1. Community Need. The City and County of San Francisco, Recreation and Park Department (SFRPD) is submitting this application for $200,000 to develop and implement a Remediation Action Plan (RAP) for 900 Innes Property, Parcel 3. The 900 Innes Parcel 3 Brownfield Cleanup Project ("Project") is located in northern California in the City and County of San Francisco ("City"). The City has 852,469³ living within 47 square miles—equal to 17,397 residents per square mile—making it second only to New York City in population density. San Francisco lacks sufficient open space and with limited undeveloped land, SFRPD is now acquiring and transforming brownfields into recreation and open space to meet the recreational needs of the City’s growing population. The project site, located in Zip Code Census Track Area 94124, functioned as a boat building and ship repair facility for over 120 years. It is now a fenced-off brownfield with soils contaminated with heavy metals, volatile organic compounds, biocides and cuprous compound. The site has access to the bay and provides a tremendous opportunity to convert this open space to a nationally recognized brownfield conversion.

1.a. Targeted Community and Brownfield Description

Targeted Community - The project is located in Bayview Hunters Point (BVHP) neighborhood, now a predominantly industrial and residential district, but transitioning to a mixed-use development pattern. Historically, polluting industries were concentrated in the BVHP neighborhood and left a legacy of contaminated sites and physical blight. During WWII, the U.S. Naval Shipyard at Hunters Point attracted workers, many of whom were African Americans who migrated from the South. During the U.S. Navy’s 35-year operation of the Hunters Point Shipyard, hazardous substances and radioactive wastes were released into the environment. After the Navy closed its in 1971, the site was leased to a private ship repair company that caused additional contamination.

Demographic Information - The BVHP neighborhood faces multiple challenges, including environmental pollution, blight conditions, significant poverty and concentrations of public housing, high health risk, lack of safe recreational opportunities, residential isolation, at-risk youth, poor performing schools, and high crime rates. These challenges place residents outside the mainstream of San Francisco life. Approximately 4% of the City’s population (Census Tract 023103) reside in the BVHP neighborhood and represent a very diverse population with 79% racial minorities, including 35.1% African American, 28% Asians/Pacific Islander, and 24.8% Latino or Hispanic origin⁴ (see Table 1.)

| Table 1 - Demographic Data for Bayview Hunters Point, San Francisco, CA, and the US |
|----------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                       | BVHP   | San Francisco  | State of California | National        |
| Population                            | 35,309¹ | 852,469²       | 38,802,500³       | 318,857,056²    |
| Whites                                | 19.5%¹  | 53.8%²         | 73.2%            | 77.4%²         |
| African-Americans                     | 35.1%¹  | 5.8%²          | 6.5%²            | 13.2%²         |
| Asians                                | 28.9%¹  | 34.9%²         | 14.4%²           | 5.4%²          |
| Hispanic/Latinos                      | 24.8¹   | 15.3%²         | 38.6%²           | 17.4%²         |

Source: ¹ U.S. Census Bureau, ACS Demographic and Housing Estimates, 2009-2013 American Fact-finder Survey, ² ibid
Poverty – The BVHP is one of the poorest neighborhoods in San Francisco and the Bay region. Minorities represent 79% of the population with Blacks and Hispanics represent the highest population in this Zip Code Area. Theses racial groups are generally are twice more likely to be among the working poor than are Whites and Asians. In 2013, the working-poor rates of Blacks and Hispanics were 13.3 percent and 12.8 percent, respectively. BVHP is one of five districts in the Bay Area that have a concentration of “extreme poverty,” with 39% of the residents living below 200% of the Census poverty threshold. The hillside area south of the project site includes three San Francisco Housing Authority developments that provide 267 units of low income housing for residents. Increasing housing prices and lack of affordable rental housing contribute to San Francisco’s widening income and poverty disparities in San Francisco. As of August 2015, the average two-bedroom rental unit in San Francisco costs $3,853 per month. Area poverty is further demonstrated by a significantly lower median household incomes - $46,322 as compared to $75,604 citywide. These housing prices lead to overcrowded conditions and lack of mobility for BVHP residents.

Unemployment – The neighborhood also experiences higher rates of unemployment when compared to the citywide average. BVHP’s unemployment rate of 16% is more than four times higher than San Francisco’s average unemployment rate of 3.5% and three times higher than the National Average of 5.3%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 - Income and Earning Data for BVHP, San Francisco, CA, and the US</th>
<th>BVHP</th>
<th>San Francisco</th>
<th>California</th>
<th>National</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median Household Income</td>
<td>$50,416</td>
<td>$75,604</td>
<td>$61,094</td>
<td>$53,046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poverty Rate</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>6.17%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Education Attainment - The BVHP has one of the lowest levels of educational attainment in San Francisco, with 29.6% of residents having no high-school diploma compared to 14.4% of residents citywide. Of all the people in the labor force for 27 weeks or more in 2013, those with

5 https://www.rentjungle.com/average-rent-in-san-francisco-rent-trends/
7 San Francisco Indicator Project, Bayview Neighborhood.
less than a high school diploma had a higher working-poor rate (19.2 percent) than did high school graduates with no college (8.9 percent). Education is inversely related to the degree of exposure to indoor and outdoor pollution.

