acquisition is directly across the street from her house.

**Stacy Bradley:** Right.

**Chair:** So she's been slugin' it out and feels like she couldn't be here to have her voice heard. We let her voice be heard as far as what her concerns were.

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Chair:** Certainly there's people from her district here. And I think, you know, her main point to me was, 10 years, now.

**Stacy Bradley:** Hm.

**Chair:** And that's all she can see.

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Chair:** It's a long way away and she's already getting people who are like, hey--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Chair:** What--you know, they saw that this went for a Capital--

**Linda D'Avirro:** Yeah.

**Chair:** So she just want to make that point. I appreciate her--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Chair:** Her voicing her concerns.

**Stacy Bradley:** We really appreciate Jane's partnership throughout this effort. She has been an awesome resource and sounding board as we've gone through these ideas. And, you know, the one thing I say about the 10 [unintelligible] which I've been saying a lot lately is, 10 years ago I was looking at 17th and Folsom with a [unintelligible] X line in that parking lot and identified it as a park and now we're in construction. It seems like a long time but in the span of a neighborhood's growth, it's actually--it's pretty manageable. And what it will allow us to do is really develop, you know, a robust plan, locate construction funds, make sure that the whole thing is ready to go so that as soon as that last lease is vacated, that we can go ahead and, you know, hopefully start construction as soon as possible.

**Chair:** Right. And Stacy has even said that you would look to activate certain areas maybe beforehand.

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah. Potentially.

**Chair:** And you might be able to develop it.

**Stacy Bradley:** That's one of the things that I think if the acquisition is supported by the Board of Supervisors, we do have funds from [unintelligible] to begin doing some design studies to figure out, depending on like the sequence of disbursements and how they turn over or not, like, you know, what our options could be in terms of phasing, to look into activation strategies, and the like. So.

**Chair:** Okay. All right. So are there any questions from the members? I saw Denis. Nick was first so I'm gonna say Nick, Denis, Richard, Anthony. Robert.

**Nick Belloni:** Should I go?

**Chair:** Yeah. Go ahead.

**Nick Belloni:** Okay. First of are there--could you get money to offset some of the cost of purchase from the neighborhood plans?

**Stacy Bradley:** Yes, but we've decided--the neighborhood plans can be used for acquisition and development, whereas our Open Space Acquisition funds can only be used for acquisition. So we want to save the more flexible dollars to do the development, which is likely going to, you know, it's going to be expensive.

**Nick Belloni:** Yeah. That's why I was wondering. And just to offset some of that. The other was the money that--are you--the money that RPD will get from the leases over those 10 years, where's that going?

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah, I keep forgetting to mention that--

**Nick Belloni:** Or what's that gonna be used--

**Stacy Bradley:** I need to add it to my slide--

**Nick Belloni:** [unintelligible]

**Stacy Bradley:** So that I forgot today, earlier today as well. We will get the--we'll--we will get all that money. It will go back into the Open Space Fund. And we'll earmark it for the development of this park. We'll also--we'll need to pay for the Department of Real Estate to manage it and any costs that are incurred from, you know, managing leases. So they'll take out some money for the--

**Nick Belloni:** Right.

**Stacy Bradley:** Administration and if there's any--you know, any repairs that need to be made. That'll cover all of that. And we will make more money so that--

**Nick Belloni:** Okay.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Will go towards the development.

**Nick Belloni:** About how much there are we looking here?

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** You know, looking at probably \$200,000, if it's fully leased the entire time.

**Nick Belloni:** \$200,000 a year for the--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah, a year--a year--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah, no, exactly.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Or is it--

**Nick Belloni:** That's another \$2 million.

**Chair:** Okay.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah. That actually sounds like maybe a lot for the whole year. Or for the whole--

**Chair:** Doesn't sound like very much money.

[simultaneous discussion]

**Chair:** I'm trying to figure out because I mean I'm trying to

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Let me double-check to see.

**Chair:** Offset some of the purchase.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Stacy Bradley:** Let me just double-check. It's--I think it might be the whole thing.

**Nick Belloni:** \$200,000 for the 10 years plus--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** \$200,000 period?

**Nick Belloni:** Period.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Chair:** So that would be gross.

**Stacy Bradley:** Over 10 years.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** That would be gross over 10 years. A year is gonna--would be too much. We wouldn't--I mean it'd be a lot--it's way too much.

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Let me just--oh--

**Nick Belloni:** Okay, cool. Thanks, Stacy.

**Chair:** Yeah, if you could update us. Denis.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Stacy--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** You mentioned that the colliers came back with a list of 100 sites

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah. Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** And I was wondering--I know that it was whittled down to this support from the community.

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** To 15 sites but--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** What kind of sites were colliers coming back--

**Stacy Bradley:** You know, colliers actually came back with a lot that were unfeasible.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Were they occupied [unintelligible]--

**Stacy Bradley:** Occupied. They were looking for things that they felt could be, you know, a good site that maybe had one--like this one had one owner for five different parcels so that was elevated. You know, but then others, like the ones on Mission Street, were small but vacant or looking to leave. So there were a number of them. And others not interested in selling to us at all. Some not interested in selling, very active local businesses that weren't interested in leaving.

**Denis Mosgofian:** I have a second question.

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Obviously, I feel the same way that Jane felt which is 8 years I kept looking at this project--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Feeling like I was looking down the rabbit hole where we just--with Alice and thinking, well, we just approved, you know, we opened the door on 24th Street with that Shell gas station or it's gonna have--it's gonna be spent--about \$14 million is gonna be spent on that site. And we just approved the \$10 million for the Francisco. And they're actually being worked on. Or they're gonna be worked on in the case of Francisco Reservoir. But here, it's 8 years away and I was wondering, is there anything else, aside from those two on Mission Street, that can be worked on faster?

**Stacy Bradley:** So just to respond to the point about the, again, the timeline, Francisco and Noe also took 8 to 10 years each. So my first conversation about Francisco Street Reservoir was also 10 years ago. And Noe Valley Town Square was shortest--was probably the quickest of all and almost so that was, yeah--

**Denis Mosgofian:** About, yeah--

**Stacy Bradley:** My first conversation with them was actually--

**Denis Mosgofian:** Longer, longer.

**Stacy Bradley:** You know what I mean? So in terms of like our first conversation of this project just happened about a year ago. We've been thinking about trying to find something and, you know, 900 Innis, god knows, for 20 years, was a conversation. So I just want to, again, reframe the fact that it's not that one of these--each one of these projects has been like on the

decade timeline. Also, Noe Valley Time Square is not gonna have \$14 million in terms of its development costs.

**Denis Mosgofian:** That's what I've heard. Over and over again.

**Stacy Bradley:** No. No.

**Denis Mosgofian:** The issue for me, Dawn, was not the amount of money.

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** It was the first that those two sites--

**Stacy Bradley:** Right.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Was in areas which had very high per capita--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Open space.

**Stacy Bradley:** Okay.

**Denis Mosgofian:** And we have talked even here--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** For the last several years about D-6.

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** So I'm really just asking--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Is there anything else that could be gotten sooner?

**Stacy Bradley:** Right.

**Denis Mosgofian:** For D-6.

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah. And that's a good question--

**Denis Mosgofian:** For people who live there now?

**Stacy Bradley:** And I wanted to clarify the facts about that--

**Denis Mosgofian:** No, I understand.

**Stacy Bradley:** Noe Valley Town Square is not working [unintelligible]--

**Denis Mosgofian:** But do you understand my question? My interest is--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** If--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** What about the folks that live there now--

**Stacy Bradley:** So--

**Denis Mosgofian:** Their kids are growing up--

**Stacy Bradley:** I do think there's opportunities and that's partially why I'm reluctant to try and go in all the way on the other property that Jane would really like us to buy, is that I do see between--they're talking about the Central SOMA plan and, you know, going forward with a new plan just focused on Central SOMA, it's kind of layered on top of the rest of these two new [unintelligible] plan. Between that conversation and just all the growth that's happening out there, I think there are going to be a few more opportunities, whether working in partnership with developers or, you know, developers wanting to get in-kind fee credit and so be willing to give us properties that they design and deliver. I do think that there are going to be some other opportunities and for me, my real negotiating position, which I--Jane has supported as did Toby, is that it would be very easy for us to just buy a bunch of little pocket parks in SOMA. I could do that in the next five years, no problem. We could spend a bunch of money buying little pocket parks. Those--and those wouldn't really provide the real recreational value that you can get in a neighborhood park that's at the 20,000 square foot level, which is what we've been able to do with 11 [unintelligible].

**Denis Mosgofian:** Right.

**Stacy Bradley:** So getting a 20,000 square foot opportunity is hard to come by. That takes more work. But I do think that there will be opportunities to get other types of open spaces, that if we're gonna try and find another 10,000 square foot--again, just mostly for neighborhood park [unintelligible] and creating some breaks from the urban experience for people, I do think that that's doable and hopefully at a lower price claim than what I, you know, think of as the [unintelligible].

**Denis Mosgofian:** Right.

**Stacy Bradley:** [unintelligible]

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah, that's right.

**Denis Mosgofian:** That's what it is, right?

**Stacy Bradley:** And so--so I just want to put that out there, that I think that with all the things moving around on the board right now, I felt like this opportunity, 20,000 square feet, that is hard to find and that's like a [cabrio]-sized, a Fulton-sized park. That is a real neighborhood park where you will be able to provide a variety of amenities. Even though it's 10 years out, worth grabbing now, in the same way that that parking lot at 17th and Folsom was worth grabbing 10 years ago. And I think--but in terms of just being able to create open spaces that provide some breathability for the residents of SOMA and, you know, offer smaller amenities, whether it's playgrounds or community gardens or, you know, dog play areas, which are increasingly difficult, I am optimistic, which is not a word that I use loosely, as presently. I am optimistic that we'll be able to use a variety of mechanisms to try and get to those [unintelligible].

Also, the market--this was important enough that it was worth--it was a rare enough opportunity, worth seizing now even though we're at the height of the market. But in 2017-2018, are we still gonna be at this particular level of insanity? I don't know. And general projections are, you know, that things will continue to level off and maybe even we'll see a little bit of a correction around some of the real estate prices. And so I think it's also important that if you were a private realtor, engaging this question. You would not spend all your cash in one time at the height of the market in a bunch of opportunities. You would really be selective about where you decided to spend money at the top of the market versus--and so that's what I'm trying to do a little bit of as well. Is just to be really conscious of that fact, that like to spend all of your, you know, cash in one place at this particular moment may not actually be the best way to reach the Open Space goals that we have for SOMA.

**Chair:** Great. Thank you. I like that.

**Stacy Bradley:** Okay.

**Chair:** Good answer. Okay. So hang on. Richard.

**Richard Ivanhoe:** I was just gonna ask about leases. It's already been answered.

**Chair:** Excellent. So now we are to Anthony.

**Anthony Cuadro:** Question survey done by colliers was in 2012.

**Stacy Bradley:** 14.

**Anthony Cuadro:** 14. Is there--

**Stacy Bradley:** 14?

**Stacy Bradley:** I--it's 2013 or 14. I can't quite remember. I'm sorry.

**Anthony Cuadro:** Oh. Is there a plan to like rehash that like every couple of years as things shift and change in the City?

