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Welcome
Bienvenido
欢迎
Talofa
GROUND RULES

• PLEASE SIGN IN

• TURN OFF CELL PHONES

• DIRECT COMMENTS TO THE FACILITATOR

• RAISE YOUR HAND TO SPEAK

• KEEP TO THE AGENDA

• PLEASE HOLD ALL QUESTIONS AFTER THE PRESENTATION
AGENDA

• WELCOME, INTRODUCTIONS, AND OBJECTIVES

• PART 1: 2012 CLEAN & SAFE PARKS BOND

• PART 2: PROJECT OVERVIEW

• PART 3: SCHEMATIC DESIGN PROGRESS

• PART 4: FEEDBACK/QUESTIONS
MEETING OBJECTIVES

• PRESENT OVERVIEW OF PREVIOUS PUBLIC FEEDBACK

• PRESENT SCHEMATIC DESIGN PLANS

• OBTAIN ADDITIONAL COMMUNITY FEEDBACK TO FURTHER SCHEMATIC AND DETAILED DESIGN
PART 1

2012 CLEAN & SAFE PARKS BOND

BOND OVERVIEW

2012 Clean & Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond Program Summary

In November of 2012, the citizens of San Francisco passed Proposition B, $195 M General Obligation Bond, known as the 2012 Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond.

The $195M in bond funding is divided into two allocations based on the jurisdiction of the parks and facilities scheduled to receive funding, with $160.5M committed to the Recreation and Parks Department and $34.5M dedicated to the San Francisco Port.

The objectives of this bond program are:
• Fix and improve specified neighborhood parks
• Renovate parks and playgrounds in poor physical condition
• Improve trails, forestry, and implement water conservation
• Improve park open space and habitat in Golden Gate Park, McLaren Park, and Lake Merced
• Attract matching community and philanthropic support
PART 2
PROJECT OVERVIEW

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT, SCHEDULE & BUDGET

• MEETING #1: INTRODUCTION & PUBLIC INPUT
• MEETING #2: DRAFT PLAN & PUBLIC INPUT
• MEETING #3: PRESENTATION OF SCHEMATIC PLAN

PROJECT BUDGET $16M:

Estimated Construction Budget: $12.M
Construction Contingency $1M
Estimated Soft Cost Budget: $3.3M

Includes:
• Project management
• Architectural and engineering design services
• Construction management
• Permits
• Testing and regulatory agencies review fees

Planning Process & Schedule
Complete Community Engagement June 2017
Commission Approval July 2017
Complete CDs – December 2017
Bid/Award – May 2018
Construction Start – June 2018
Substantial Completion – September 2019
Summary of feedback to date

Goal is to collect and gather community input from multiple online and offline channels

Sources
• VOICE SURVEY
• EMAIL FEEDBACK / RPD Website
• VOICE CALLS
• ROAD SHOW

SUMMARY

Pool & Locker Rooms
• Better and new locker rooms it is outdated
• Clean and operable showers
• More showers/operable benches
• Soap/shampoo/lotion dispensers
• Pool temperature
• Salt water Pool
• More “Open Hours” for swimming
• Bleacher seating on Pool deck
• Pool length / No Bulkhead
• Pool Length for standard school swim meets
• Cost / affordability
• more natural Light / it should be airy
• More aquatic programming for seniors
• More aquatic programming for young adults

Clubhouse & site
• Good size, flexible programming space for after school activities
• Big Kitchen
• Party room for kids
• Integrated facility that houses Pool and Clubhouse
• Exterior walking path for Adults & Seniors
• Do not touch the Playground
• Security
• Exterior lighting
• Exterior basketball Court
What Is the Public Art Program?

In 1969, the City and County of San Francisco was one of the first municipalities in the country to enact an Art Enrichment Ordinance.

It requires that "2% of the gross estimated project cost" of civic capital projects be spent on artwork.

Owen Smith
*Building the Iron Horse*
Photographer: Bruce Damonte
GOALS

• Create a vibrant community aquatic center that appeals to a variety of Pool users and reflects the diversity of the community.
• Create a welcoming and universally accessible main entry.
• Design a building addition that thoughtfully integrates with the existing building and improves facility way-finding.
• Improve indoor air quality and thermal comfort.
• Provide seismic strengthening that fits within the building fabric.
Exterior walls and roof of pool lobby to be removed

New mural to provide continuity with existing murals
TREAT AVENUE VIEW
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BUILDING ENTRY
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NEW MECHANICAL SYSTEM WITH DEHUMIDIFICATION FOR IMPROVED AIR QUALITY AND THERMAL COMFORT

(E) POOL WITH 75’ LANES AND BULKHEAD

TEACHING AREA WITH STEPS

LARGE MULTI-PURPOSE ROOM

MOVABLE WALL ALLOWS DIVISION INTO 2 ROOMS

DIRECT ENTRY FROM LOCKERS

IMPROVED AND EXPANDED LOCKER ROOMS WITH FIXED AND MOBILE SEATING

DIRECT ENTRY FROM LOBBY

CLEAR, VISIBLE, WELL ILLUMINATED CENTRAL WELCOMING ENTRY

CLEAR CENTRAL CIRCULATION FROM LOBBY TO NATATORIUM, LOCKER ROOMS, MP ROOM & COURTYARD

RESTROOMS WITH ACCESS FROM PARK AND CLUBHOUSE

PROPOSED FLOOR PLAN
Capital & Planning
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PART 4

FEEDBACK

• What do you like about Proposed Project?
• What are your concerns?
• What could be improved?
NEXT STEPS

- Refine Design based on feedback
- Continue engagement with stakeholders
- RPD Commission Approval
- Develop construction documents
Thank you!
Gracias!
谢谢！
Fa’aafetai!
CONTACT INFORMATION

Please submit additional comments to:

Toks Ajike, Project Manager
Recreation and Park Department
30 Van Ness Ave, 3rd Floor
S.F., CA 94102

Phone:  (415) 581–2543
E–Mail:  toks.ajike@sfgov.org
Website:  www.parks.sfgov.org