**Crime** - Violent crime and property damage in BVHB exceed the Citywide average with 105.8 violent offenses and 175.4 property crimes per 1,000 residents. There are more than twice the number of violent crimes than the Citywide average, and this type of activity inhibits social interactions and social cohesion. 17 percent of BVHP residents surveyed indicated that felt unsafe both day and night in their neighborhood. Parental concerns about neighborhood crime strongly influence their willingness to allow their children to actively commute (e.g. walk or bike) to school, influencing children’s levels of physical activity. Witnessing and experiencing community violence also causes longer term behavioral and emotional problems in youth.

**Brownfields** - The BVHP community has a historic and on-going record of impacts from contaminants. Historically, polluting industries were concentrated in the BVHP neighborhood and left a legacy of contaminated sites and physical blight. The 900 Innes, Parcel 3 site functioned as a boat building and ship repair facility for over 120 years. It is now a fenced-off brownfield with soils contaminated with heavy metals, volatile organic compounds, biocides and cuprous compound. It is located within the India Basin Neighborhood, with residential housing to the north and west of the property, and commercial and open space property to the east of the site. The site is located just east of the Hunters Point Shipyard were hazardous substances and radioactive wastes have been released into the environment. Several areas of the Hunters Point Shipyard were found to be contaminated with polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), trichloroethylene and other solvents, pesticides, petroleum hydrocarbons, and metals; and the Shipyard was placed on the National Priorities List as a “Superfund” site in 1989. Currently, the Shipyard is subdivided into parcels and the U.S. Navy is leading site investigations and remediation with EPA oversight. As each parcel of the Shipyard is remediated and prepared for reuse, the Navy is transferring the Shipyard in stages to San Francisco for a 700-acre mixed-use redevelopment project that will create 12,000 housing units, as well as retail and commercial space. BVHP has several other brownfield sites. Based on information from the California Environmental Protection Agency Geotracker and the Department of Toxic Substances Control’s EnviroStar databases, there are more than 850 recorded hazardous materials and petroleum release sites, with approximately 180 open cases and 82 sites where the status is unknown. Following are examples of contaminated sites in the BVHP area: This has been a heavily impacted area, and while some actions have been completed but there are still a lot of concern from the community about exposure to hazardous materials.

- PG&E Hunter’s Point, 1000 Evans Avenue: Voluntary cleanup is currently in progress.
- Hunter’s Point Naval Shipyard, Parcel B (965 acres): Active federal superfund site.
- Yosemite Slough Sediment Site, 1250 Yosemite Avenue
- San Francisco Bay and Islais Creek are both nearby and considered “impaired.
- 900 Innes Avenue

---

9 Ibid., p 3.
10 City and County of San Francisco, Office of the Controller - City Services Auditor. 2015 San Francisco City Survey.
Cumulative Environmental Issues - The project area has been identified as a disadvantaged community by the California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool (CalEnviroScreen). The California Environmental Protection Agency (CalEPA) use this tool developed by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) to identify communities most burdened by pollution from multiple sources and the most vulnerable to its effects based on geographical, socioeconomic, public health, and environmental hazard criteria. The information on Table 3 describes the cumulative impacts on the surrounding population that lead to negative public health effects, exposure or environmental degradation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>CT 26100</th>
<th>CT 23102</th>
<th>CT 23103</th>
<th>Indicator Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>6,810</td>
<td>3,478</td>
<td>3,478</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>Exposure to diesel PM has been shown to have numerous adverse health effects including irritation to the eyes, throat and nose, cardiovascular and pulmonary disease, and lung cancer. Ultrafine Diesel PM is of concern because particles penetrate deeper in the lung. Children and those with respiratory diseases are most vulnerable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxic Release</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Toxicity-weighted concentrations of modeled chemical releases to air from facility emissions and off-site incineration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Density</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Health effects of concern from these pollutants include heart and lung disease, cancer, and increased mortality. Exposure to air pollutants from vehicle emissions has been linked to adverse birth outcomes, such as low birth weight and preterm birth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleanup Sites</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>BVHP has 3.4 active brownfields and 4.1 leaking underground storage tanks per square mile. Some of these “brownfield” sites are also underutilized due to cleanup costs or concerns about liability. These include the storage and disposal of hazardous materials on land and in underground storage tanks at various types of commercial, industrial, and military sites. Each census tract was scored based on the sum of the adjusted weights for sites it contains or is near. A demographic study of socioeconomic factors in communities in Florida found that census...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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tracts with Superfund sites had significantly higher proportions of African Americans, Latinos and people employed in “blue collar” occupations than census tracts that did not contain a Superfund site (Kearney and Kiros, 2009).

| Hazardous Waste Generators and Facilities | Top 30% | Hazardous waste by definition that is potentially dangerous or harmful to human health or the environment |
| Groundwater Threats | 26 | 40 | 95 |
| Solid Waste | 38 | 41 | 69 |
| Asthma | 50 | 95 | 95 |
| Low Birth Weigh | 89 | 84 | 100 |

Environscreen Source: California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool: CalEnviroScreen Version 2.0 (CalEnviroScreen 2.0). CalEnviroScreen is a screening methodology that can be used to help identify California communities that are disproportionately burdened by multiple sources of pollution.