**Stacy Bradley:** We haven't made that a plan. But, you know, that strategy is up for debate. I think we're working on our over--our general open space strategy acquisition so maybe--it might be a worthwhile exercise. It wasn't as excited--it wasn't as successful as we had hoped. They provided way too much. And things that were really not possible. So--

**Anthony Cuadro:** It was--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah, yeah. It wasn't as successful as we had anticipated.

**Stacy Bradley:** I think one important thing that's come out of all this work is we're working very close in the City plan, and probably more closely than we've ever worked with them before [unintelligible] in cooperation around these issues. Not just in Eastern Neighborhoods either, but also in the Southeast and some of the other [unintelligible] neighborhoods. And I think one of the things that's become an equally valuable tool, and maybe more valuable than that kind of survey, is just getting ourselves very familiar with [unintelligible] projects that are coming on-line and being able to get that kind of inside information and forecasting from City Planning about where they think opportunities are and where there are deals that could potentially be made with private developers. So I think having-- it was a good tool, particularly for something where we were starting from scratch for the first time. I think we now have with that survey a much better sense of people who are the market looks like in SOMA and like what the kind of mixture of, you know, soft sites are and, you know, for every 100 peds of length lawn really shakes out and that's all really helpful for us. But for some of these opportunities it's probably a problem for some sort of negotiated development agreement or other type of transaction where a developer [unintelligible]--

**Anthony Cuadro:** Was this site uncovered through that way?

**Stacy Bradley:** Did the community nominate this one at first and put it on collier's list of things to look at or did they--or did collier nominate it?

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** I think Colliers found it.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** They found it, yeah.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Anthony Cuadro:** Oh.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah, and you know what, it's--

**Anthony Cuadro:** A percent.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** No, no, no. Totally. And I'm not saying that it's not a good thing. I agree with Stacy. It's not a bad thing to do because you have--we have to use it differently than I think we thought we would [unintelligible].

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah. We totally thought it would solve all of our problems.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Stacy Bradley:** That's right. And then it came back with just more questions.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah. Yeah.

**Stacy Bradley:** While we were working.

**Chair:** Okay, Robert.

**Robert Brust:** I had a question about the--there was one building you said that is possibly historic?

**Stacy Bradley:** Oh, yeah, sure.

**Robert Brust:** Is that gonna be a problem, you think?

**Stacy Bradley:** I don't think so. It's this one. And it's, yeah, right?

[simultaneous discussion]

**Stacy Bradley:** Totally agree and it is historic from this Western SOMA light industrial district that they did a research on during the Western SOMA plan. And Planning went out and surveyed every--sort of every building to identify if it was a resource, if it wasn't a resource, if it was a potential resource, if it was an individual resource of contributed to the district. So this one sort of in the contributing to the district possibly individual but the way they're looking at this particular zone is by a sort of a amalgamation of how many buildings are torn down or changed and is it--looking at it in total, is it changing the character of the neighborhood? So Planning is actually working on this right now, looking at how many changes there have been in the past--since Western SOMA was re-zoned to provide some feedback, some guidance on it. Likely, it's not going to be but it's hard to know until we actually go through the exercise with Planning. But it's not a no-go. It just would have a different environmental review.

**Robert Brust:** What a gift to the street. So could I follow up with an enthusiastic plea that we buy this property? I read all the material. I went down there on the Fourth, I think? And I walked around and it's big.

**Stacy Bradley:** It's big.

**Robert Brust:**It's big--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Robert Brust:**It's sunny. It's--there's really nothing around there but there's going to be a lot of construction, especially in the Hub. I know they've been tearing down the carwash and putting up--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Robert Brust:**And I--you mentioned this before, working Planning and working with developers, and I think if there's anything we can do to make sure that these proposal--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Robert Brust:**Make actual gifts of land to the Department--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Robert Brust:**Would be--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah. So--sorry, are you?

**Robert Brust:**That's it. Yeah.

**Chair:** All right. Hang on, folks. Slow down. Thank you. Richard Rothman.

**Richard Rothman:** So I assume that, you know, when they become vacant they're gonna demolish the buildings, right?

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah, I--so we haven't phased--we haven't created the phasing plan. I did look through the data. It is about \$200,000 a year. So it is--you know, it's a significant amount. If it's fully leased, it would be around \$200, if not more.

**Richard Rothman:** But and eventually it's to put a park there so--

**Stacy Bradley:** We would demolish--

**Richard Rothman:** Yeah, I mean, so is that--

**Stacy Bradley:** [unintelligible] for sure.

**Richard Rothman:** I mean, have you talked to Planning, you know, whether they would give Recon Park a permit or--

**Stacy Bradley:** Well, yeah. I mean, yes.

**Richard Rothman:** For it?

**Stacy Bradley:** Yes. I have--we have talked to Planning about the review. There's--you know, putting in a park is a big goal for the Planning Department for the City as a whole, to get a park in D-6, in each of the Eastern Neighborhood area plans. So Western SOMA is its own area plan and having a new park here is part of a City goal. So they're not gonna stop it, right? But there's--

**Richard Rothman:** So how many tenants are there on the property?

**Stacy Bradley:** There's five.

**Richard Rothman:** So I mean I assume they all end at different--they're [stagnant]--

**Stacy Bradley:** They do. So--

**Richard Rothman:** And so the longest one have--you know, if Recon Park purchases it, have you thought about, well, maybe the last one of buying them out, the lease so we--you know, you could--

**Stacy Bradley:** It's a possibility. It's a possibility. But we don't want to encourage--you know, we don't want to encourage people to leave before they need to. Right, like, they are viable businesses.

**Richard Rothman:** Oh, okay.

**Stacy Bradley:** So and we might be able to fill it with other City agencies, if we need to lease in the interim.

**Richard Rothman:** So the longest one is--

**Stacy Bradley:** 2024.

**Chair:** 2024.

**Richard Rothman:** Oh.

**Chair:** So what they're saying is there may be people that leave before that. They may be able to fill it between now and then if they need to generate revenue--

**Richard Rothman:** Right. I understand.

**Chair:** Other than tearing the building down.

**Richard Rothman:** Right.

**Stacy Bradley:** Right.

**Chair:** Until that time--

**Stacy Bradley:** Nothing would go beyond 2024.

**Chair:** You're on the lift, buddy.

**Richard Rothman:** Okay. Thank you.

**Chair:** You're--hang on, Anthony. Is it on this?

**Anthony Cuadro:** Pertaining to this.

**Chair:** Go ahead.

**Anthony Cuadro:** I thought the last time we talked about this that getting it to the 2024, whatever, were like extensions of existing leases--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yes, but as--

**Anthony Cuadro:** We might not extend them or something?

**Stacy Bradley:** It's not up to the landlord. It's up to the tenant. The way these leases are written, that they have an option to extend and we would honor that because we are honoring-- we are taking over the leases.

**Anthony Cuadro:** Okay.

**Chair:** Okey-dokey. So Linda D.

**Linda D'Avirro:** Okay. Linda D., District 11. I noticed that it's a one-year option. This is start [unintelligible] tender if you want, correct? This is the guy that has the 1031 exchange. Or is this a different [unintelligible].

**Stacy Bradley:** I don't know what a 1031 is.

**[simultaneous discussion]**

**Linda D'Avirro:** It is. Okay. It's the one where this gentleman is looking for another property so we would do a tax [unintelligible]--

**Stacy Bradley:** That's right.

**Linda D'Avirro:** Okay. So on this one year, is he able to back out of this?

**Stacy Bradley:** No.

**Linda D'Avirro:** At all. He's totally committed at this point in writing?

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah, he signed the purchase and sale agreement.

**Linda D'Avirro:** Okay.

**Stacy Bradley:** And if he doesn't close within a year, we close and stuff.

**Linda D'Avirro:** And then the other question I have is that what's the formal task force for acquisition for D-6 and I understand that once that completed, it sort of went defunct.

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Linda D'Avirro:** Are there plans to reconvene that because of the fact that it was a formal process. It met. It either succeeded or it didn't. I don't know what the results were.

**Stacy Bradley:** I think it would be successful.

**Linda D'Avirro:** Well, I guess I'm kind of following up a little bit of what Denis was saying, which is, you know, now that this property's identified, I'm not hearing in my mind to my satisfaction that there's a lot of effort now to continue very diligently to find additional properties before--and I heard what you said about this being the height of the market but, you know, the building is still going on. The spaces are still being--

**Stacy Bradley:** Oh yeah.

**Linda D'Avirro:** Consumed. And, you know, even with this property 10 years from now, what's gonna be left?

**Stacy Bradley:** Right.

**Linda D'Avirro:** Will be knocked out if we can find those even. But I guess, is there a process in place to continue, you know, with the public involved or with this committee?

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah. Do you want--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Go ahead. No.

**Stacy Bradley:** Well, so we're working really closely with the Planning Department and on, you know, future visions for this area and all of the emerging neighborhoods, how we can get the most amount of park space and how we can work with the public in making sure that, you know, what people want to have in their neighborhood, we're able to address. So certainly this

isn't the end of a process. It is a culmination of a lot of work that a lot of people have done for the past few years. If we--I don't know if we'll reconvene, the D-6 Open Space Task Force. Maybe, hopefully in one manner or another there will be--you know, we will be reaching out once we have a little bit more of a strategic vision, that we can shop around and get people's feedback on and, you know, we are talking to a lot of people. We talk to Jane and Toby frequently about their visions for their neighborhood, as well as Eastern Neighborhood CAC, Market-Octavia CAC. So we're--we are engaging with the public and this has been a big [COUGH] [unintelligible] to get this--to get to where we are now. So now that we're here, we're able to shift--or we will be able to shift in a month or so--to really focus on that forward-looking vision.

**Linda D'Avirro:** I understand that but I guess I just want to go on record as saying that what I'm hearing is that it's now, you know, a bureaucratic project. The other wasn't. The other was involving the community, certain leaders of the community. It was a regular work force that involved the Supervisor, you know, key people that actually lived in the community.

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Linda D'Avirro:** What you're describing is you will be talking to Planning and then we're gonna let the community in on it. And I just want to make sure that that's not really what I'm hearing. I think that it's got to be not just you guys coming back and saying, "This is what we got, what we think."

**Stacy Bradley:** Right. And that point is very well-taken and I think that it's not just--the Central SOMA plan, as that process continues to move forward, has already started with like community engagement and again the Open Space has been requested by stakeholders as a key amenity and so as the Department moves forward with the Central SOMA plan, I think you're gonna see also further commitments to Open Space like memorialized with actual dollars associated with it. Similar with Eastern Neighborhoods Plan.

