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GROUND RULES

• PLEASE SIGN
• TURN OFF CELL PHONES
• DIRECT COMMENTS TO THE FACILITATOR
• RAISE YOUR HAND TO SPEAK
• KEEP TO THE AGENDA
• PLEASE HOLD ALL QUESTIONS FOR THE END
AGENDA

• WELCOME, INTRODUCTIONS, AND OBJECTIVES
• PART 1: 2012 CLEAN & SAFE PARKS BOND
• PART 2: PROJECT OVERVIEW
• PART 3: POTENTIAL SCOPE IMPROVEMENTS
• PART 4: FEEDBACK
MEETING OBJECTIVES

• PRESENT OVERVIEW OF PREVIOUS PUBLIC FEEDBACK
• PRESENT POTENTIAL PROJECT PROPOSAL
• OBTAIN ADDITIONAL COMMUNITY FEEDBACK TO FURTHER CONCEPT DESIGN
PART 1

2012 CLEAN & SAFE PARKS BOND
BOND OVERVIEW

2012 Clean & Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond Program Summary

In November of 2012, the citizens of San Francisco passed Proposition B, $195 M General Obligation Bond, known as the 2012 Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond.

The $195M in bond funding is divided into two allocations based on the jurisdiction of the parks and facilities scheduled to receive funding, with $160.5M committed to the Recreation and Parks Department and $34.5M dedicated to the San Francisco Port.

The objectives of this bond program are:
• Fix and improve specified neighborhood parks
• Renovate parks and playgrounds in poor physical condition
• Improve trails, forestry, and implement water conservation
• Improve park open space and habitat in Golden Gate Park, McLaren Park, and Lake Merced
• Attract matching community and philanthropic support
# BOND OVERVIEW

2012 Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond Budget Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Parks (includes pool renovations)</td>
<td>$99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterfront (Port Projects)</td>
<td>$34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failing Playgrounds</td>
<td>$15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Opportunity Grants</td>
<td>$12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Forestry, Trails, and Water Conservation</td>
<td>$13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citywide Programs (GGP/McLaren Park/Lake Merced)</td>
<td>$21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$195M</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lake Merced Improvement Project**  
$2M
PART 2

PROJECT OVERVIEW
COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT, SCHEDULE & BUDGET

COMMUNITY MEETINGS (3 OR MORE) DECEMBER 2015 – MAY 2017:

• MEETING #1: INTRODUCTION & PUBLIC INPUT (held November 3, 2016)
• MEETING #2: DRAFT PLAN & PUBLIC INPUT (Today)
• MEETING #3: PRESENTATION OF CONCEPT PLAN

PROJECT BUDGET $2M:

Estimated Construction Budget: $1.3M

Estimated Soft Cost Budget: $700k

Includes:
• Project management
• Architectural and engineering design services
• Construction management
• Permits, surveys, reports
• Testing and regulatory agencies review fees

TENTATIVE PROJECT SCHEDULE:

2016

2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JAN</th>
<th>FEB</th>
<th>MAR</th>
<th>APR</th>
<th>MAY</th>
<th>JUN</th>
<th>JUL</th>
<th>AUG</th>
<th>SEP</th>
<th>OCT</th>
<th>NOV</th>
<th>DEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PLANNING (8 MO)    DESIGN (6 MO, TBD)

2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JAN</th>
<th>FEB</th>
<th>MAR</th>
<th>APR</th>
<th>MAY</th>
<th>JUN</th>
<th>JUL</th>
<th>AUG</th>
<th>SEP</th>
<th>OCT</th>
<th>NOV</th>
<th>DEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BID/AWARD (5 MO)    CONSTRUCTION (6 MO, TBD)

2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JAN</th>
<th>FEB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OPEN
PROJECT OBJECTIVES

Identify opportunities to protect & improve lifestyle programming, facilities, infrastructure, and natural resources at Lake Merced.