Residents in BVHP suffer from higher rates of several diseases in comparison to San Francisco as a whole: the adult hospitalization rate for diabetes is three times higher; congestive heart failure is almost two times higher; and asthma is two times higher; when compared to citywide rates. The prevalence of asthma in BVHP is still far above those for the rest of San Francisco, and those for California as a whole. BVHP’s African American and Latino residents have a higher prevalence of overweight and obesity rates that are contributing to poor health outcomes. Factors such as limited physical exercise and lack of access to safe outdoor areas for recreational activities, combined with poor eating habits and food insecurity, are leading to higher obesity rates among San Francisco’s poor and minority groups.

1. Impacts on the Targeted Community. BVHP is disproportionately burdened by environmental pollution from contaminated sites, industrial facilities and mobile sources traveling on nearby freeways. Stationary pollution sources include the toxic contamination and

12 SF Department of Public Health’s Bayview Hunters Point Community Health Status data submitted to SF Environment for the San Francisco Healthy Homes project (Oct. 2011)
13 SF Department of Public Health, Promotion and Prevention, Shape Up San Francisco, Obesity Fact Sheet (2008), showing percentage of African Americans in San Francisco had a BMI of 30.0 or higher was almost 3 times higher, at 34.2%, and the percentage of Latinos/Hispanic with BMI of 30.0 or higher was almost 2x higher, at 27.0% compared to 14.8% citywide.
development work at the former Hunters Point Naval Shipyard site, toxic contamination at the former PG&E Hunters Point power plant, the Southeast Sewage Treatment plant, and many under-regulated and unregulated dirty industries.BVHP is also burdened by impacts of air pollution generated by thousands of vehicles traveling daily on two congested freeways that border the community, US Highway 101 and Interstate 280. These freeways are backed up for hours during the morning and evening commute. More than 5,000 heavy-duty diesel trucks travel daily on these two freeways and approximately 1,000 diesel trucks travel daily on BVHP’s local roadways. Episodic with higher levels of fine particulates contributes more than 85% of total inventoried. There is also evidence that the effect of air and traffic-related pollutants on respiratory illness, including childhood asthma, are more severe in communities with high exposure to Particulate Matter 2.5 and Diesel Particulate Matter.

1.c. Financial Need.
1.c.i. Economic Conditions. With a large aging park system, 220 parks and recreation facilities, many more than 50 years old, City park funding must be prioritized to replace unsafe playgrounds, fix restrooms, improve access for the disabled, and ensure the seismic safety of park and recreation facilities. Capital funding secured through general obligation bonds provide long-awaited investment to our citywide parks; however, even with three park bonds issued (2002, 2008, 2012), there is still more than $1 billion in deferred maintenance and modernization needs remaining. Also, in general, an educated, vocal public steers the direction of park investment toward their neighborhoods with matching funds secured by donation or professional grant writers. Sadly, disadvantaged communities, like the BVHP, do not have these same resources to present their community needs, which means less investment in these communities. The site cleanup funded by the US EPA Brownfield Cleanup Grant, and conceptual plan and cost estimates currently being developed will demonstrate that this is a viable project when enlisting the community support for the 2018 Park Bond. It will also put the project in a position to compete for site development funding through local, state and federal funding. The 900 Innes Park project is unique in that it is the first SFRPD project that involves acquiring contaminated property for development into a park - an acquisition process that took more than ten years.

1.c.ii. Economic Effects of Brownfields. Southeastern San Francisco was once an economically thriving community and the industrial heart of the city from the 1850’s to the mid 1900’s, and included manufacturing, industry, power generation, and civilian and military ship and boat building and repair facilities, among other heavy industrial uses. San Francisco residents are now negatively impacted by contaminated vacated land that contributes to community blight. The decrepid structures on the project site have led to trespassers and vandalism on the property and to adjacent property owners. The area was has been a homeless encampment and the due to illegal dumping and graffiti, the area requires twice as much litter abatement/illegal dumping clean-up calls than the city as a whole (311). For decades, the neighborhood has experienced disinvestment, persistent crime and violence, negative health impacts, high unemployment and the physical deterioration of the community as described earlier.

The environmental conditions burdening BVHP exist with other forms of disadvantages that have resulted in poor health outcomes. The neighborhood has limited public spaces where community residents can feel safe and socialize with their neighbors. Research has conclusively shown that neighborhoods with limited access to recreational amenities have higher rates of
premature death and avoidable hospitalizations for chronic disease conditions.