But I think to your point, one thing that I've talked quite a bit with Toby and Jane about, it's actually very difficult right now to engage D-6, to have a broad conversation with stakeholders in D-6 or on [unintelligible]. And I don't know if you saw the Examiner front page, the cover today? It's an interesting [unintelligible]. And I think that, you know, as we're renovating Macauley and High Turk, we have awesome partners around the renovation of those projects and I think we certainly have folks who are interested in seeing Open Space but it's been--it's a very intense time right now [unintelligible] conversation and I think that to--there isn't as much broad interest in having a conversation that's focused on Open Space as you would think.

And so that's been a little bit of a challenge for us, as we activated the D-6 Task Force to trying to think about how to create a constituency that supports Open Space. And I think it needs to be coalition. I think there needs to be multiple interests represented. But that's a little elusive at this particular moment. I'm hopeful that in 2017 the climate will improve. The dialogue climate will improve post-Election and that we might be able to, again, with some real successes, hopefully with this property and with the Central SOMA plan further developed, we'll be able to kind of re-approach folks and say housing and parks goes together, that, you know, this amenity

is something that the, you know, residents, as soon as they get here parks are the first thing they ask for. So it's silly to think about after the fact. We should be planning for those things together.

And I'm hopeful that that message will have a more enthusiastic audience sometime around January or February.

**Unidentified Female:** Okay.

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Chair:** Linda, I'll just add to that that at some point early in '17 I think we can ask these guys to come back and really give us an assessment of where they're at with it because I think it is a challenging dialogue right now. It's not really a dialogue at all.

**Stacy Bradley:** No, there's--

**Chair:** It's a monologue and we all know what that issue is so I think that as time goes on, maybe there is some ability to come back to the community. But I certainly think we all are in agreement that it can't be them going to Planning and going, "Hey, what do you think?"

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Chair:** And then coming back to us after the fact.

**Stacy Bradley:** Absolutely.

**Linda D'Avirro:** Right.

**Chair:** This is an ongoing process that we're all a part of.

**Linda D'Avirro:** Absolutely, yeah.

**Chair:** Okay. So before I go back to Denis and Richard, who are now on their second questions, Tom, did you have a question?

**Tom Valtin:** Yes. Tom Valtin, District 9. I'm just curious. I want to clarify. Maybe everybody else understands this already. But do I understand correctly that no work can actually proceed while there are existing uses, tenants, residents? And that's 2024?

**Stacy Bradley:** We likely wouldn't demolish the buildings until the tenants leave.

**Tom Valtin:** So nothing will happen for another 8 years.

**Chair:** No.

**Stacy Bradley:** That is like physics--

**Chair:** Physically.

**Stacy Bradley:** Well, we will be able to do all of the work beforehand, knowing that 2024 is the end date for leases.

**Tom Valtin:** And actually, so visioning and such?

**Stacy Bradley:** Right, and actually planning. We can get a concept plan. We can have the construction drawings done, ready to go--we know there's a deadline, right? We know that that date's going to happen and we can plan for it.

**Tom Valtin:** Gotcha.

**Stacy Bradley:** Which often takes, as Dawn mentioned, about 10 years.

**Tom Valtin:** Yep.

**Stacy Bradley:** So.

**Chair:** And also it's really great, again, just to reiterate, \$200,000 a year in potential revenue.

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Chair:** While it's active or they may start to move some people into that space.

**Stacy Bradley:** Right and then they can do some short-term activation, recreation activation within some of the buildings as people leave. Yeah.

**Chair:** Okay. So going back to Richard Ivanhoe.

**Richard Ivanhoe:** Yeah. Richard Ivanhoe, District 5.

[simultaneous discussion]

**Chair:** Wait, wait, wait. What?

**Linda D'Avirro:** The \$200,000? Is that a year?

**Stacy Bradley:** It is a year if it's fully leased.

**Linda D'Avirro:** Okay.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** That's not nothing.

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Chair:** No. That's not chump change. Okay. Recognize [unintelligible]--

**Stacy Bradley:** And that's an estimate.

**Chair:** Richard.

**Richard Ivanhoe:** Richard Ivanhoe, District 5. There's a lot of new construction in the area. Usually, as part of environmental review, one of the considerations--

**Chair:** Bless you.

**Richard Ivanhoe:** Is whether it casts shadows on parks. Is that a consideration before [unintelligible]?

**Stacy Bradley:** Yes. Yes, the instant that we say that we're interested in acquiring, they now--every building from now on that could shadow this would have to go through a shadow study.

**Richard Ivanhoe:** Thank you.

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Richard Ivanhoe:** Thank you.

**Chair:** Denis. Good question, Richard.

**Denis Mosgofian:** That was a good question. I want to go back to something you said, Dawn. And I liked the thought that you were looking at leveraging Open Space from developers in the course of whatever they were doing.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** In Central SOMA and so on. Do you have anything specific in mind? Do you have any specific developments where you honestly think that you can get some space?

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** I don't have specific developments. I have some specific goals which I am happy to share with you in a non-binding fashion.

**Denis Mosgofian:** No, I just meant--I was thinking--

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Interested in whether or not you had your eye on some developments specifically.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** There's one or two. I'm reluctant to kind of name them.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Sure.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** I have one or two opportunities that I think are absolutely worth pursuing. Whether or not they'll lead to anything, I can't tell right now.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Okay.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** I think some of the things that we've talked about in City Planning-I've been working with Stacy and Jordyn and they've done an amazing job starting to do the analysis we need to talk about what our City-wide needs are, and neighborhood needs, in terms of [COUGH] recreational amenities to really create for City Planning a shopping list. I mean, like, we want you to go get this. And so that includes on the most ambitious side ideas like having a rec center on the first floor of a building. Similar to 17th and Folsom, right, the building next door is [unintelligible] community center on the first floor that would look out onto the park so we know that this can--something like this can be theoretically done so are there ways to get, you know, rec centers or portions of rec centers included in existing buildings? That's something we can negotiate.

I also think what we're trying to come up with is like for Propos a list of like you want credit for this being a real park or recreation instead of, you know, what I call my tasteful office worker, a laptop plaza, like here's the uses. Tiny Tot playgrounds, dark play and community gardens can each be accommodated in small spaces and where we actually benefit from having constant eyes and also clean up potentially constant maintenance over the course of the day. And so that's another thing that we want to create, is to make sure that people aren't just doing pro [COUGH] [unintelligible] stranded mezzanine with the doors closed, you know, half the time. To actually get spaces that serve some of these recreational niches which are what I call "hyper local". Right? All three of those uses are not things you want to walk longer than 10 minutes to get to. Ever. There's tons of people who think it's fun to have a community garden but if it's 20 minutes away and see you try to--you give up very quickly.

And so coming up with a set of sort of specs, so to speak, that [unintelligible] developers to get credit for them for having a [povo] that they also have to figure out how to use that space to meet one of these core needs. So I think we've got a couple of different tools available to us to try and move the developer collaboration forward.

**Chair:** That was a good answer. That was sweet. Okay.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Are you pissing me now?

**Chair:** Yeah.

**Dawn Kamalanathan:** Sarcasm?

**Chair:** Yeah, no sarcasm. Now it's no sarcasm. That was a really good answer. Okay. Anybody else have--do you have a question?

**Unidentified Male:** No.

**Chair:** Okay. Then stop for a minute. I have a question. I've waited kindly till the end. Stacy, I saw you sneak a little line in there called "funding for maintenance".

**Stacy Bradley:** Yes.

**Chair:** General RPD Maintenance. I have a bone to pick with that one. We know that RPD's maintenance situation is a little stressful now and might be even more stressful in the future. How do you feel--Denis, please don't answer--how do you feel about the possibility, given who your neighbors might be in this neighborhood, to start approaching them sooner than later and asking for potential MOU's or support--

**Stacy Bradley:** Sure.

**Chair:** Like I could totally see, without a sign or without any kind of like a Twitter part, calling into play some public/private relationship, not for the acquisition, not for the development, but only for the maintenance.

**Stacy Bradley:** Hm-hmm.

**Chair:** Do you guys [likey]? You think maybe you could start that dialogue--

**Stacy Bradley:** I like it. I think we're totally open to that idea, particularly if it's finding additional funding to pay for Rec Park staff to maintain those spaces. And that's been the general direction we've been going, is saying on 500 Pine, for example, and St. Mary's. We [unintelligible] that conversation.

**Chair:** Right.

**Stacy Bradley:** To get, you know, outside funding to pay for additional Rec Park staff to take care of that space. And so to the extent that we can build in--you know, now there's the GBD on Potrero Hill [unintelligible] the district.

**Chair:** Right.

**Stacy Bradley:** So people are, I think, getting hip to this idea of the way of doing things and we're very supportive of working in partnership--

**Chair:** I mean, obviously Francisco will bea--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Chair:** You know, that will be one that we'll look at--

**Stacy Bradley:** Same thing. Right. Exactly.

**Chair:** But again, if this already--we know it's 10 years down the road. Then we might--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Chair:** Say, "Listen, we need to identify maintenance dollars now."

**Stacy Bradley:** Absolutely.

**Chair:** Or within the next few years. Can we sign up Mr. X who just built a building down the street?

**Stacy Bradley:** Yep.

**Chair:** Who wants credit. Okay. So--

**Stacy Bradley:** Yeah.

**Chair:** Any other questions from the members? Ancel.

**Ancel Martinez:** Yeah, I think Ancel Martinez, At Large. Part of the presentation, I understood that you wanted to get some feedback from the PROSAC? May I just [unintelligible]--

**Stacy Bradley:** Of course. Yes.

**Ancel Martinez:** And I wanted to respond to our colleague who couldn't be here tonight on the 1033/1039 Mission Street possibilities. Is it those, which was implied but I'm just trying to make it more explicit, in your presentation, those are kind of--needed pocket parks on Mission Street, which is kind of a busy thoroughfare, clearly, especially right there? And the advantages to this--we should looking at here is that it would be 11th Street is not as busy and then you'd have Minna and Natoma. So it's like just a perfect place--you know, an optimal place for--

**Stacy Bradley:** And it's one--another thing with this site is its access to sunlight, even as the buildings go up around it, there is a large [unintelligible] street is quite large--

**Ancel Martinez:** Yes.

**Stacy Bradley:** And it will always have this sunny face, right? Even if buildings go up right next--across the street, it has that access to sunlight which unfortunately 1133/1139 really demand and right next door was going to be a, you know, a condo building and it would be sheltered in a lot of sun. It would be sheltered from the sun most of the time.

**Ancel Martinez:** Yeah.

**Chair:** Okay. Thank you. Any other questions from the members? Hearing none. Any questions or comments from the public? Okay. Nick?

**Nick Belloni:** I just want to say I would probably throw out a resolution here to say we support the acquisition of the 11th Street properties as they've been presented to us. Everybody sound good on that?

