Wallgraphic Notes

Option A
- Flip people/dog space
  - Grass next to playground (Option C)
- Preference for this option – slope better used by dogs
- Most equitable option – we need a people zone
- A and B are better options than C because the grass area is flatter

Option B
- Combined courts won’t work
- Basketball and tennis won’t mix
- Put ramp closer to playground
- Prefer the courts close by for use by kids – other courts in area too far away
- If the courts are going to be shared use – will take a lot of working out
- Need examples of strategies for shared use that work
- Compromise of shared courts does work – these are played at different times of day
- Keep court as is, just repair and fix the drainage
- Add more grass for the kids

Option C
- Good dog/people compromise
- Like that the playground is connected to the grass area
- Barricaded dog area is good

General Comments and Questions
- Comments on process:
  - The condition of the tennis courts has influenced people’s comments
  - We need more input from tennis players – more outreach to them
  - We’re missing comments from parents of young children – they can’t make evening meetings because it’s right at bedtime
  - Need to know that all input is heard and recorded – are records available?
    - Yes, everything is available; meeting notes posted on SF Rec & Parks’ website
  - Need to include input received outside of meetings as well. SF Parks: We read and consider all input received.
- Are all of these options fully fundable?
  - Yes
- Can we add lights?
• I never see tennis players at the park
• Kids use the park as it is
• Access to the court nearby is good for kids in the neighborhood
• If we provide more green space for kids – they won’t need court space to play on
  ○ Kids would use grass more if the condition were improved
  ○ Local schools lack grassy play space – all paved
• Encourage those who feel strongly to volunteer to work on application for next Opportunity Fund
• Tennis court doesn’t maximize use of the park
• Most common uses of court: basketball, and football when court is not in use for basketball
• Other uses are more important to the neighborhood than tennis
• Appreciate SF Rec and Park’s hard work and creativity
• Appreciate the spirit of compromise in the neighborhood
• Can we expand the playground area?
• The tennis courts don’t get used because they’re in bad condition
• Short-sighted to remove the tennis courts in order to solve the dog/people conflict
• Removing the tennis courts removes an exercise option – not wise with current rate of obesity
• Tennis courts were lightly used even when they were newly renovated
• Must delineate the dog area – need a higher fence than 2-3 ft., some dogs can jump over that
• The slope is too steep – can it be flattened?
  • Will be graded and the slope somewhat diminished
• Will the dog-friendly area be mulch or turf?
  • To be determined
• Maintain the multi-use that the park has always had
• Lots of kids bounce balls on court – where will they go?
• Grassy, muddy area is least used – why increase the amount of it?
• Would be great if all could use the park as they want
• We don’t have room to have it all!
• Many use park every day or multiple times per week
• Dog-friendly area creates a de facto off-leash area
• Leash laws hard to enforce

**Straw Poll (show of hands)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option A</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option B</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option C</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option D (leave design as is)</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>