2. Project Description and Feasibility of Success

2. a. Existing Conditions. The proposed project - 900 Innes, Parcel 3 - is a .8 acre site, part of larger 2.4 acres site (excluding approximately 2 acres of undeveloped rights-of-ways) known as the 900 Innes Site. The property is an industrial site that was most recently used for construction equipment storage. The site is partially paved with an access road on the south site and generally slopes towards the San Francisco Bay. There are four wood structures and one corrugated aluminum structure (4,760 SF) in varying states of disrepair on Parcel 3 that are public safety hazards due to their deteriorated conditions. The site previously functioned as a boat building and ship repair facility for over 120 years and is now a fenced-off brownfield site with soils contaminated with heavy metals, volatile organic compounds, biocides and cuprous compound. The project will remove or encapsulate all the contaminated soils and create public open space. Information gathered through the India Basin Waterfront Study process, community outreach process and environmental studies will be used to create a conceptual plan for the park that will inform the remedial action plan.

2. a. ii. Proposed Cleanup Plan. The Site cleanup plan involves the removal or encapsulation of two to four feet of surface soils throughout the site for off haul or encapsulation, and backfill. Additional soils may be removed in areas where structures will be constructed in the future. Institutional controls restricting residential use will be placed on the site in the form of a deed restriction. Given the bifurcation of the site by the Paper Streets, the City will stage the cleanup into four parcels (Parcel 1 through Parcel 3, and the Shipwright’s Cottage) as shown on Figure 2. This will allow remedial activities to be conducted in a phased approach if timing associated with permitting of the other three parcels with a sediment removal component will significantly impact remedial implementation scheduling. The proposal for Parcel 3 involves only excavation of contaminated soil. Removal of the structures will occur as a separate project. The excavation on Parcel 3 was identified as an alternative in the Analysis of Brownfield Cleanup Alternatives Report [Weston], September 2013, and is the most feasible approach to achieve the project goals. These results along with the previous results from the WESTON TBA will be used to prepare a Feasibility Study/Remedial Action Plan (FS/RAP). The California Department of Toxic Substances Control will review and approve the FS/RAP prior to approval of the remedial design for the Site. The FS/RAP would also assess the feasibility and cost of constructing an onsite disposal cell in Parcel 3 and to evaluate potential cost savings of not having to haul material offsite for disposal. This is a remedial alternative that is typically employed on sites where there is no residential development proposed for the site and a strategy that is sometimes used in Green Remediation approaches to keep and manage site contamination on site and to reduce the carbon footprint of the remediation by reducing greenhouse gas generation associated with off site trucking and disposal. It is not anticipated that sea level rise would affect the remedial approach or design for Parcel 3.

2. b. Task Description and Budget Table

Task 1 – Project/Grant Management. SFRPD staff will perform grant management and reporting, including outputs and outcomes in ACRES. Staff will conduct two procurement processes to 1) Select a technical consult firm to prepare the remedial action plan in accordance
with ASTM protocols and consistent with the 900 Innes Avenue Site Analysis of Brownfield Cleanup Alternatives prepared by the U.S. EPA, September 2013; and, 2) Secure a contractor to implement the remediation plan at the site. Staff may also travel to brownfields conferences and workshops. Outputs include ACRES reports, a consultation agreement, and a contract for site remediation.

**Task 2 - Public Outreach and Engagement.** In partnership, non-profit agencies and the Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) will inform the community about the brownfield cleanup effort and document their concerns and incorporate feedback into the remediation plan. Outputs from this task include a minimum of three public meetings or opportunities for public comment on final remediation plan.

**Task 3 - Planning and Permitting.** Activities for the Parcel 3 remediation will consist of the preparation of a Feasibility Study/Remedial Action Plan (FS/RAP) based on the conceptual site plan and use of existing and supplemental data to develop and evaluate remedial alternatives for the site. The selected alternative will be based on evaluation criteria that will include: protection of human health and the environment; effectiveness (long-term and short-term); ability to implement; cost; and sustainability. The FS/RAP will be submitted to the San Francisco Department of Public Health (SFDPH) for review and approval as well as the California State Department of Toxic Substance Control. Additional planning documents prepared include a Construction Quality Assurance Plan (CQAP) and a Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP). These documents will be reviewed and approved by the SFDPH and the EPA. A Site Specific Health and Safety Plan (HASP) will be developed in accordance with Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 29, Part 1910.120 to cover health and safety aspects of the remedial activities. Permitting activities include securing construction related permits and required permits from the Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC). The outputs include permits obtained and the FS/RAP, CQAP, SAP, and HASP documents. Approval of FS/RAP by SFDPH and other stakeholders, and CQAP and SAP approval by EPA are also an output.

**Task 4 - Site Remediation Activities** will be performed by a qualified and licensed contractor(s) procured in accordance with all applicable local, state, and federal requirements. The conceptual remedial approach, based on the Analysis of Brownfield Cleanup Alternatives (ABCA) developed for the site, includes excavation and offsite disposal of soil from 2-4 feet below ground surface, covering of soil in place, and backfilling of soil excavation areas. The outputs from this task will be tons of soil excavated and disposed, acres of land remediated and amount of site readied for development. The amount is based on consultant estimates.