**Denis Mosgofian:** I'd like to second it.

**Nick Belloni:** All right. So that's a second.

**Chair:** Wait, wait, Denis, did you have a question?

**Denis Mosgofian:** No, I seconded it.

**Chair:** Okay. So this resolution was posed by Nick Belloni, District 2, seconded either by Ancel Martinez or Denis Mosgofian--whatever you like.

**Denis Mosgofian:** [unintelligible]

**Chair:** Wonderful. Any discussion on this topic? Have we heard enough from this--about this?

**Ancel Martinez:** Yeah. I just had a question about the motion. Was the motion--this is a technical question. Is our motion supposed to be that it should be--that it shall be on the roster as opposed to that it should be acquired? I thought last month we said what would be coming for us--

**Chair:** Yeah, so that's obviously the question it's not on the roster.

**Ancel Martinez:** Yeah. Right. So this won't have to be part of the motion?

[simultaneous discussion]

**Nick Belloni:** We can put in the resolution to support the addition to the roster

**Chair:** And the purchase.

[simultaneous discussion]

**Chair:** And then read it into the record again.

**Nick Belloni:** I second that.

**Chair:** Okay. All right. Let him just write it. Stacy, thank you.

**Stacy Bradley:** Thank you.

**Unidentified Female:** Thank you, Stacy.

**Nick Belloni:** Okay, let me write this whole thing. It'll be "PROSAC supports the placement of the 11th Street properties as they were presented to us on the acquisition roster and we support the acquisition of the 11th Street properties as they were presented to us." Okay?

**Chair:** Okay. Just clean it up a little bit. And hand it off to Tiffany.

**Nick Belloni:** But I will but is that--we have to vote on that basically.

**Chair:** Does anybody have any comment before we vote? I think we want to know what the actual words of the--

**Nick Belloni:** I just gave it to you.

**Chair:** Read it again.

**Nick Belloni:** Okay. PROSAC resolves to support the placement of the acquisition--the placement of the 11th Street properties on the acquisition list as they've been proposed to us. PROSAC also resolves to support the acquisition of the 11th Street properties as they have been presented to us.

**Chair:** All right. Let's take a vote. All in favor of supporting this resolution say aye.

**All:** Aye.

**Chair:** All opposed? So it passes unanimously. Tiffany, Nick will give you the language.

**Stacy Bradley:** Thank you, guys.

**Chair:** Congratulations. This item is closed. Thank you very much. [Applause] Oh, moving onto Item number 5.

**Dana Ketcham:** All right.

**Chair:** Soon to be Item number 6.

**Dana Ketcham:** You guys kill me with these meetings. Hours of my bedtime. And I'll never trust Stacy again when she tells me something will only take 10 minutes.

**[simultaneous discussion]**

**Dana Ketcham:** So first, I brought some of my team because I thought you should meet them. They work tirelessly in the office. They don't go out a lot to Commission meetings

because mostly they're in the office handling and dealing with the public every day and I thought it would be goods to have them here. Questions came up and also so I just want to briefly introduce them. Diane Gray is actually now the head of Permits the Reservations. She just returned from medical leave. She was out for a month and we're excited to have her back. [Ann Chu] too, and we'll talk a little more about this, manages our Public Recreation Area. Mark [unintelligible] manages New To Us, is managing Special Events. And Stacy and I are gonna [unintelligible] Tilo is one of our Special Events coordinators and really helping a lot of those. So there's a whole team of people. They're not all here but these guys are here to try to help you guys out.

I'm gonna go over Permit Overview. But just before I did that, I wanted to talk a little bit about what is the role of Permits. And we describe ourselves as air traffic control for the Parks. That an important part of our job is to make sure that things happen consistently. And that we don't have multiple things happening in a park that interfere with each other. And it's--we'll talk a little bit, part of our role's also to make sure that there are times when nothing is happening in the park. So there's time and space for passive recreation.

Permits are required under the Park Code for a great many things you want to do. You want to do research. You have to have a permit. And so our job is to help make that happen. We break Park permits into different areas when we think about them. We talk about Public Recreation, which is picnics, gym rentals, club house and rec center rentals for your birthday party. Things like that.

Athletic venues. So this is everything from, you know, your child's soccer practice to adult sports to little kid baseball to also Kezar and Boxer and Kezar Pavilion.

Special Events. Weddings. We put them in a category because brides tend to make everything a special event. They need a lot of hand-holding. We have Special Event outdoor sites. I'm going to talk a little bit more about this. And then we also have sort of some event indoor venues that are appropriate for Special Events.

Where can you find out about the permits? I don't know if any of you spend time. We have a lot of information on our web-site. We've [unintelligible] interns. There are about 350 pages of information on our web-site. We like it 'cause it helps the public find things. It has everything from maps, pictures, and fees. All sort of these things.

So how do we think about it. We balance the use of facilities. So we have now an organized review of applications and calendars. And we try and get a big picture book. So when we're looking at Golden Gate Park, we try to make sure there are weekends of passive recreation because that's an--a bigger park--and Special Events. We have people that would book Union Square for a big event every day and it would never be open to be a park for the public so we kind of look at and plan, should be more than a certain number of these events.

Resident first. Our residents pay taxes and pay for use of our parks. We think residents should be a priority. And we try and balance the variety of the users when there is conflicts and challenges. What are our biggest challenges? The impact of events on neighbors and also

passive recreation. Again, I talk a lot about that balancing. We have neighbors who view their park as their backyard and we're gonna have no picnics of other users in there.

And then we also have what we call neighborhood parks versus destination parks. We think of destination parks as the place where the larger events should be. So examples are--and they actually, they were defined 25 years ago. Uh, they're Union Square, Justin Herman, Marina Green, Golden Gate Park.

Dolores is this hybrid neighborhood versus destination park which makes it particularly difficult. We think of it as a neighborhood park but there are people who see it as a destination park. They should be able to do events. We don't think there should be big events there because it's already full.

Athletic field. Huge challenge for us. We have a severe shortage of athletic fields. We implemented a number of changes over the years to make sure that they're used by our residents and kids of all athletic abilities, not people who think, you know, only the superstar kids should be practicing three days a week and they should get the best fields and we say, no, every kid should have a chance to be on a team and learn what a team is and play. We never want to turn away a child. So we're growing spaces for kids, expanded hours.

And we also really concerned making sure the neighborhood, community groups have free use of our facilities and I'll talk about that a little more.

So where do our permit fees come from? They are all set in the Code. They were approved by the Board of Supervisors and signed into law by the Mayor. So we apply those fees. Permit fees are waived for the [unintelligible] policies that have been adapted. Community space. Each of our facilities has up to four community meeting spaces per month for no fee. We never bump into that one. There are--we do charge staff fees if it's when the facility is closed because that's an out-of-pocket expense.

Those free community meeting spaces have to be meetings that are open to the public and not a commercial business so you can't have your business come in and throw wine and cheese to talk about your business and say, well, it's a free community meeting when it's actually a promotion of your business.

Community events that are sponsored by Park groups. Those are free but there can't be any, you know, vendor sales, alcohol sales. There can be nominal food sales at events. And then protests and rallies. So when it's a freedom of speech, we don't charge unless there's some out-of-pocket expenses that we incur.

So first let's talk about picnics since that's been a little bit in the news. The sites are throughout the City. In 2015 we issued nearly 7000 group picnic permits of which 82 percent were for family events. Children's birthday parties is the number one picnic use [unintelligible] family gatherings. Six percent were for school gatherings. Five percent were for non-profit and community groups. And four percent were work-related and corporate picnics.

A permit is not required for a group of fewer than 25 people. If want to--yes. You want to ask a question?

**Unidentified Male:** On that--on that one point only.

**Dana Ketcham:** Yeah.

**Unidentified Male:** I called up and I was told because I asked for permit for 8 to 10 people at a picnic table. I was told--

**Dana Ketcham:** Well, if you want to reserve a picnic table, you need a permit. So if you want to know you have a space, I'm going to get to that. Then you need--you want a permit because you want to know that table is yours. But you're gonna have a group--and that's--let me finish. Okay?

**Unidentified Male:** Sure.

**Dana Ketcham:** And I'll try and explain that. Why do we have picnic permits? Large picnic groups or someone, if they're having a 10-person kid birthday party want to know they have a specific location. You don't want to have to show up at a picnic table at 6 a.m. and sit on it to know that you have it for your kid's party. So if you want to know you have a picnic table and you want to book it, you get a permit.

Permits are also required for groups of 25 or more people. Why? Avoid user conflict, provide increased accountability for clean-up and potential damage to our parks, ensure that parks are accessible for low-cost picnics celebrating life events, and provide a tool for park maintenance. The staff gets a report. They know exactly how many permits are gonna be in a certain area so they can clean. But it's both to serve the public, it's a convenience, so that you know who has space.

Picnic fees. Residents. \$33 for up to 50 people. Used to be \$25. There's an automatic cost of living that is built into the Park Code. It goes up every year. \$66 for up to 100 people. And up to \$325 for 400 or more. We have a few premium sites that charge higher. Corporate picnics start at \$260.

Amplified sound. Any event, if you want amplified sound, starts at \$616 for an amplified sound permit. And that's because when you put sound, you're putting impact on the people around you. Usually it goes away which is actually a good thing, if you think about it, because it's not like you have the exclusive use in an area. Other people want to be there.

There are also other impact fees if you are caterers, food trucks, more than one [unintelligible]. You're setting up a lot of tables and chairs [unintelligible].

So one of the things that came up recently was lawn areas. So picnics--large groups cannot fit around a picnic table. The table is just an anchor. You have the lawns around it. In 2015 we issued over 700 permits at lawn-only sites, including numerous sites in Golden Gate Park,

Duboce, Marina Green, Allen, Buena Vista, Pine Needle Park, Hamilton, [Kimbo], Christina, Walter Haas], Grattan, Mountain Lake, Walt Nichols, Sue Bierman, and others.

We're full. We sell out. These guys will tell you. You see mom. She comes in there with her kid, looking for a site. We sell our picnic tables in neighborhood parks morning and afternoon. Not to make money. To have the space available for people to use because it is the lowest cost birthday party you can have for your kid. If you want to bring in a jumper house, you can bring in a jumper house or you can just be next to a playground and put a piece of tablecloth down. You bring out the birthday cake. You play lawn games. And you have a wonderful birthday for your kid. And if you could be in our office and see the excitement of those kids and moms coming in to get, you know, yeah, well, you don't have to come in. You could call on the phone now. Kid wants to come in, wants to talk about it. It's really adorable.