Develop a project plan utilizing:
• Community input
• Findings from the 2011 Lake Merced Watershed Report
• Rec & Park Strategic Plan programming goals

The department will not be able address all of the items identified in this presentation with the 2012 Bond funds, this is a starting point for community discussion.
COMMUNITY MEETING #1 FEEDBACK

Perimeter Trail Bike Path Improvements
- Create a bike fast lane
- Provide pedestrian/bike safety signage
- Increase path width
- Trail surface improvements caused by root intrusion (south and west side of lake)
- Bike speed signs

Address erosion and falling trees at Harding trails

Provide signage: “Don’t feed birds/wildlife”

Provide animal safe trash cans (program is in place to provide these outside of bond funds)

Attention to the PUC/RPD Memorandum of Understanding
- What has the PUC reimbursement to RPD been since 2013? ($415K Annually for maintenance activities)

Attention to Boating Community
- Provide Restrooms near Boathouse
- Provide 25% of Bond funds as seed money for boat storage
- Address Boathouse Bay door & human doors (order is in place to repair outside of bond funds)
- Provide increased boat storage at Boathouse

Address overgrowth at the North Lake Dock
- Trimming/pruning needs to be a Fall project (outside of bird nesting period)

North bridge
- Identify who has authority to lock the North Bridge
- Create a walking connection on the south side of the bridge to help connect walking trails

Water recreation
- What is the status of water levels?
- Fishery – revise riprap edge for fishing access
- Provide ADA accessibility at fishing areas
PART 3

POTENTIAL SCOPE IMPROVEMENTS
STRATEGY

ADDRESS AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENTS:

1. PERIMETER PATH IMPROVEMENTS ($600K)
   • Provide pedestrian/bike safety improvements
   • Provide expanded fitness opportunities
   • Repair asphalt path at root intrusion at approximately 15 locations
   • Center line lane striping & distance labels
   • Pedestrian/bike safety signage
   • Replace Parcouse stations with new Greenfields outdoor fitness stations (12-14 stations)

2. NATURAL RESOURCE IMPROVEMENTS ($620K)
   • Address areas in need of erosion control
   • Erosion control related to storm drain outfalls
   • Erosion control related to the trail edge
   • Provide habitat protection signage – “do not feed/release”
   • Replace/Update interpretive environmental signage around the lake

3. PUBLIC AMENITIES ($580K)
   • Provide a new restroom facility

GENERAL PROJECT COST ($200K)
   • Permit fees, Regulatory, Construction Management, Consultants
PERIMETER PATH

- Repair asphalt path at root intrusion at approximately 15 locations
  - Scope would include removal +/- 10 linear feet of full pathway at affected areas
  - Fully regrade and repave path surface

- Center line lane striping & distance labels
  - Visually divide path with a painted centerline to delineate direction of travel and increase pedestrian safety, full length of the perimeter path
  - MTA guidelines recommend painting centerline at path widths of 8'-0" and greater
  - Provide painted distance markers at ¼ mile intervals to promote fitness activities

- Pedestrian/bike safety signage to increase pedestrian safety

- Replace Parcourse stations with new Greenfields outdoor fitness stations
  - Provide fitness stations spaced approximately ½ mile intervals (where achievable) around perimeter of lake
  - Provide a cluster of stations at Sunset Circle
  - Approximately 12-14 stations total
NATURAL RESOURCE IMPROVEMENTS

EROSION CONTROL

- Address issues at storm drain outfalls

- Address issues at the trail edge & stair erosion

Potential solution
NATURAL RESOURCE IMPROVEMENTS

- Provide habitat protection signage – “do not feed/release”

- Replace/Update interpretive environmental signage around the lake
PUBLIC AMENITIES

PROVIDE NEW RESTROOM FACILITY

• POTENTIAL LOCATIONS FOR DISCUSSION
  • A – SUNSET CIRCLE, high volume area with large parking log
  • B – IMPOUND LAKE, farthest distance from an existing restroom facility
PART 4

FEEDBACK
FEEDBACK

What are your thoughts on the three program elements?
• How would you prioritize the presented options?
• Is there anything you would add?

What are your concerns, how can it be better?
• Facility improvements
• Programming improvements
• Site deficiencies/opportunities

What do you like about Lake Merced?

What are your priorities for the park?

Next Steps:
• Develop Concept Plan
• Community Meeting #3
• Update project website with progress
THANK YOU!

Please submit additional comments to:

Levi Conover, Project Manager  
Recreation & Parks Department  
30 Van Ness Ave, 3rd Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94102  

Phone: 415-581-2572  
Email: levi.conover@sfgov.org  
Web: www.parks.sfgov.org  

Project website: http://sfrecpark.org/project/lake-merced-2012-bond-project/