**Task 5 - Remediation Oversight and Reporting.** Field engineering oversight and management of site remedial activities will ensure conformance with the approved RAP and CQAP. Activities include collection and analysis of confirmation samples in accordance with the CQAP and SAP and required environmental monitoring specified the HASP. After completion of remedial activities, a Remedial Action Completion Report (RACR) documenting the results of the remedial activities will be prepared and submitted to the lead regulatory oversight agency for review and approval. The outputs from this task will include the number of confirmation samples collected, amount of environmental monitoring conducted, and RACR preparation.
Task 6 – Department of Toxic Substance Control Oversight (DTSC) has lead regulatory responsibility for investigating and remediating hazardous substances release sites in California. DTSC will provide oversight of the Remedial Action Plan (RAP) and Site Certification. This involves conducting site inspections, review and commenting on both the RAP and preparation of CEQA documents, and review of the completion report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Categories</th>
<th>Project Tasks for GCBPH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractual</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Federal Funding</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Share</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Budget</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cost basis presented in this grant is from the ABCA prepared by Weston which includes excavation and off-site disposal of contaminated soil above the proposed cleanup criteria.

2.c. Cost Share.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>900 Innes Project Contribution</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012 Open Space</td>
<td>$399,000</td>
<td>The City and County of San Francisco is providing up to $764,000 in Open Space Acquisition Funds for Site Remediation.</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.d. Leveraging of Resources. The EPA’s brownfields Cleanup grant will leverage 1) the Community-wide Brownfield Assessment Grant funds secured by the City in 2012 for additional sampling, 2) the Priority Conservation Fund Grant which will fund biological, historical and cultural resource studies, 3) two U.S. EPA Brownfield Clean-up Grants for Parcel 1 and Parcel 2 of the 900 Innes Site and 4) the City Open Space Acquisition Funds which have been identified as eligible match funds for the Brownfield Cleanup grant (Attachment D). The City will attempt to secure additional grants from the EPA and DTSC for other budget items. The work completed by these identified sources will be used to leverage development funding through the 2018-2020 City Park Bond Program as well as other State and Federal Grant Funding sources for transportation and park improvements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>900 Innes Project Contribution</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014 Priority Conservation Area Grant</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Conceptual Planning, Public Outreach, Environmental Studies, biological resources assessment, geomorphology and landscape hydrology studies</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The work completed by these identified sources will be used to leverage development funding through the 2018 City Park Bond Program and State and Federal Grant Funding sources.

3. Community Engagement and Partnerships

3.a. Target community: Communicating Progress. The outreach process will be a cooperative effort led by San Francisco Parks Alliance and the Trust for Public Land, with support from Green Action Network and the A. Phillip Randolph Institute, both local non-profits with expertise in engaging this community. These organizations will distribute multilingual flyers and posters to local businesses, schools, community centers, and public housing projects. This method will assure the more isolated residents have an opportunity to learn about the project and meetings. All notices, key documents and meetings will be translated into Spanish and Chinese which reflects the primary demographics of the area. Additional outreach methods include social media, dedicated email lists, local print and e-newsletters. Meeting materials will also be provided for distribution at community events and through a network of non-profit organizations serving the BVHP community. Public meetings will be announced SFRPD website, http://sfrecpark.org/project/india-basin-capital-improvements/ and the India Basin Waterfront website, located at www.ibwaterfrontparks.com.

To date, SFRPD has held five public meeting and participated in five public events to engaged communities and neighborhood groups on a variety of levels. We have also launched a Community Task Force comprised of City, regional, non-profits, and Bayview community stakeholders, to guide the Project Site remediation, as well as future site programming and the park design process. The Task Focus is working with RPD on the India Basin Waterfront Study (IBWS), that includes the the Project Site, RPD-owned India Basin Open Space, Build Inc.’s proposed “Big Green” Park located at 700 Innes, the future Northside Park (part of the Shipyard-Candlestick development plan), Heron’s Head Park (SF Port property), and the 100’ shoreline zone within PG&E’s former power plant site. The IBWS is a comprehensive planning and action document that presents a clear vision for the parks, trails and open space system founded on specific data, research, analysis, and technical studies and includes ecological principals, sea level rise planning, programming, access and circulation. The Project Team proposes to conduct three additional formal community meetings, each focusing on iterative stages of the brownfield clean-up as well as the larger site planning process. The public meetings will provide opportunities to discuss site clean-up plan and measures incorporated into the plan to minimize air quality impacts on those sensitive populations with respiratory
conditions such as asthma. This will be achieved by minimizing vehicle trips, reducing idling times of trucks, and dust suppression measures on the site.

3.b. Partnerships with Government Agencies. The City and County of San Francisco, Department of Public Health (SFDPH), Environmental Health (E-1) will provide environmental regulatory oversight to this part of the City under delegated authority from two branches of the California Environmental Protection Agency - the Department of Toxic Substances Control and the Regional Water Quality Control Board. SFDPH will provide guidance through the clean-up Project. The Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) (E-2) will provide cleanup oversight. SF Environment has supported this Project by allocating US EPA Brownfields Communitywide Assessment Grant (2012) funds to support the ABCA, sediment testing for Parcel 1 and 2, and the development of the ABCA for the Shipwrights Cottage. The Port of San Francisco (E-3), who developed the Blue Greenway Planning and Design guidelines, is providing consultation on sea level rise strategies and wetland development and management. The San Francisco Bay Coastal Conservancy (E-4) provided a $500,000 grant for public outreach, park planning and environmental studies. (add AWARD Letter) The Bay Conservation and Development Commission (E-5), a long-time supporter of the SF Bay Trail (http://baytrail.org/) and the SF Water Trail (http://sfbaywatertrail.org/), provides general project support. The proposed park project improves access to both of these trail systems.