**Unidentified Male:** Adore [unintelligible].

**Dana Ketcham:** They're adorable. So Dolores, just to talk about what went down on Dolores. This was things spiraling out of control. So in a very unbelievable fast way, so park picnic tables and lawn areas have been reserved for group picnics for decades at Dolores. Both lawn areas and picnic tables. What happened is Dolores got remodeled. The lawn changed. So we had to figure out in this new lawn with this new reconfiguration where we were going to put the lawn picnics. So it was [unintelligible] because we weren't sure. We didn't want to put them on top of where people play Frisbee. And it used to be in sort of more different [unintelligible] so it was easier. Now we have this much bigger open lawn area. We tried to squeeze them in the far corner, furthest away from where you see most of the activities. The use of the word "pilot" took off in a viral place that we were now selling the [gracks], Dolores Park. A little bit like closing the park for two years. And I was luckily not on the, you know, study and you were and I think within like an evening, she got--

**Unidentified Female:** I got 18,000 signatures protesting in 24 hours. Yeah.

**Dana Ketcham:** And so back in 2010 before Dolores was renovated, it was the second most permanent park for picnics, compared to Golden Gate Park. The only thing that's different is we've actually reduced the number of sites from 9 to 7. We now have park ambassadors to provide information and education, make sure you clean up. We have the Love Dolores Stewardship Campaign to reduce trash, which has helped. And we have a limit to picnics on weekends to 50 people or fewer. You used to be able to have much bigger groups.

So those are things we have all changed because Dolores is already packed. But there are people who live in the neighborhood who would like to have a picnic. And the other thing with Dolores is that the tables are all around the playground and you can't have--we don't want alcohol over near the children's playground. The lawn areas are on the other side.

So that was the saga of Dolores. It seems to have all calmed down. The proposed change to the lawn has [unintelligible] as the data in fact came out. It was not fun. Not fun. Because we just didn't have the data sitting in a can so we were totally [unintelligible].

Gymnasiums. Now I'm going to do something a little more boring. They are located in rec centers except Kezar Pavilion. The fees raise from \$58 to \$134 per hour, depending on whether it's peak or off-peak. There is very little gym rental availability. We don't rent the gyms unless the facility is closed because basically we keep it as open play time or art programs. So Sundays and Mondays, when the facilities' closed, we rent gyms. And they tend to be like--I was just looking at something like--church groups to a bunch of guys wanted to play basketball. The Gay Basketball League rents Sunday nights in Eureka Valley. There's just different people.

Kezar Pavilion has higher fees. \$600 to \$3000. You're renting a facility with a major seating. But SFUSD [unintelligible] gym. They don't pay for the use of Kezar Pavilion. The only time the school district pays is when they charge an admission fee because they're making money.

Club house and rec centers. So fees vary, depending on the size of the space, location. [unintelligible] is \$29 an hour. Eureka Valley Multi-Purpose Room \$55 an hour. And [unintelligible] Sutter Club house \$55. [unintelligible] Auditorium, [unintelligible] Tot Toys \$72. And JK is \$101.

Again, that free community use is actually four community meetings per year. All events do have to pay a \$20 per hour staff fee unless it's in a recreation center during hours that it's open and the on-site staff feels they can manage it. Otherwise we struggle to find staff to cover and get all these club houses open. As we talked about a couple of month ago, with club houses, you know, we [unintelligible] hard to make sure that all of our users open up those club houses on the weekends because this is again very popular birthday party site, especially if you have a kid born in the winter where you don't want to do a picnic birthday party because it could rain. But you live in a small apartment, you know, people don't have a house that can accommodate the party. Renting a club house is incredibly popular for people.

Athletic venues. Baseball diamonds to soccer fields. Used programs in schools, no fees, unless it's commercial-operator. Residents. \$29 per hour per up to four hours per month per resident. Not-for-profit adult leagues who are serving San Francisco residents, \$29 an hour. For profit or non-residents, \$75 an hour. Summer camps pay \$29 an hour for up to 25 kids. Used to be one dollar an hour per kid but, again, the Controller's Office cost-of-living increases increased that amount from \$25 to \$29. Tournaments. Pay non-resident fees. And they have very limited availability since our priority is to service San Francisco-based events. We get all sorts of requests to do tournaments. We really can't do them except during off-season.

Kezar and Boxer Stadiums. School use, primarily use of Kezar is SFUSD. Adult playing leagues, they pay around \$600 a day. Adult events can vary from \$2000 to \$10,000. We hear the 49ers are coming back to play--to have a practice at Kezar in August. So [unintelligible] it was just announced. But, you know, athletic fields are allocated quarterly. Everyone applies online. We look at it all together. We work really hard to make it all fit. We've put out to everybody exactly what everybody got. So that it's transparent. Here's the list of who got what and why.

You might have heard there was some noise around soccer stuff recently. It had mostly to do with internal fighting about [unintelligible] who was getting higher priority. But we ended up in the middle of it.

Special Events. So we have outdoor wedding sites. An example, the Rose Garden in Golden Gate Park or--park is \$300 for a two-hour rental. Sunnyside Conservatory/Shakespeare Garden is \$700 for a two-hour rental. \$140 per hour for after that. Palace of Fine Arts, \$700 for a two-hour rental for wedding use only. If you want to do a huge tent and have other things, it costs a lot more because you're taking our park away. But for your basic wedding, we do lots of them there. Little more at Sunnyside Conservatory. The Saturdays and weddings are a higher fee normally. Otherwise it's just \$100 per hour.

Stern Grove, again, a sort of Special Event space. People love it that we have--it's really cute. These guys can tell you. On Fridays we have--we come in and we find people camped out to get Stern Grove for their wedding receptions the next day because they want to be there and they are waiting at the door when we show up at 8 to try and get that wedding site because it is their favorite spot and it is very wonderful.

Lake Merced, we have another spot that is used for a Special Event. Anniversary parties, adult birthday parties, a lot. County Fair [Noling] has a very great sliding scale fee. It's a favorite of flower groups. And--but we also have a lot of other not-for-profits who use it. Those are sort of our Special Event things.

Then there are the big Special Event things. Walks, places, festivals, concerts. These are based on the size of the facility. So examples of fees. If you want to use [Hellman] Hollow for a for-profit event, it's \$20,000 a day. Plus staffing fees. This is just the rental. Union Square, the reason these are odd numbers is again the cost of living raise the price each year and don't [unintelligible]. \$8,659. Marina Green, Civic Center, commercial event, you guys all saw one here to--a month ago when they were here, \$28,000. Except I think that was DG area only so it was only--that was backwards. \$13,000. Portsmouth Square, very low cost. There are a number of other fees that apply for events.

Permit revenue. Those who like numbers. You can see the revenue over the last number of years, big piece of it is the increase in outside lands concert. That is not under permit fees. That was done by RP. That's gone up a million dollars in the last five years, mainly because it's--the tickets--is the ticket sales. We get a percent of the ticket sale price. It's come across in a variety of different areas.

So. Questions.

**Chair:** So hang on for one sec. I already have on my list Denis and Nick. Is there--Richard Rothman.

**Dana Ketcham:** Well, they can just the standard permit fees so that's a--concert is a--

**Chair:** Richard Ivanhoe. Anybody--other members? Anthony.

**Dana Ketcham:** Hope I didn't bore you too much.

[simultaneous discussion]

**Dana Ketcham:** I was really struggling.

**Chair:** So hang on. Before--before I let the members ask questions, I just want to talk a little bit about how Dana got here today. Okay? When this incident situation happened, as much as they say they got a lot of traffic, I think PROSAC, especially certain members in certain districts, got a lot of traffic. So you were not alone. My first response was to go to Phil and say, "Listen, PROSAC is who--we are your community liaisons. We need to know what's going on." So it was almost a demand for this presentation and what Phil said, which I thought was very talented in terms of how he managed the language, "They can't give us a complete view of permitting in one 20-minute presentation." So his hope was that Dana would give us Permitting 101, which is what I think we just got. And I'm really thankful that you came and gave that to us because now we have questions. Now I think the members will ask you some questions now, but we'd like you to probably come back for Special Events 201. And on and on so we have a really good understanding.

**Dana Ketcham:** I have been here three time in the last [unintelligible]--

**Chair:** I'm not saying it'll be soon. Yes, we'll say in the fall or in the winter sometime you'll come back and give us another.

[simultaneous discussion]

**Chair:** Okay. Dana wants a morning meeting. That's not gonna happen.

**Unidentified Male:** But the charter only says certain times.

**Chair:** Yeah. Okay, so--

[simultaneous discussion]

**Chair:** Denis.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Yeah. Dana, I want to go back to the literature said and what the documents you said--sent out said. Permits are not required for groups of fewer than 25 people. So I don't understand. 25 people can do what without a permit?

**Dana Ketcham:** You can lay down your blanket on the lawn and have your picnic. If you want to reserve a table, if you want to set up a table, the park will require a permit to set up a table.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Then the language should reflect that because I actually came in and asked about it and I also called up and I was told each time \$33 and nobody said to me, it was just based on table. 'Cause I said--

**Dana Ketcham:** Oh, I'm sorry.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Oh, that's all right. It's just that--

**Dana Ketcham:** Let me clarify. Eric can work with the--is Public Recreation Team--

**Denis Mosgofian:** No, if it's clear in here, that it has to do with the table, so the follow-up question would be, if 25 people or 20 people set themselves down on a blanket and another group has got a table, and they've got 25 people, does the first 25 people, do they have to move away? I don't understand--how do you avoid a conflict?

**Dana Ketcham:** It's worked itself out.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Okay.

**Dana Ketcham:** I mean, it's--I think if you went with 25 people, you wouldn't set yourself down--

**Denis Mosgofian:** No, I wouldn't.

**Dana Ketcham:** Ten feet away from a table.

**Denis Mosgofian:** That's right.

**Dana Ketcham:** We do have people that'll go plop on a table and then, you know, we try to have it written on all the tables. I don't know if you've ever seen it. This table can be reserved.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Yes.

**Dana Ketcham:** Call blank. The reason we have that there is so that you're on notice--

**Denis Mosgofian:** Yeah.

**Dana Ketcham:** That it could have been reserved. And, you know, we don't--we just don't really have very often--

**Unidentified Female:** And there's a lot of space. They reserve, you know, so much of it. There is really a lot of space where you don't have permits, to go lie down on blanket and not be in the way of--it really does [unintelligible]--

**Dana Ketcham:** Right. I mean, if you think about [unintelligible]--

**Denis Mosgofian:** My only issue was that it should be clear that it has to do with the table and not just the grass.

**Dana Ketcham:** Oh, yeah, no. It's if you want to reserve a particular--so it's two things. If you're more than 25, we want to know you're coming. That's what the Park Code says. If you want to reserve a table so that you have a designated space, you need a permit--

**Unidentified Female:** Regardless of your number.

**Dana Ketcham:** Regardless. If there's two of you. You know, it's--you reserve it. But there's a lot of space. I mean, you know, we've just been--Mark and I have just been having this argument with a catering company who is insistent that they want to have a 200-person event on Saturday, August 15th. And we're like, it's sold out. Golden Gate Park is sold out. We don't have any space. Well, then, like today just sent us a picture of Linley Meadows hip and said, "We can set up tables and chairs for 200 there. We can fit." And we're like, "No. You can't fit. That is not a designated picnic area. That is open lawn where the public can go and lay down. If we started doing that, then we would be selling off [unintelligible] use the grass. But we don't do that." I'm like, you know, it's--this balance, I mean, passive recreation, what we call public recreation which is your little parties and your events, is really something we care deeply about.