3.c. Partnerships with Community Based Organizations and Non-Profits. Following is information on our CBO partners with letters of commitment for each partner in Exhibit 4.

- San Francisco Parks Alliance (SFPA) formerly Neighborhood Parks Council (E-6): A non-profit organization with more than 30 years of experience in capacity building and development of playground initiatives. SFPA spearheaded the Blue Greenway project and secured the 2010 USEPA Areawide Planning Grant, that identified this project site for redevelopment. They will coordinate public input, and play a key role in park planning. Contact: Jackie Omotalade, 415-850-5567.

- Parks 94124 (E-8): A trusted organization with deep roots in the community will provide guidance for an effective community planning process within and for the focus community.

- Trust for Public Land (TPL) (E-9): A national non-profit organization with years of experience working with the City on public park projects. TPL will lead the Park Planning project. Contact: Philip Vitale (415) 800-5279.

- Hunters Point Family (HPF) (E10): A grass-roots, community-based organization that provides holistic programs supporting education, leadership and workforce development, arts enrichment, and recreation to at-risk African American youth and young adults living in the Bayview Hunters Point community of San Francisco. RPD will determine if the project can provide opportunities for graduates from the Hunter Point Family Environmental Workforce Development and Job Training Program. (Letter to describe local hire)

- Eco Center of Herons Head Park (E-11): A non-profit organization that will support public outreach efforts by providing a location to host community meetings.

- A. Philip Randolph Institute (APRI): a non-profit organization, has been serving San Francisco and the Bayview-Hunters Point community since 1994 with the mission to support racial equality, economic justice and to advocate for economically disadvantaged communities through community engagement and civic participation.

- GreenAction for Health & Environmental Justice, a multiracial grassroots organization,
works with low-income and working class urban, rural, and indigenous communities to fight environmental racism and build a clean, healthy and just future for all.

4. Project Benefits (20 Points)

4.a.i Health and/or Welfare and Environment. This site provides a tremendous opportunity to address health and social equity issues in this underserved neighborhood. Converting the brownfield into a regional park and Bay Trail expansion will create usable park and open space for the BVHP neighborhood. Local children and adolescents need a safe, inviting space for active play and exercise. This physical activity can help residents maintain a healthy weight, lowering the risk of heart disease, colon cancer, and Type 2 diabetes, and other health conditions resulting from inactivity and obesity. Studies also show that physical activity increases self-esteem and decreases stress and anxiety. The park design will improve the community’s access to this new outdoor space and opportunities for physical fitness. Non-motorized circulation through the neighborhood to existing and planned amenities will be available through the Bay Trail. Interpretive signage will introduce residents of all ages to the history of their neighborhood and local environment, thus instilling the appreciation for their local landscape. The removal of the deteriorated structures on site will eliminate the potential for trespassing, vandalism, homeless encampments and discourage litter and illegal disposal in the area. Rehabilitation of the “blighted” Shipwrights Cottage will acknowledge the historical significance of this part of the area and instill pride in the neighborhood through the improved aesthetics. Greening the space can reduce crime. Evidence suggests that the presence of trees and well-maintained lower understory vegetation can transform barren spaces lands into pleasant, welcoming, well-used places. Such common spaces serve to strengthen ties among residents, increase informal surveillance, and deter crime, thereby creating healthier, safer urban communities.14

4. a.ii. Environmental Benefits. With citywide population growth expected to approach 1 million by 203215 and more than 12,000 new housing units planned for this section of the City, the conversion of 900 Innes Brownfield Property provides a tremendous opportunity to meet the growing recreational needs of this area. The park design community process taking place in 2016 will prioritize the protection and enhancement of important habitats and ecosystems of this urban open space through the following ecological improvements: 1) Increase livability and local-scale biodiversity by reintroducing native plant species; 2) Develop multi-use trails to connect communities and help reduce GHG emissions from transportation; and 3) Implement a neighborhood stormwater management with Low Impact Development (LID) features, in coordination with adjacent parcel owners to protect residents from potential flooding, while improving water quality in the Bay. The park design will consider the importance of facilitating urban ecosystem processes and innovative adaptation strategies to minimize the effect of climate change on resources and livability. Access to the Blue Greenway (a network of parks, trails, beaches and bay access points along 13 miles of San Francisco’s southeastern waterfront16) and Regional Bay Trail System (a five hundred mile trail system that will someday encircle the entire San Francisco Bay17) creates alternative transit opportunities.

15 Association of Bay Area Governments,
16 http://www.sfparksalliance.org/our-work/blue-greenway#sthash.vRdiefZF.dpuf
17 http://baytrail.org/
The India Basin Waterfront Study, a public-private initiative born out of the partnership between SFRPD, TPL, SFPA, and Build Inc. (a private, for-profit developer), has created a clear vision for the integrated development of all publicly accessible parks, trails and open space along the India Basin Shoreline. The scope of the Waterfront Study includes programming, public access and circulation, habitat restoration, ecological and sea level rise strategies, public-private funding and stewardship proposals, and public signage and branding. SFRPD and TPL are conducting a design ideas competition for 900 Innes and India Basin Shoreline Park that will result in the selection of a Design Team and subsequent concept design for 900 Innes, including the Shipwright’s Cottage, and India Basin Shoreline Park. The project is also located in a Priority Development Area (PDA), an integral part of the regional Plan Bay Area, that integrates land use and transportation planning to address accommodating population growth while keeping the region affordable for all our residents, preserving open spaces, protecting our environment, accommodating transportation needs, and reducing greenhouse gas emissions. PDAs require a local commitment to develop more housing and create a pedestrian friendly environment for residents served by transit. PDA have access to regional funding for these developments.

4. b. Environmental Benefits from Infrastructure Reuse/Sustainable Reuse

4.b.i. Policies Planning or Other Tools. In league with the cutting edge culture of design and engineering in the San Francisco Bay region, the cleanup plan will consider the feasibility and cost effectiveness of innovative, alternative techniques. Based on the community’s willingness, the design team will explore and weigh the opportunities and constraints of various approaches. The site has the potential to demonstrate an integrated green infrastructure program that begins with site cleanup and builds a healthy, self-sustaining ecology. The proposed concept plan for the new park at 900 Innes will include the extensive greening and beautification of the neighborhood. Landscape performance benefits will focus on sustainability, minimizing water and fertilizer usage for maintenance, and increasing the Project area’s effectiveness in capturing and treating stormwater runoff, as well as providing climate change-related mitigation at the neighborhood scale, such as alleviating the urban heat island effect. Integration of these sustainability features are well-timed given the extensive clean-up and remediation that is occurring at and near the Shoreline. Historically an industrial land use area, the neighborhood is in transition to a mixed-use development pattern. The proposed open space Project will also enhance non-motorized connectivity throughout the BVHP neighborhood, linking residents to a continuous section of the Bay Trail, along with park amenities, and other neighborhood points of interest. By providing for improved neighborhood circulation via bike and pedestrian enhancements, the Project will help to lower GHG emissions at the local level. In addition, the Project plans for intensive climate adaptation interventions, including vegetating the Project area with native habitat to provide improved stormwater management, and to protect local air and water quality.

4.b.ii. Integrating Equitable Development or Livability Principles. The project will advance the following livability principles:

1) The project places a high value on the unique characteristics of the communities that make up the BVHP neighborhood. The City has engaged, informed and encouraged community-based organizations that represent the diverse ethnicity of groups that comprise this community to participation in both the Brownfield Cleanup and larger park planning effort. The proposed concept plan for the new park at 900 Innes will include extensive greening and beautification of
the neighborhood. The physical characteristics and historical structures on the site will be evaluated by historical preservation professionals to determine which structures can be repurposed and incorporated into the park design with the intent to interpret the historical significance of this area. 2) The project will enhance the BVHP community by repurposing a vacated blighted property into a new park. 3) The project has leveraged three earlier investments from US EPA, a Brownfield Areawide Planning Grant, the Community-wide Assessment (which proposes to secure federal transportation funding for Bay Trail Improvements on the project site) and a Green Infrastructure Grant. 4) The two U.S. EPA Brownfield Cleanup Grants will remediate Parcel 1 and 2 in preparation of additional public works investments that will make the site a productive open space. 5) The project supports alternative transportation choices by expanding the Blue Greenway, a network of parks, trails, beaches and bay access points along 13 miles of San Francisco’s southeastern waterfront18 and Regional Bay Trail System, a five hundred mile trail system that will someday encircle the entire San Francisco Bay19. 6) The redeveloped site will provide safe park and recreation opportunities to the 35,000 residents in the surrounding community as well as the entire city through regional access.

4.c.i. Economic and Other Benefits. The new park is likely to attract more customers to the existing businesses on Third Street and the surrounding areas, particularly those that serve food and beverages. The new park will create a connection between the India Basin Open Space and India Basin Shoreline Park that will increase activity and opportunities for social interaction among current residents and the newer residents. The revitalization of the area will provide more options for residents in the nearby Public Housing and newly developed areas to socialize and reduce the existing isolation these lower income residents currently experience. Proposed programming will also provide new opportunities to engage all residents in shoreline water sports.