**Chair:** Good.

**Dana Ketcham:** In trying to make it work for everybody. And, you know, yes, you know, we do look for places where you can pop another picnic table periodically because there is--there are these, you know, birthday parties and you see these moms come in and that's why, you know, it's like, "well, no, you can't book from 11 to 2. You have to choose either 9 to 1 or 2 to 5 because that enables somebody else to have this space in this park."

**Denis Mosgofian:** I'm good. You can ask.

**Chair:** Okay, Nick.

**Nick Belloni:** So I got a question but I did want to first compliment Dana on, A, coming, and B, all the work she does. I deal with--

**Unidentified Female:** Yeah, seriously.

**Nick Belloni:** Both--I deal with both sides of this every year. I have been doing it now for I don't know how long, we've been dealing with it because I have the concerts in Golden Gate Park that I have to deal with community. And I have actually asking for permits for my community group for the rec center. And they're always obliging. They're always able to do it. Dana's always had an open ear for me when I've had problems with any of the stuff that's gone on in Golden Gate Park. She's always actually answered her phone or called me back which I've always--

**Dana Ketcham:** I don't always answered my phone.

**Nick Belloni:** Well, you don't always answer your phone but you've always been able to call me back or gotten back to me as quick as she could and I've always appreciate that, especially when I have to deal with neighbors that aren't happy with major events that happen right in their area. And she's always good about it. And we've always had conversations of having space for the public and having time for the public, even though the events happen. I mean, I remember that conversation we had--was it four years ago or three years ago when we were talking about the amount of events that were happening.

**Dana Ketcham:** Yeah, it's a balance and--

**Nick Belloni:** It is.

**Dana Ketcham:** You know, and also it's not just the big events. I mean, we have people who, like live across the street from the park and you kind of wonder why they chose to do it. You know, we had guy we call Jackson John. He lived across from Jackson [unintelligible]. And he hates [unintelligible]. And he would go and just complain and complain and complain all--he finally moved. We have a neighbor who lives right next to Duboce Park and [unintelligible] we put birthday parties. And the bouncer. So we've restricted it so there's just one bouncing house a weekend. At most it has to be a silent jumper which costs that family more. If you--you know, when I argue with her, you know, look at the people who are using this. We're not bringing people in from other parts of the City to use Duboce Park. These are all your neighbors who live in apartment houses, not in your house. And, you know, so--and we have--we have some, you know, and jumping houses are allowed. I mean, and I have sympathy for people with them and that balance. But you see a kid and a family with that jumping house, it's like really a happy party. So, you know, again, we balance. But every park has their neighbor.

**Chair:** Now Nick actually has a--

**Nick Belloni:** Now to my question which is when you were talking about Dolores and people, you know, neighbors wanting to use it or other parks. Neighbors wanting to use it. Do you give priority to the zip codes in the area?

**Dana Ketcham:** We don't. It's first come, first served.

**Nick Belloni:** Okay.

**Dana Ketcham:** We don't. We don't--

**Nick Belloni:** Because you had mentioned--you actually just mentioned that you want neighbors to be able to use it.

**Dana Ketcham:** Well--

**Nick Belloni:** And then that's why I'm asking that question.

**Dana Ketcham:** You know, and that's a quick--a tricky thing with Dolores because it's such a popular place to be.

**Nick Belloni:** Hm-hmm.

**Dana Ketcham:** Which makes it more challenging than other parks but look at Golden Gate Park. I mean, we did a zip code analysis. We did so many analyses during this picnic flub. You know, we did--looking--you know, we have--there are a lot of people who live in the southwest part of the City and they have a lot of picnic parks there. Who's southwest? You know, who's that district here?

**[simultaneous discussion]**

**Dana Ketcham:** There's not a lot of picnic parks. A lot of people from there, they go to, you know, they go to Golden Gate Park. They go to Midtown Terrace. They go--you know, when you were looking at these numbers, and, you know, I don't think I'd want to say you can't use this. And Dolores is just such a challenge. That's why we--you know, we take Special Event applications and we routinely tell people, "No, we you can't do it there. We're going to send you over to"--Richard's gonna hate this. "Golden Gate Park for your bigger event because Dolores is full." On a typical Saturday and Sunday, there's no space for that group. But, you know, when we--and we kind of had a knee-jerk reaction when we--you know, this whole thing about canceling the lawn park [payments] because we didn't want someone one to have a fight, there's a [unintelligible] that lives two blocks from Dolores who is going to have his wedding reception there. And, you know, he was like, "I don't want it there, I don't want to get into this argument with people," but it wasn't a big wedding reception. He was a very nice man. I called him to tell him, you know, we just think this is a bad idea. We don't want to put you in the middle. He goes, I don't want to be--you know, he was figuring it out. But it's--you know, it is neighbors and when you look at the children's birthday parties that are all in those tables around, you know, it's--the zip codes are all neighbors.

**Nick Belloni:** Okay. You know, I just wanted to make sure because you had mentioned giving neighbors priority and then--

**Dana Ketcham:** Yeah--residents.

**Nick Belloni:** That would be a way to--

**Dana Ketcham:** We talk about residents.

**Nick Belloni:** Okay.

**Dana Ketcham:** [unintelligible]

**Chair:** Okay. Richard Rothman.

**Richard Rothman:** Thank you for coming. The one day, on a Saturday, I was taking a photography class at Duboce Park. I mean, at Dolores Park and I was up at the top of the hill on 20th and Church and just looking out. You know, there was not one empty space. I mean, that place was full. And I mean--you know, and then I--then this controversy came up and I could see renting tables. You know, 'cause the table is a defined area there. It's marked. You know, you can mark it. But I can't see how you can mark--and we walked around after and I didn't see any markings on the grass, how can you--

**Dana Ketcham:** So let me tell you what our plan is. Okay? 'Cause no one put picnic tables around the adult side. Why that didn't happen is unclear to me in the design process. I wasn't sort of involved but there are no picnic tables. There's one picnic table in the middle which we permit now all the time. It was the picnic table before we started permits again, where the drug dealers hang out. So that's sort of a positive.

So we had identified that corner and in some of the pictures you will see a sign--put up a sign that now said, trying to tell people this space can be reserved, and then we were gonna do the golf markers, if anybody plays golf, and put them in the ground so you could identify your--and it was a teeny--it was teeny little corner of that park.

**Richard Rothman:** Which section of the park?

**Dana Ketcham:** It's on the Flag Hill and it was in the--northeast 'cause that's like very--right before the [unintelligible]--

[simultaneous discussion]

**Richard Rothman:** Oh, okay, yeah.

**Dana Ketcham:** And that was the experiment of what we were testing, to see if that space worked. And so it was just that--you know, and still you had to get there and set up. But if you want to have a group of more than 25 people and you want to follow the law, at Dolores you do need a permit. Now we have lots of people that are having bigger groups, you know, and one of the things we're really challenged with in Dolores, is people posting Facebook things on and saying, you know, "come to Dolores and we're gonna have"--you know, and their Facebook post shows that they've got 500 people coming. Sometimes 1,000. You know, we had--if you have ginger-colored hair, you can come. Or they're doing beading. And we now actually every week--

[simultaneous discussion]

**Dana Ketcham:** Every week we have someone who goes through and finds the Facebook folks and gets the emails and our head park ranger actually emails them and says, you know, because they're gonna have a barbecue or they're gonna, you know, they were gonna have a slip-n-slide on the hill at Dolores, which we--and we've actually been carting those things away. But if you want to have that wedding reception with 50 people, should you be able to?

**Richard Rothman:** Well, I did--I mean, you know, I live by Golden Gate Park and I can see--you know, I see events there and there's space there, you know, where you can have--

**Dana Ketcham:** It's a destination park.

**Richard Rothman:** You know, you can have, you know, mark out spaces and you know, and there's room but I don't see that at and I used to live near there, too, Dolores Park. And I don't see that there. The area is just--you know, too small and then, you know, I can see the big areas but I can't see Dolores Park. And the other--

**Dana Ketcham:** That's why it is limited to 50 people.

**Richard Rothman:** Well, but still it's--hard. And then who enforces it? I mean, so say I get there and Steffen and I say, "Oh, I have it," and he says he has it so--

**Dana Ketcham:** But that's part of our new thing. Is we have actually--before we did this--park ambassadors that are out there to help and on top of it, to make sure people clean up, they're telling people--

**Chair:** Hang on, Linda.

**Dana Ketcham:** They're telling people that they have to sign out with the park ambassador when they leave that they cleaned up their mess.

**Richard Rothman:** And they need--when I was there, you need more garbage cans. You know?

**Dana Ketcham:** Oh, we have the recycling center now.

**Richard Rothman:** Maybe, you see, but I would say I don't think Dolores Park should be on the grass permitted because it's just not a big enough space there but, you know.

**Dana Ketcham:** So right now we're not. We just have the one picnic table. We call it picnic table--

**Richard Rothman:** Well, maybe you put some more picnic tables there. Thank you.

**Dana Ketcham:** Yeah. You're the expert.

**Unidentified Male:** Not so much. [unintelligible]

**Dana Ketcham:** For adults.

**Unidentified Male:** And that's for the adults.

**Dana Ketcham:** There's--little ones were for kids but if you wanted to have wine and beer, you could--Picnic Table D is the only spot where you can get a permit.

**Unidentified Male:** And that's wine, beer, heroin, whatever you want.

**Chair:** Depends on what time you get there, right?

**Unidentified Male:** The children's area picnic tables, are they being maxed out? Close to maxed out?

**Dana Ketcham:** I'd have to look but I think [unintelligible]--

**Unidentified Male:** On weekends?

**Dana Ketcham:** Yeah. And I do believe that we gotta get adults migrating down to the children's picnic areas. I mean, that's part of it, too. But they're heavily utilized. Yeah.

**Unidentified Male:** They look it. I'm just wondering if they were pulling permits and they are.

**Dana Ketcham:** Yeah, yeah.

**Unidentified Male:** Thank you.

**Chair:** Okay. Back to my list of lists. That would be Richard Ivanhoe.

**Richard Ivanhoe:** Yeah. Richard Ivanhoe, District 5. Last month when we had our meeting June 8th, there was a group in Civic Center Plaza. They also were on the street. They were also in City Hall. Is that all RPD or is that three separate permits?

**Dana Ketcham:** This is the three separate comments. So when we talk about according to the [unintelligible] Civil Center, so they had a permit to use City Hall. They went to get Scott to get a street permit and then they rented that space from us. And when we do events like that, we are really cognizant of keeping spaces open so that's why we encourage the [unintelligible] only because then the grass areas are open for kids. We always make the playgrounds stay open except occasionally at the very last minutes sometimes we'll--with really big events we'll close them. We, you know, we work to keep spaces open because Civic Center is also the park of the Tenderloin and it's important that we want to remember that and people don't, you know, always remember.

**Chair:** Okay. Anthony.

**Anthony Cuadro:** This slide--I think the last slide--you have.

**Chair:** Whoopsie.

**Dana Ketcham:** Oh, sorry.

**Anthony Cuadro:** All right. I just had clarification. The Stern Grove figures or whatever, is that inclusive of--I forget the name of that building is?

**Dana Ketcham:** Trocadero. That's it. That's what I was talking about. That's the--when I say people sleep overnight in the Golden Gate Park--

**Anthony Cuadro:** Okay.

**Dana Ketcham:** Even though now it's illegal because you can't be in there overnight, that's the Trocadero.

**Anthony Cuadro:** Okay. And that's the story also.

**Dana Ketcham:** People love it because you can get married outside in the grove--

**Anthony Cuadro:** Yeah.

**Dana Ketcham:** And then it's really beautiful.

**Anthony Cuadro:** And then just two other small things. So I can see like the revenues were substantially, well, sort of for Kezar Stadium, down in--

**Dana Ketcham:** Well, it was closed.

**Anthony Cuadro:** 2015. Is--that was related to that--

**Dana Ketcham:** It was for nine months. Yeah. Kezar Stadium, you know, revenues, it's really more about serving the kids in the--and folks like that.

**Anthony Cuadro:** Oh. And then the rec center rentals were especially going up every year and then 2015 they went down slightly?

**Dana Ketcham:** I think it's partially because we got funding to keep some of the rec centers open more so like we got funding to keep [unintelligible] open. We got funding to keep Sunset Rec open on Mondays. So there was some funding. So when--because, again, we typically only rent rec centers--

**Anthony Cuadro:** Yeah.

**Dana Ketcham:** When it's closed.

**Anthony Cuadro:** Good. And then the last thing I had to ask is Boxer Stadium has like a pro rugby team playing in it and how has that been going? Are they paying the \$600 fee, are they paying--

**Dana Ketcham:** No, they pay more.

**Anthony Cuadro:** Okay.

**Dana Ketcham:** They pay more. They're [unintelligible].

**Anthony Cuadro:** Okay.

**Dana Ketcham:** But it's--I can't remember how much they pay per use. I think it's about \$5,000 because a lot of other, you know, there's staff fees, there--

**Anthony Cuadro:** Yes.

**Dana Ketcham:** But it's going well. Pretty well. They haven't had the crowds that they wanted. Their team's not that good. I don't know if you're a rugby fan.

**Anthony Cuadro:** I play.

**Dana Ketcham:** Yeah, but it's--we had a few hiccups in the very beginning. They wanted the goals changed and they didn't want to pay for it. You know, they'll--all works itself out. That's a very good franchise. It's an interesting character.

**Anthony Cuadro:** Is that a long-term lease or--

**Dana Ketcham:** No.

**Anthony Cuadro:** Okay.

**Dana Ketcham:** No. We're just testing it to see how it goes.

**Chair:** Anybody--any other members have any other questions?

**Jordyn Aquino:** Yes.

**Chair:** Jordyn?

**Jordyn Aquino:** Yes. Going back to Special Events, Dana?

**Dana Ketcham:** Hm-hmm.

**Jordyn Aquino:** Does the permit fee also include like, you know, the set-up time for those Special Events? [unintelligible]

**Dana Ketcham:** No, the set-up event--the set-up days are half of the fee.

**Jordyn Aquino:** Oh, okay.

**Dana Ketcham:** For each facility, except in certain places we don't do that. Right? Union Square because we found that people who were spending the money to rent Union Square didn't--found it less costly to rent it for more days and take more time to set-up than to bring the people in and we wanted to get that park back [unintelligible] as quickly as possible so we charged it just as an incentive.

And then there's also a lot of other fees. So, for instance, we have a big event in [Hellman] Hollow. There's a ground regeneration fee that's carried with it which goes towards bringing in seed and aerating because when you put big events on grass, it creates what we call compaction. And that really harms the grass. So we charge an assessment for that. We charge for all of our staff time. We charge for park rangers to help monitor events.

**Jordyn Aquino:** Okay. All those pieces.

**Dana Ketcham:** And even when you look at like the outside [bands]? Their staff fees. There's \$100,000 of staff fees on top of that that actually isn't in those numbers. As a reimbursement. [unintelligible]

**Jordyn Aquino:** So is there an amount of hours that you can like reserve or like set up an event before it actually occurs or how do--

**Dana Ketcham:** Varies by site.

**Jordyn Aquino:** Okay.

**Dana Ketcham:** And event. Try and, you know, for instance AIDS Walk starts loading in on Friday through Sunday.

**Chair:** I worked the Nike Women's Marathon and I know they load in at least a day, if not two days beforehand.

**Dana Ketcham:** Right. They took a whole week to get loaded--

[simultaneous discussion]

**Dana Ketcham:** Outside bands is in for the whole [unintelligible] so two weeks before and the other meadows a week before.

**Jordyn Aquino:** Okay.

**Dana Ketcham:** So it varies by that. But we understand that it's an impact on the public. You know, and, you know, and I think--when I started in this job, it was during the downturn in the economy and we had--when you look at these permit numbers, we actually didn't have, you know, our permit numbers were even lower. That was in 2009. But we didn't have an

accounting system. We didn't have accounts receivable system. We didn't even know when people paid us. And we never employed a park [unintelligible] so there was an incentive at that time about revenues because we were laying people off right and left. But, you know, we found that--I think there's a prevalence now which how do we efficiently serve the public. I mean, when you talk about, you know, why did--well, you don't have the [unintelligible] here but you know, why--because we actually improved services so people can get through to us. It used to be--we used to have a half-time person taking--we'd only have one person that would take picnic calls. That person wasn't in, no one could help you. It was all handwritten in boxed. So we've made Facilities much more available. We work really, really hard to do that. You can apply online. You can call on the phone. There are a variety of different ways to do it.

**Jordyn Aquino:** All right. Thank you.

**Chair:** Linda.

**Linda D'Avirro:** I have a quick question and it is self-serving, I will say. You know, on some of these Special Event things, I know that you sign. Are there some sort of a cut-off where you have a staff person that's available and is with you during the event or at least making sure the event--is there a size element or a price element where you decide that and my self-serving part of it is, you know, this is our fourth year for Saturday In The Park and I'm wondering how we can go about requesting one of those people--

**Dana Ketcham:** Sure.

**Linda D'Avirro:** To help us to have somebody on-site.

**Dana Ketcham:** Well, we should have that conversation. We chose not to and we worked a lot to get you so you weren't incurring those costs because we charge for those--

**Linda D'Avirro:** Oh, okay.

**Dana Ketcham:** People. So--

**Linda D'Avirro:** Okay, so there is--is there a cost or a size. That goes back to--

**Dana Ketcham:** It varies.

**Linda D'Avirro:** Okay.

**Dana Ketcham:** So if you're coming in and, you know, we have all sorts of rules about driving in parks. No staking in parks. All these. So if you're doing something new and you're a new event and you're setting up in a grass area, we're gonna put a park ranger on it. For instance, if you want to do--one of our--this will--you'll like this one, Richard. So we have ample--people who want to do amplified sound in some of the kind of more secluded meadows in Golden Gate Park, like Old Speedway Meadow. And all of a sudden we found that these like amplified sound things turn into rogue events with thousands of people there. So Diane, I think,

came up with this great concept which is we have a park ranger assigned and if there's more than 400 people, the music gets turned off. So, you know, you have to control your crowds because the site can't accommodate. You know, and so, you know, that would have a park--you know, setting a--

**Linda D'Avirro:** This is a park ranger thing. This isn't [unintelligible]--

**Dana Ketcham:** Well, like we assign a gardener in some cases to monitor set-up. That's more to make sure that if you're gonna drive a vehicle, that you have--you have to put plywood under the wheels to avoid compaction, which we talked about.

**Linda D'Avirro:** Okay.

**Dana Ketcham:** So we have lots of rules.

**Unidentified Female:** Linda, [unintelligible] tell them what the--and that's how we determine who that staff is.

**Dana Ketcham:** Well, we should talk about [unintelligible]

[simultaneous discussion]

**Chair:** Ooh, that sounds good. Moving on. Denis. Quick question.

**Denis Mosgofian:** You mentioned on the big events for the set-up charges that you--they pay one-half the fee. Is that per day?

**Chair:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** So then Outside Lands is paying amount?

**Chair:** Well, Outside Lands is paying way more than those because it's the way the contract's done, but take Hardly Strictly Bluegrass. They pay one-half of the Hellman Hollow fee per day.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Per day--

**Chair:** Yeah.

**Denis Mosgofian:** So it's for basic. I got it. Okay. That's--I just wanted your thoughts. Yeah.

**Patricia Delgado:** My question is--Pat Delgado, District 9. Is with regard to amplification. Do you have guidelines of when it can start and when it has to end?

**Dana Ketcham:** Absolutely.

**Chair:** Oh, yeah.

**Dana Ketcham:** So we have all sorts of rules around our parks, depending on the park. Generally, a neighborhood park, we don't do amplified sound except a neighborhood park-sponsored event. Okay? So we do movie nights at a number of parks. Actually, we went to the Commission and got that adopted. You know, and so it has to be a neighborhood group that wants to sponsor it. The other places, like Golden Gate Park, it's generally a four-hour limit. There have been cases when we've gone to the Commission and gotten longer in certain parks, like Potrero has a music festival thing that's going on and it's got mixed in to tie in Oyster Fest running longer. We went to the Commission and got approval. It varies by park site. Union Square has a two-hour limitation. Justin Hermann Plaza also because--on weekdays because as a office [unintelligible] things you can only have amplified sound during lunch hour or after 5. There is--it's all--you want to look at--it's on our web-site [unintelligible] telling people because it actually helps to go on the web-site, this [unintelligible] argue with us. We can say, see, it says right here.

**Patricia Delgado:** What is the cut-off?

**Dana Ketcham:** Generally, 10 p.m. And not on a week day. And it depends where.

**Patricia Delgado:** Okay.

**Chair:** That also--I'll also tell you from personal experience, not just in terms of time, but in terms of decibels. Right?

**Dana Ketcham:** Yes.

**Chair:** In terms of volume.

**Unidentified Female:** Right.

**Chair:** So when I, you know, done the Nike Women's Marathon for I think I'm 9 years, I production managed directly adjacent to the De Young, which is also directly adjacent to the street. We were told 7 o'clock it starts. It never gets above 92 decibels of sound within 100 yard radius and they held us to that. And I had a park ranger with me the entire time so that when the community came, which they did, with coffee cups and pajamas, going, "What the hell are you doing here?" it's like, "No, we've been here the whole time and we're fully abiding--you see this guy? He's got the park ranger thing? Talk to him 'cause he's running this decibel reader." So it's not just about time. It's also about volume and it's about how much it impacts the community.