4.c.ii. Job Creation Potential: Partnerships with Workforce Development. The 900 Innes park development will provide employment for local residents in brownfields remediation, construction and maintenance, habitat restoration and urban forestry during the construction phase as part of the local hire ordinance that requires 50% of the construction workforce to be San Francisco residents, with 25% coming from disadvantaged communities. All work completed on the site will be subject to San Francisco’s Local Hire Ordinance. Workers targeted by program are defined as a local resident who (i) resides in a census tract within the City with a rate of unemployment in excess of 150% of the City unemployment rate; or (ii) at the time of commencing work has a household income of less than 80% of the AMI, or (iii) faces or has overcome at least one of the following barriers to employment; being homeless; being a custodial single parent; receiving public assistance; lacking a GED or high school diploma; participation in a vocational English as a second language program; or having a criminal record or other involvement with the criminal justice system. SFRPD also is reaching out to Hunters Point Family who has received an EPA Job training Grant with the intent to provide employment opportunities for graduates of their program. (Refer to letter of support here)

5. Programmatic Capability and Past Performance

18 http://www.sfpart五alliance.org/our-work/blue-greenway#systash.vRdiefZF.dpuf
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5.a.i. Programmatic Capability. Performance of the brownfields cleanup grant will be ensured through the City’s project and financial management teams. The City has stringent monitoring evaluation and reporting requirements. All City programs and expenditures are subject to an audit by the City Controller and the City’s financial system is subject to an independent audit annually. Thus, extensive and comprehensive program and financial records are maintained. City staff assigned to this project is fully committed to performing and completing the brownfields cleanup funded by EPA. However, if there is staff turnover, the City has standard and fair recruiting processes where jobs are posted on the City website.

SFRPD Capital Division is responsible for project development, definition, direction and implementation and has successfully managed large-scale facility renovations most of which involve hazardous material abatement and/or soil remediation. The City’s Capital Improvement Division will hire an experienced firm to oversee the Brownfield Clean-up Activities thru the proper procurement process.

Project Team
• Nicole Avril, Project Director, SFRPD, manages the site analysis, environmental studies, brownfield cleanup implementation and the conceptual park plan and strategies the park at 900 Innes. She has experience working on remediation, design and rehabilitation of historical buildings through her work with the Geneva Car Barn and Power House project. She will be responsible for overseeing reporting of outputs and outcomes on ACRES, and financial reporting. The City will follow EPA procurement regulations in seeking consultants and adhere to the City’s strict bidding processes for construction and contract monitoring.
• Anne Eng, Environmental Justice Program Manager, will continue to serve as the project manager of the Brownfield community-wide assessment grant. Ms. Eng is experienced with the City’s competitive procurement system, and will solicit bids and proposals to contract with a professional technical consultant to perform any site assessments in accordance with ASTM standards.
• Toni Moran, Grants Manager, has more than 20 years of experience managing grants from local, state and federal sources. She will oversee grant reporting and compliance.
• Katharine Petrucione, Director of Administration and Finance, has managed all budget, finance and accounting functions for the Recreation and Park Department since 2004. Her role includes supervising review of the grant agreement, and understanding scope of project and eligibility requirements to assure expenditures are accurate and eligible prior to preparing payment requests.

5.a.ii. No Adverse Audits. There have been no adverse audit finding for the City’s SFRPD, who will be managing the grant.

5.a.iii. Past Performance. The 900 Innes Project is the result of work completed by a previous U.S. EPA Communitywide Brownfields Assessments Grant awarded to the City in 2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Award Year</th>
<th>U.S. EPA Grant Program</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Communitywide Brownfield Assessment Grant</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Brownfield Cleanup Grant – 900 India Basin Parcels 1 and 2</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In mid-2012, SF Environment was awarded a federal grant (#00T98101) from US EPA for a brownfields communitywide assessment project in support of the development of an open space corridor that spans along the City’s southeastern waterfront, called the Blue Greenway. This brownfields assessment grant term started on October 1, 2012 and extends for three years, until October 2015. In 2012, SF Environment conducted two competitive RFP processes to assemble the project team and selected a professional environmental services firm to conduct Phase I and Phase II assessments. SF Environment also selected a local non-profit group to perform community outreach services. The City is still implementing the workplan and meeting the terms and conditions of the EPA award. However, there have been delays and we will need to request a 1-year extension to complete all of the tasks outlined in the workplan. The City officially launched in late 2013 – a year after the grant term officially started. San Francisco is experiencing significant development pressures, particularly true in the southeast area of the City where land is considered more reasonably priced and available. In 2014, three parcels that were being considered as candidate sites for our Phase I site assessments were sold for new mixed-use development projects and are no longer candidates for our brownfields assessment work. SF Environment’s technical team is continuing to identify brownfield sites in the Southeast area, and we plan to conduct site investigations (Phase I and Phase II) beginning in 2015. We plan to complete the brownfields assessment project during the next two years.

---

i 2010 Census data, from U.S. Census Bureau, [www.census.gov](http://www.census.gov), unless otherwise noted.


ICF/Jones & Stokes, “BVHP Diesel Pollution Reduction Project”, prepared for SF Environment (2009). This study found that freeway diesel-fueled traffic accounted for 23% of the diesel air pollution in BVHP with more than 5 million vehicle miles traveled (VMT) annually within BVHP. See [http://www.sfenvironment.org/downloads/library/bayview_diesel_pollution_reduction_project.pdf](http://www.sfenvironment.org/downloads/library/bayview_diesel_pollution_reduction_project.pdf).