**Dana Ketcham:** 7 a.m. is a tough one.

**Chair:** Yeah, it's a tough one. It was a tough one to defend.

**Dana Ketcham:** So we had--Nike is a funny story because they did a sound check at like 6--at 5 a.m.--o'clock and it's heard--like a--

**Chair:** Throughout the whole City.

**Dana Ketcham:** Sunset District and we fined them--

**Patricia Delgado:** Oh.

**Dana Ketcham:** Over \$10,000. And then we never had that problem again.

**Chair:** I can tell you from the production company's standpoint, because I worked for Taylor Street, it was--we had a decibel meter and every one of our kids--

**Dana Ketcham:** They have--they have the park rangers, a decibel, but it's still--you know, your Sunday morning. I mean, I feel for the Richmond District 'cause it gets--a lot of races run through the Richmond District to get into Golden Gate Park. So when we did--there was this new race that wanted to come, all of the rock 'n' roll, half-marathon. And we're like, not another event in the Richmond District. So they actually started in the Richmond District with no amplified sound. Clear out. And that's up Polk Street, Civic Center. Finishes there but we kind of moved it to the other side. So there's--and--and we basically have told people who want to do races, we're done. No more. We're full. You know. You can't have another race. You can't do another road closure. Nike's gone and we are looking to replace it with another kind of really different sort of things but not--

**Chair:** They changed the route then.

**Dana Ketcham:** They did.

**Chair:** They'd not gonna run through your house.

**Richard Rothman:** They're running through mine.

**Dana Ketcham:** That was good for the people of the Richmond, not so good for the people of the Marina. But.

**Chair:** All right. Any other members have any other questions for Dana? I have one.

**Dana Ketcham:** Uh-oh.

**Chair:** No, it's not a bad one. It's just a general one. So Richard Rothman's touched on enforcement. And I've heard from you guys that enforcement at a large level is pretty easy to attain, right? If it's a big event, you have park rangers. Whatever. I've watched Zach Taylor, who was my PSA, break up fist-fights between adults on who had the permit for the picnic table for their kid's party. First of all, I want to go on record as saying that I don't think that a park services manager should be the referee of warring factions who both had permits in their hands

[SIREN] and then I also think it's hard for them, clearly, to really know, whoo, somebody didn't like that comment. [DOOR] Thank you.

**Dana Ketcham:** I thought we were having an earthquake [unintelligible]. I was about to go under the table.

**Chair:** Wow. Don't say that. Gesundheit. So I guess my question is on a smaller level, not when we're talking about large picnics. We're talking about small, small reserve tables.

**Dana Ketcham:** It is a [unintelligible].

**Chair:** So who--you talked about park ambassadors but there are no real--

**Dana Ketcham:** Well, we did that at Dolores just because we were trying to address the trash situation that's been so bad and those are park--the park ambassadors are AmeriCorps people that were just found. I mean, I don't--you know, we tell people if you have a problem to call Park Patrol. But it takes Park Patrol awhile there to get there--

**Chair:** Right.

**Dana Ketcham:** When you call. We have maps that you can print out that shows which is your picnic table, if you plan. So that when, you know, somebody set up their party--

**Chair:** You can show them.

**Dana Ketcham:** On the wrong table--

**Chair:** Right.

**Dana Ketcham:** The biggest issue we had at Glen Park was when the picnic tables were moving around. They suddenly became mobile in the middle of [unintelligible] so they're like--

**Chair:** You're like, wait a minute.

**Dana Ketcham:** Where are those picnic tables, you know? We have it--it comes up. People will just go and try and set up. We've had it--I think, you know, Golden Gate Park, I mean, Diane in the office on Saturdays will get periodic phone calls and, you know, we'll go out if it's in Golden Gate Park--

**Unidentified Female:** Right.

**Dana Ketcham:** If it's on a Saturday, we'll send park rangers. It is the gardeners and the park supervisors. We don't have the staff to sit at whatever park--Lafayette or whoever--you know, for the day that there was a problem. And--

**Chair:** Do you see a--I'm sorry, I guess my question is do you see a tipping point at some point when, for example, Lafayette, you know, right now I know is very busy. I'm sure you guys are issuing tons of permits for kids' birthday parties. But at some point, let's say the program, the permit program grows--or the revenue, I should say, grows a little bit, will you--will there be another way? Is there--I mean, you guys got drones that are just sitting around, right? No, I'm honestly--I'm serious because I feel like enforcement--

**Dana Ketcham:** Yeah, that can like dive-bomb you when you're--

**Chair:** No, but at least understand what's going on.

**Dana Ketcham:** No. I mean, we get the phone calls. I would say that on a typical weekend, you know, maybe--I mean, we have people that are in the wrong place because they made a mistake.

**Unidentified Female:** Right.

**Chair:** Yeah, that's not what I'm talking about. I'm talking about somebody just bull-rushing and taking somebody else's spot and having it turn into a conflict or a confrontation where there's no park ranger and there's no PSA.

**Dana Ketcham:** What the person needs to do is call park rangers.

**Chair:** So the person who has that permit says, "Okay, here's the number on the permit."

**Dana Ketcham:** Right.

**Chair:** This is who I should call.

[simultaneous discussion]

**Dana Ketcham:** So get the park ranger on the phone or they'll get us on the phone.

**Chair:** Okay.

**Dana Ketcham:** So they'll call our office--

**Denis Mosgofian:** Don't the permits say what time you're--each person has?

**Chair:** It does. It says all that, Denis, but I'm telling you, people don't even care. They literally will go to fight each other.

**Dana Ketcham:** It's the same issue on athletic fields.

**Chair:** Right.

**Dana Ketcham:** We'll have people that, you know, will kind of change the time fully or they'll just go there and hope no one's looking and then say, "Yeah, I have a permit. I didn't bring it with me."

**Chair:** Right.

**Dana Ketcham:** I mean. And, you know, and it does happen. I wish it didn't happen. It does happen. We'd need, you know, thousands of park rangers to be in the right space at the right time. I think when we have more park rangers and they're out in the neighborhood and they can get to a particular spot more quickly, that can help. But it is. Otherwise we do call, you know, someone with a vest on to go over there. You know, ideally we wouldn't have people going to a fistfight in the middle of Lafayette Park.

**Chair:** Right. Right.

**Denis Mosgofian:** Ideally.

**Dana Ketcham:** Like, you know, there are assholes everywhere.

**Chair:** Sure.

**Dana Ketcham:** And--

**Chair:** That's on the record.

**Denis Mosgofian:** That is on the record. That's okay.

**Chair:** Yeah. She can edit.

**[simultaneous discussion]**

**Chair:** Somebody give Dana the swear jar. Okay. Any other questions for Dana from the members? Any questions from the public? Hearing on this item is closed. Thank you very much. [applause] And Dana, just to be sure that you realize not too many committees that you come and present to clap for you at the end. Right?

**Dana Ketcham:** I know!

**Chair:** So--

**Dana Ketcham:** I appreciate that.

**Chair:** So again, you're--

**Dana Ketcham:** You're a really nice group of [unintelligible]--

**Chair:** We also really understand the challenge that you guys and your staff [unintelligible]--

**Dana Ketcham:** What I like--oh, I guess I'm still on the record, but it's really good to kind of come in and think this through and present it because we don't think we have perfect solutions to anything. We spend a lot of time as a team and we're all gonna be exhausted tomorrow trying to come up with the best way to try and do this with the resources that we have. And there's-- we're not gonna make everybody happy. And, you know, we're not doing it right, we want to hear about it. And we want to fix it. And--and then we also want people to like trust us that, you know, we're here to try and make this work for people. That's really what our hearts are about. And so if you have a problem, you hear about a problem, Linda came to us with a problem last week. She came to me. And I've reached out to the kid involved and we solved it.

**Linda D'Avirro:** Yep.

**Dana Ketcham:** So when you hear about something, don't just say, oh, you know. Send me an email, give me a call. Everyone write to me, give me a call. And we'll try to fix it.

**Chair:** Yeah, and I would really like to stress that to the membership in saying, you know, obviously the situation that happened at Dolores blew out of control. Clearly there are explanations, discussions around all of this. I don't think any of us think that RPD just like randomly spins the wheel and goes, "\$10,000 for this, \$8 for that." I'm sure there's a lot of thought that goes into it.

**Unidentified Female:** [unintelligible]

**Chair:** Right. But again, I think it's more about what you perceive in your own community and then if you feel there's unrest or you feel like something isn't going the way you think it should or the Park Code reads, then call her or email her or her staff and make sure that we're in communication. I'm not singling anybody out. I'm just saying that when situations like that happen, this is exactly where PROSAC's role is.

**Dana Ketcham:** Yeah, and one of my big things is--I don't want people to ever feel there's special favors. If the law--if the rules are written wrong, we fix them. Okay. We don't, you know, we don't want to do, you know, we're very, you know, we do follow the rules. We want to treat everyone the same. We want every citizen in San Francisco to feel everyone treated the same. And if we're not doing something right, we want to fix it.

**Chair:** Okay.

**Dana Ketcham:** But we do have to balance what our gardeners our need and our staff needs in the park, so--

**Richard Rothman:** We thank you.

**Chair:** Awesome.

**Dana Ketcham:** Okay. Thank you.

**Chair:** Okay. So I'm gonna quickly move on. Jeesh. Any announcements?

**Linda D'Avirro:** Oh, I have a quick question.

**Chair:** Yes, please. Linda D'Avirro.

**Linda D'Avirro:** Oh. Linda D'Avirro, District 11. Mime Troop will be at the Jerry Garcia Amphitheater at the end of this month, July 30th. Show starts at 2. If you haven't seen the Mime Troop, this year's performance is gonna be called Schooled and it's--there's a little blurb on them on the--next door I put up there but the music starts at 1:30. It's free. They do take donations but please come by and check out the Amphitheater before our concert series starts in August. But--

**Dana Ketcham:** Yeah, the permit group is going out today. Yesterday. Stacy, you were doing that.

**Stacy Bradley:** [unintelligible] I'm doing Saturdays In the Park.

[simultaneous discussion]

**Chair:** Okay, any other announcements. I have one. Heather.

**Heather Fuchs:** This is my last meeting. I am attending law school in the fall. And fall is actually [unintelligible] by fall I mean August because that's when school starts so it's been a great, very brief ride. I feel like I've learned a lot more than I ever, ever thought I would ever learn about things like dog-leashing. And I really appreciate it. And I'm really--I'm actually very sad that I have to stop but this is really something that I feel very passionate about and so thank you all for your--

**Chair:** Thank you.

[applause]

[simultaneous discussion]

**Chair:** Okay, any other announcement. Hearing none of them, I'm closing that item. Anything else? You guys?

**Unidentified Male:** Move to adjourn?

**Chair:** Yeah. I move to adjourn.

**Unidentified Male:** All right. Let's go.

**Chair:** This meeting's adjourned.

**End of Document**